

Геля Антон Николаевич

---

America under enemy rule and  
the world as it is

12+

**АНТОН Николаевич Геля**  
**America under enemy**  
**rule and the world as it is**

*[http://www.litres.ru/pages/biblio\\_book/?art=40526100](http://www.litres.ru/pages/biblio_book/?art=40526100)*

*SelfPub; 2019*

**Аннотация**

The work was prepared in 2009-2010 during the first term of President Barack Obama. The book describes the political mines that laid the system under the American system. In connection with harsh statements to the us intelligence services, the book was distributed in printed form under a pseudonym.

# Содержание

I. Introduction	7
II. The levels of natural selection	15
III. The biological paradigm of humaniti	25
IV. What we are in a social sense	39
V. Self-regulated systems with feedback loop	46
VI. State & bureaucracy as necessary evil; Government as the biggest problem of any given people, country or nation . The role of the feedback loop at the different levels of a society	52
VII. Freedom layers & freedom lovers. Meaningful classification of participants in the politics	101
VIII. Comparative anatomy of freedom loving conservative/libertarian/teaparty camp and freedom hating totalitarians in the US.	139
IX. Phylosophy of pragmatic neo-centrism	163
X. Who won in 2008 elections?	168
XI. Random thoughts bowl:	175
XII. Current situation and developing trends in the US & in the world	244
XIII. Possible case scenarios	250
XIV. Is there still chance to save the world? If yes– what can be done?	255
The Summary	286

*I devote this book to my family who were loving enough to support my work despite their fear of who may read it.*

Thomas Jefferson:

1. "When the government fears people there is liberty; when the people fear government there is tyranny"
2. "The good government is the one that governs as less as possible"
3. "Republic, ma'm... if you can keep it"

James Medison:

"The people, not the government, possess the absolute sovereignty"

Attributed to O. Bismarck:

The history lessons teach us that history lessons don't teach us at all"

Observation:

"Life is built out of bricks of paradoxes"

Proverb:

"Road to hell is paved with good intentions"

"Power corrupts, absolute power corrupts absolutely"

Tacitus:

"The more corrupt the state, the more it legislates"

R. Reagan:

"Government isn't solution to our problems. Government IS the problem."

T. Mann:

"Tolerance towards evil is a crime"

W. Churchill:

"civilisation is confronted with militant Mahommedanism".

"No stronger retrograde force exists in the world"

T. Edison:

"The strength of the Constitution, lies in the will of the people to defend it"

Attributed to Stalin : "It doesn't matter who votes— matters who counts the votes"

Me:

"The highest form of altruism is egoism because the only possible way to progress in society is the sum of individual successes. Prosperous and free country can consist only of prosperous and free -free from the government— individuals"

"There is no single survivable Nanny State in the world— it's dead end of civilization. Individual liberties and self-regulated

free market with natural course of events is life of a nation while imposed by totalitarians artificial equality is a slow choking death sentence to a nation. "

"Free money is like narcotic: easy to start, hard to quit".

Folklore:

"Too big to fail" usually means "Too big to survive without paxpayor's subsidy".

# I. Introduction

This book's content is primarily my personal opinions backed with some citations, quotations and basic popularized science to project the future trends. An academic level of such analysis would require many scientists and thousands of volumes. When the draft started getting closer to a thousand pages then I decided to cut mercilessly to a hundred pages that would contain the essence of the book, the summary, but the stubborn book started growing again. So, I had to stop it abruptly and publish as raw as it is. Thus, we shall be satisfied with just a short mini-version that gives a general idea, scatchy main points and *the criteria for analysis that you can do yourself* to follow my train of thoughts (rather than expanding to a thoroughly discussed and proven monograph). The format is a diary type draft written in short stretches almost every night after I was coming back home from hard work in a hospital. Why me? I lived in many countries with different systems and I have first hand experience with totalitarians of different kinds (communists, socialists, statists, islamists, nationalists and the like), I've a scientific mind and research background and my mind is not tainted by ideological filters, including political correctness. I just see things as they are, and I am not afraid to show the truth. Originally this was supposed to be published in the end of 2008, but the work turned out to be overwhelmingly burdensome and time consuming. May

be, for better because it's safer now— in 2008 I'd be one of the very few criticizing the leftist regime with high risk of retaliation by the Obama's regime. Tell me who your friends are and I shall tell you who you are. All Obama's friends were America's haters. Now majority of Americans have woken up to realize what a huge mistake they made electing their enemy to rule them. Hopefully, it's not too late, although for sure it will be clear only on Jan. 20, 2011. There is still huge risk that Obama will push America on the railroad to serfdom where the next station is Greece and the final destination is Sudan. That's what Obama does right now in his native Kenya trying to change secular constitution to Shariah law based one. All it takes is to create another crisis— and Obama is very good at that— and then announce the Marshall law with all elections and Bill of rights suspended. If Stalin and Hitler did so— why another totalitarian can't?). *Provoked by Obama's inaction Iran or North Korea might start a nuclear crisis, giving Obama a perfect excuse to postpone the elections until the next millenium and to cancel the result of November elections.* Americans are so used to be in position to vote out the government they dislike that they can't imagine it's not granted , but it may be not the case anymore. When totalitarians grab enough power to the point of no return— then it doesn't matter anymore what WE, THE PEOPLE want. As Stalin was saying : "It doesn't matter who votes— matters who counts the votes". When tricked by Obama and misinformed by leftist media Americans elected Obama, they didn't realize that

the Left wants to create a *Nanny State*, and that that would be *a death sentence to America* because Nanny State inevitably ruins demographics and the country starts dying out. **There is no single Nanny State in the world that can survive**— Europe has 1.38 children per woman including Muslims, Japan 1.3, S. Korea 1.1, Canada 1.4 and so forth. We shall discuss later in details why it is so, but it is just a fact of the matter, *they all are doomed*. The US is not completely welfare state yet, so the demographics are bearable now with 2.2 children per woman, but if the Democrats will shove Eurosocijalism into throats of Americans— America will go extinct too. Each consequent shrinking generation will be taxed heavier and heavier just to pay back at least some of the embezzled by the government retirement funds until society implodes. Even now unfunded liabilities on Social Security alone are \$106 trillion. Not billion! Scandinavian countries already tax 70% of income, and it will not take long until the domino effect, chain reaction from Greece will destroy the whole European Union within 5 years. Socialism never worked and never will, it is against the laws of nature (we shall discuss it later in details too). **You choose redistributive society, the Nanny State under false pretext of "equality"— then you choose the path of self-destruction, slow choking death of the nation. You choose individual liberties and free market— then you choose life, prosperity and freedom. That's the only 2 choices available.**

First let us identify the main problems in the world and their causes that might give a clue how to solve them.

They say— a theory that explains everything explains nothing. However, let's pick the challenge because we might be able to **analyze virtually all the problems in societies using universal criterion of DEGREE OF FREEDOM.** In the chapter about self-regulation and feed back we will study on the deeper level what is the scientific base of that, what the laws of nature govern these processes, but for now it is good enough to observe that freedom is THE most potent engine of progress. History had conducted both longitudinal and parallel studies such as accidental experiments with the same people divided politically— North and South Korea, East and West Germany, and every time the degree of freedom was directly proportional to the productivity and, thus, prosperity of the society. Now Northkoreans even physically several inches shorter than Southkoreans due to chronic starvation. When the freest in the world original American Constitution was created over 200 years ago America had very little human capital, financial capital, science, 'know how' and so forth. *All Americans had was freedom, namely most important freedom-freedom from the government!* **America became the greatest country in the world only because it WAS the freest country in the world.** People knew they can work hard and retain the fruits of their hard labor, and it was good enough to attract human capital, finances, technology and science from all over the world. America became the beacon of freedom, the freest country in the world, and, thus, the most prosperous country in the world.

With the accelerating and worsening since 1913 encroachment of totalitarian "progressivism" Americans started losing their liberties along with worsening degree of freedom of the self-regulated market place, and, hence, the economic positions. Last 10 years America's share in the world's GDP dropped from 32 to 24%, and under Obama's regime keeps dropping faster and faster. As Dan Kennedy remarked regarding *Obama*, "*if you set up to destroy our entire economic engine on purpose, you'd be hard pressed to achieve more in as short a time, at as fast a pace*"

So, the governments in many countries say they try hard to achieve prosperity, but it seemingly gets even worse. Why? Because they don't treat the *root cause of the problems*, which is *the lack of freedom = insufficiently free market*, which in turn is because of too much government! Government IS the problem. You cannot extinguish fire by pouring more gasoline, as you cannot solve the "*too much government = not enough freedom*" problem, using the same government. All a government has to do is NOTHING beside guarding borders— just get it out of the way, don't let it touch anything with government's dead hand, let the gentle and invisible hand of self-regulated free market optimize society and economy. Whatever government touches— it hurts it, so let the government touch only our enemies both abroad and the criminals inside the country. Government has no business whatsoever meddling with economy or society under false pretext of "interstate commerce". **300**

**million of Americans are smart enough to manage their own affairs better than any stupid bureaucrat thousand miles away from them. *One*, each American knows the state of his/her affairs better than anyone in the world. *Two*, each one has incentives, the tightest positive and negative feed back loop from his/her actions. So, they have the highest chance of making the right decision. *Three*, if someone screws up—he/she screws up only him/herself while when Washington, DC, *as always*, screws up— they screw up whole country with repercussions all over the world. Why? Because they don't have a feed back from their actions or inactions, no liability at least until next elections, and with cover up of 96% totalitarian "progressive" MSM they might escape any liability at all! And may be even more important— **the society and the economy is an extremely complex multifactorial system in a state of dynamic stability with trillions of interactions in real time of billions of factors pushing in different directions , sometimes with antagonistic purposes, with very narrow window of homeostasis, of dynamic stability, of equilibrium. Thus, no government, no group of experts can possibly comprehend this immensely colossal conglomerate of running engines, lest predict, plan and direct to improve the outcome. There's zero chance of successful government regulations because it is against the laws of nature, including the human nature and the laws of free market, and the result is that *the government cure is always worse than the original ill*****

*due to the unintended consequences , but even after thousands of failures of socialism those delusional leftists from Chavez to Obama keep trying now. No "social engineering" can change the human nature, which is result of 4.5 billion years of natural selection; no "messiah" in the White House can change the laws of nature to fulfill his dreams about ecologically clean perpetual motion machine, or any other delusional ideas. Only self-regulated free market with the feedback loop can achieve the optimal outcome, the best possible compromise between all the variables. Free market is the only engine of progress, free market has created everything around us– all goods and services, the drastically improved quality of life, electronics and informatics while the instrument of coercion, the government, in exchange for the taken from our pocket money created nothing good: the word "public" became synonymous to poor quality from public toilets and schools to public women. Thanks to the free market, today's "poor" have better life quality than a prince 300 years ago. "Progressives" contributed to the society even less than the coercive government, which means negative numbers, because small and accountable government is a necessary evil but the "progressives" are not, and they are not more progressive than progressive syphilis. All they do is breaking fabric of society to as many as possible groups and then antagonizing them as in Roman "Divide et impera" = "Divide and conquer"; perpetuating discrimination; hurting economy, prosperity and individual liberties in the pursuit of imposed through the coercive*

government utopian "social justice and equality", which each time turns out to be an equality of bums in the gutter with the exception of the ruling "enlightened" leftist elite. So, ***all the choices in America's elections boil down to whether to vote for life or for the death of the nation;*** to vote for conservatives (which mean voting for demographic survival, for Free Market that is based on the unintended benefits of the productive "greed" with ensuing economic prosperity and individual freedoms) **or** to vote for the "progressive" totalitarian Democratic socialists with "social justice " demagoguery and unintended consequences of their destructive greed for people's powers and money.

However, let's discuss this matter in further chapters.

## II. The levels of natural selection

As much as we know, everything in our Universe at the present time is in process of undergoing *changes both **evolutionary** (smooth, and up to the point, mostly quantitative change) and **revolutionary** (abrupt and typically qualitative changes)*.

**The cosmic level of natural selection:** Imagine the beginning of *our Universe*, whether it was Big Bang or the coalescence of the matter. Probably there were multiple formations and systems, some more stable and some less. Less stable ones disappeared in explosions, implosions, black holes, fusions, annihilations and in many other unknown yet processes. The stable ones survived longer, and we can watch them in the sky, including those that were "living" 5 billions years ago in another Metha-Galaxy, whose light is just reaching the Earth as we speak.

Similarly, *the Solar system* underwent many changes, some planets survived, some not, and by now almost all possible collisions with vast majority of massive asteroids on majority of orbit have happened already. So, the chances of another cataclysms is pretty low (which is encouraging, right?), and the Solar system so far is in the state of dynamic stability.

### **Atomic/particles/field level of natural selection:**

Imagine the nuclear fission or fusion bomb explosion. The very first moments there is an immense diversity of different

isotopes, particles and rays/fields. The most unstable ones are extremely short lived, in tiny fraction of a second they disappear, being transformed into other forms also with various "life span". The natural selection gradually sorts them out— for some of them it takes seconds, for some year, for Uranium half-life is 2.5 billion years. Of course, both intrinsic and extrinsic factors (such as cosmic rays) keep influencing the existing equilibrium, but nevertheless we can call it relatively stable stage of dynamic stability.

### **Chemical & physical natural selection and dynamic stability:**

When our Earth became solid, probably, 6 billion years ago, it was affected by different kinds of energy of extremely high levels , including but not limited to temperature, irradiation, physical processes and chemical reactions which resulted a huge diversity of compounds and chemicals. The Moon was circling around the Earth in a very close proximity of 20,000 km (today almost 20 time farther away), causing tidal waves of lava tsunami splashing through the broken by the gravitational effects Earth crust rather than today's water tides. This variability and diversity presented multiple opportunities to combine them in the nature laboratory later on, which 4.5 billion years ago resulted in the evolving first life forms.

#### ***Biological level of natural selection:***

My **definition of life** is rather broad :

***"Life is a complex system in the dynamic stability state***

*that in the ever changing environment maintains its dynamic stability by using self-regulation processes with the feed-back loop and reproduces itself".* The key word in stability is "dynamic": as Heraclitus noted, you cannot twice step into the same river because the waters keep changing even though the entity considered to stay the same. We ourselves also keep changing— in a period of several months majority of atoms in our body are completely replaced by new ones and yet we consider ourselves "Yes, I am the same person even though I keep changing". One might find inorganic, electronic and even cosmic structures that will meet this definition, and I would consider them a life form too. Computer viruses can be an example. Imagine a self-learning program that will evolve high enough to be self-aware. Well, you've seen those in Sci Fi movies already, but I read about Japanese program like that in a LAN. Hopefully, it will not get sophisticated enough to find a way from LAN (local area network) into WEB (world wide web). We have enough problems without Terminators. In a way, all evolving and self-regulated systems may be considered a living organism: a language and a society too.

There were many life forms in the primary ocean that were as alien to us as another planet life forms. We are not likely to learn about them in a foreseeable future because majority of them are gone forever whether due to competition or because of the everchanging environment. We know only about tiny fraction of the ancient life forms and species. Primary ocean was

a hot place, there was no ice on the planet, so all the fresh water was in the ocean with resulting diluted salt concentration about 0.9%, and since then all the life forms on Earth, whether living in the 24% salt solution or on the Everest mountain, still have the same 0.9% in their blood. Life is very conservative, it almost never re-shuffles the existing structures preferring rather building another "store" on the multi-story building. That's why "social engineering" of human being will never work— we are what we are: the end result of 4.5 billion year natural selection. We share with gorillas 98% of genes, but majority of them are inactive ones that just like uninhabited ground floors with only the upper floor, built on the top, in use. When you compare only working, expressed genes, then the difference between us is significantly bigger. Those of our genes that code for gills, tails, scales or sea worm eyes and bristles normally are repressed.

Almost 100% of the archaic life forms and species are gone, and this process of disappearance of the old species and evolving new ones keeps going on now. Therefore, whining about species going extinct is not always justified— it may be just a part of the natural process. Some of the oldest bacteria are thermoacidophilic Archeobacter, that nowadays can live only deep under water close to volcanos, enjoying hot bath with several hundred degrees temperatures. Majority of them were competing and destroying each other. Some life forms formed successful symbiosis combining best of both worlds. For example, absorbing the main source of energy on

Earth chloroplasts very likely originally were a separate life form. Ancestors of today's plants (and some protozoa-single cell animals like Euglena) incorporated them into their cells providing shelter and substrates while chloroplasts provided with Sun's energy. Another similar example of successful symbiosis is our ancestors' cell that incorporated mitochondria. Our cell provided, again, shelter and material substrates while mitochondria generated our main source of energy— ATP (adeno-tri-phosphate). Since then our cells have 2 sets of genetics: our famous double helix DNA and mitochondria's circular DNA that has different altogether coding sequences. Male sperm cell, just like original cell, has no incorporated mitochondria. Thus, when the sperm cell uses up all its ATP energy store (typically in 3 days), then it dies. That is why mitochondrial mutations and diseases are passed along only via maternal line, since the ovum has incorporated mitochondria, and genetic studies of our maternal ancestors are also based on the mitochondrial DNA analysis. Paternal studies are based on the short Y-chromosome, and that's how scientists learned that 18% of males in Asia carry genes of Mongolian *Genghis Khan*. Each night he had a different woman, and if the female offspring was also about 18%, then 36% of Asians are his descendants. As the story goes, he was stabbed to death in the middle of the night by Tibetan princess after he raped her too. Since for such a great warrior was a shame to be killed by a woman, so his court officially announced that he died due to a hunting accident.

Another life form that has survived is a mycoplasma, which unlike us doesn't have a firm cell membrane but rather a thin layer. This life form causes atypical pneumonia and STD with PID, possible infertility and premature births. Slime mold is also peculiar life form that can be seen as a single cell organism, as a fungus-like form, and as a multicellular slowly moving slime.

Existing life forms broken down to Kingdoms, Subkingdoms, Classes, Orders, Family and Genus. I personally see natural selection working by 2 mechanisms: evolution and revolution.

**Evolution** of life forms is slow process of changin existing species, and it is capable of creating other species, but, probably, not other classes.

**Revolution** of life forms is a rapid change typically due to the catastrophic events such as a huge asteroid hit that unearths radioactive Uranium to the Earth surface. First it kills majority of the life forms with greatly reduced diversity, then it causes massive mutations. Under normal circumstances majority of mutations are detrimental or lethal, while very few are beneficial, and they are relatively rare, so the chance of 2 beneficial mutants meeting each other and starting new Class or Order is exceedingly low. However, when the catastrophe causes massive mutations then the beneficial mutants do have a chance to meet each other and start a new Class or a species with drastic changes. That's how the feathers and the spines have evolved.

**Competition** exerts a natural selection pressure, *frequently* selecting the most adapted to the current environment and

conditions individuals. They may be *the fittest*, but under scarce food conditions they might be *the smallest*, or *the slowest* metabolism-wise, or *the strongest*, or *with highest indurance*: whatever it takes to survive better in this particular and everchanging environment. Seemingly the same piranha fish schools in one part of the Amazon river might devour an animal in a matter of seconds, and in another part they are peaceful herbivours, nibbling immersed into the water berries. On one of 2 isolated by the ocean corall islands the same fish can be edible, but on another one deadly poisonous. About "most adapted ones": it is true frequently, but not always, because there are 2 *different goals of survival: individual survival and species survival*. These might be conflicting goals. Mating selection also might lead to the improved or worsened chances of individual survival: huge antlers might be beneficial during mating, but in densely forrested area the antlers might get stuck during chase by the predator. The same is true for *the "peak shift" factor*, when exaggerated features of the desired kind might attract more mating behavior than it is worth by survival needs. This "conflict of interests" also can be seen in any multicell organism where the individual cell and the whole organism survival might be at odds in the situation like cancer: one cell rebels against the body and whether gets killed by the body defense mechanism or kills the body and then dies too. Of course, if the cancer is caused by a virus then the virus doesn't care— it still spreads around, infecting other organisms. It's like totalitarian ideology

(socialism or islamofascism, for instance) affects a bunch of people, who then try to ruin their own country, and it is also lose-lose situation for everybody, except the virus of the totalitarian ideology that spreads further when it kills the infected ones or the body.

*Society is analogous to the whole body*, the individual cell is analogous to a person, and the rule of law coordinates the survival of the society, while minimally interfering with the self-regulation at the cell and other levels. The government is analogous to the self-consciousness tiny spot in the left frontal lobe of the brain which is aware of only tiny fraction (like 0.00000001%) of the total self-regulated processes, going on in the body at any given time, but it is very arrogant and overconfident, just like Obama is. Remember French king who declared "I am the state!"? Majority of our body is regulated by the old mechanisms, build-in in each cell, in the organ/tissue self-regulation, in the hormonal and autonomous nervous system, in the subcortical structures of the brain and in the subconscious centers of the cortex. Our conscious ego, "I", a sentinel in the middle of the brain camp on the watch tower, is like a tiny spot of light that glides on the surface of the brain cortex from a watch tower light projector. It can focus only on a one spot in the time, while the rest of the developments are in the dark even in the most superficial cortex, not mentioning deeped sub-cortex structures, autonomous nervous system, organ/tissue self-regulation and cellular metabolism. *When the brain gets*

*mad, affected by psychiatric disease (like today's Washington, DC, is affected by the "progressive" totalitarian mental disorder), then it interferes with everything it can reach, hurts and ruins anything it can, and it can push the whole body of the cliff in the national suicide. That's today's course of action of American enemies in Washington, DC. Obama and his leftist "progressives" in the Congress push really hard the whole nation to the **path of national suicide, on the railroad to serfdom, where the next station is Eurosocialism like in Greece, and the final destination is Islamofascism like in Sudan.** Ideally there is a check and balance system that mainly leaves the cells (Americans) alone with some tissue/organ (municipalities, communities associations, churches, ) self-regulation, autonomous nervous system self-regulation (state level) and minimal interference, just general coordination at the cortex level (the feds). The problem in all the countries, even in America, that *mental illness of totalitarianism is spreading to the head of the nation, to the government*, and we must take care of that in November, 2010 reducing size, budget and powers of the feds to about 1% of what it is now. *Can you imagine when the head is half-size of the body?* But it is exactly the case with the feds now— feds expanded to the more than 50% of the country, forming huge-headed squid with its tentacles everywhere, in every pocket. We must remove the federal squid from Washington, DC and restore the humane essence of the government, chained to its place by the American Constitution.*

Normal head surface area in humans, as anyone in the burn center will tell you, is about 9%, which means that all levels of government (municipal, state and the feds) must not exceed that 9% size in their total combined budget and decision making powers. *91% of the power to the people – that's the goal in November !*

We tend to have an *antropocentric view on the nature*, which frequently fails us. A female kangaroo being chased by the dogs throws them her cub in order to escape while they are chewing on her cub. The nature's rationale is that the kangaroo cubs are born just 1 cm long, and for a very long time they cannot survive on their own. If she will sacrifice herself then both will die. Meanwhile the mom can have another cub to assure the species survival. Female spiders and scorpions eat their male mates in the end of the honey moon, and the males actively provoke this outcome because well fed female is more likely to nurture successfully their offspring. If the food is scarce, then female spider might let her offspring to eat her. *Whatever it takes to survive– that's the mother nature's moral code. That's how self-regulated processes with the feed back loop work in the natural selection.*

### **III. The biological paradigm of humaniti**

For those of my readers who don't believe in the evolution I suggest skipping this chapter.

We are the end-product of 4.5 billion year of evolution, of the natural selection, and we must accept ourselves for what we are and deal with that. No crap like "We should be.. we ought to be.. we will be" doesn't change a thing: we are what we are. Deal with this, all kinds of totalitarian "sheppards", "social engineers", "behavior modifiers", "new humans builders" and all kinds of crooks, that want us to be and to do what they want. No way.

Human evolution is a puzzle that is not complete yet although we do know already the key points of the evolution with reasonable probability. As I mentioned, our single cell ancestors formed a very successful symbiosis with mitochondria life form that still give us the energy source. Our eyes gene is almost identical copy of the seaworm eye gene that "invented" eyes about 200 million year ago. Asteroid catastrophe gave the spines structure to the descendant life forms. From the remote lemur-like ancestors we have left the dreams about falling from the hights. 8 million years ago our ancestor apes in East Africa had a tooth for the nuts that had realtively high calories content, which allowed more free time allocation for the thinking and

inventions such as tools. About 1.6 million year ago drier climate forced our ancestors from the woods into savannahs with consequent evolutionary pressure for longer limbs. Bipedal locomotion increased horizon, improved energy efficiency and speed, although caused low back pain (just like saber-tooth tigers suffered from low back pain) as we started having bigger impact on our spines ; accelerated osteoarthritis and chronic sinus problem: the sinus draining opening is in the middle of the anterior wall, which in the quadripod face-down position is the lowest point that drains the whole sinus, while in the vertical position it drains only upper half of the sinus. If you have maxillar sinusitis, you can lie face down, which allows the whole sinus to be drained, but if it is not enough then ENT surgeon might make a hole in the lower wall because in the vertical position it is the lowest point. Again, the nature doesn't takes us apart and re-builds a new man— no, it is very conservative, it finds compromise and builds new floors on top of the existing ones.

First they used stone tools to break bones of the carcasses of the stronger predator's kill in order to obtain the bone marrow. which, again, due to its high nutritional value allowed to have much more for the creative purposes.

Gradually about 1.6 million years ago pro-humans started hunting big game themselves although they had to do so under the scorching sun when respected predators had a nap since the humans were no match against serious predators: number 15 amongst predators as runners, lousy smell sense, weak nails

and jaws, bad night vision. Noon hunting under scorching African sun exerted natural selection pressure towards several adjustments: 1) Longer limbs to run faster and longer; 2) curly hair to protect the brain against over-heat; 3) larger brain that had a lot of spare neurons to function when the rate of neuronal loss increased (normally we lose a thousand neurons a second out of 5 billion of them in the cortex, but in the heat the loss rate is much higher). Larger brain meant more axon-dendrite synapses, more elaborated neuronal information net, which resulted in a smarter brain. So, our mental capabilities are accidental byproduct of hunting during the hot time.

4) loss of body fur that eased the cooling during the hunt by removing excessive insulation; 5) increase in the amount of eccrine sweating glands to cool down the body during motion.

Unintended consequences were: 1) decreased tolerance to cold (which later was compensated by using the clothing and by discovery of fire); 2) minimized opportunities for previous communication ways such as rising fur, which exerted selection pressure to develop other means of communication, such as facial mimic musculature development, speech and skin coloring/tattooing; 3) risk of skin cancer due to the loss of protection by fur, which was compensated about 1.2 mln y.a. by dark skin MC1R mutation. 4) loss of camouflage function of the fur => skin painting/cloth use.

Originally the skin under the fur was gray and pink, after the skin mutation it became dark, when some humans migrated to

Asia it got lighter, when some migrated to Europe it became white to absorb UV ray to produce vitamin D. The problem in Africa was too much sun, so the pigment melanin in upper layers of the skin shielded deeper skin. The problem in cold Europe was the lack of sun: cloudy days, warm clothing, long time in a shelter. Children during growth spurt need vitamin D for the bone and skin growth, so the selection pressure was to have very fair skin during 1st 2 years of life (unless drinking vitamin D fortified milk, Europeans need 10 minutes a day sunbathing to produce enough vit. D while blacks need 2 hours. If there would be no vit. D in milk, Afro-Americans in some time would become fair skinned too). When Arian tribes migrated to India from Europe, the natural selection started working in reverse— Hindus have darker skin because those from offspring with darker skin due to natural variability were more likely to avoid skin cancer. Right now Australians have highest in the world skin cancer rate because Europeans came to the tropics. In several generations Australians will have darker skin.

Of course, we retained the hair where it was beneficial for survival to protect large blood vessels: neck, armpits, groin, and possibly to produce pheromons during fertile period and for sexual arousal.

Discovery of fire played huge role in our history because it in significant degree protected humans from the regulation by mother nature. If any other species multiply too much, then ecological self-regulation with feed-back kicks in, resulting in

growth of enemies of that species: germs, viruses, parasites. Sterilization of the food on the fire prevented many epidemics that would decimate humans otherwise. That's why majority of us like the thermally processed foods better than the raw ones. Other mechanism of self-regulating the population overgrowth is increased aggression and violence which has 2 results: the overpopulated species whether kill each other or run away, populating new areas. This mechanism still works alright. Suicide tendency plays insignificant in humans, unlike lemmings and "progressive" liberalism.

Another important period was 195,000 y.a. Our ancestors evolved after the Neandertal men, about 270,000 y.a., and due to the climate change (possible after huge Indonesian volcano eruption) had to migrate to the shores. During that period selection pressure produced several features: 1) We are the only apes that can hold breath and dive; 2) we are the only apes that are born with swimming skills although if the infant doesn't swim during 1st 6 month of life, then he forgets it; 3) our body biochemistry benefits from the sea food diet: fish, sea weeds, clams (Okinawa diet). (Our 3 features show that *humans are not vegetarians but omnivours*: we have fangs; both of our eyes focused on the same target in the same direction; we cannot synthesize all necessary nutrients – for example, Vitamin B12 that can be obtained only from animal sources).

During that critical period the food shortage, climate changes and other hardships reduced the first human horde to just 5,000

with significant risk of going extinct, just like many other parallel ancestor lines. However, it was a toss, because with such low number of individuals any mutation, whether detrimental or beneficial, would spread really fast amongst those 5,000 (just compare with today's 6 billions). So, we could either go under really fast, or adapt to the bad times really fast. We were lucky, we adapted. When the situation with demographics improved, then the horde became too crowded, the tensions started rising and the horde split to several groups. Then some of our ancestors started migrating to Asia and Europe. Europe by then was already populated by another human race— Neandertal men, who were short, sturdy, strong, with thick cold-scratch resistant skin, bigger than ours brain and strong skull, and probably ambidextrous, which is handy in a fight. They had a language, used tools, discovered fire and according to the cave pictures even had some religious beliefs while our ancestors still were apes yet. So, they had a big head up, but in the end they went extinct not long ago. In a fight we would be no match, they could take on rhinos and bears, but we had many other advantages:

- 1) We had much less ambidexterity, which means we had asymmetrical brains with well developed and highly efficient specialized speech center, usually in the left lobe and less chance of ADHD/linguistic difficulties, associated with ambidexterity;
- 2) We had much lighter skull with big resonating sinuses/cavities, which allowed us to generate many more different sounds to enrich our language;
- 3) Better speech

allowed us to communicate and distribute social functions, especially during the hunt: only men were allowed to hunt, and they had more coordinated efforts due to the better speech, which decreased risk of hunting accidental deaths. Meanwhile Neandertal men were hunting altogether—men, women and children, which understandably lead to frequent deaths of children and women.

4) Our race is better in monkeying than monkeys. During experiment on apes and human children of the same development level, apes were better in finding a solution, but each of them acted alone, they "kept inventing the wheel" again and again, while as soon as one human child made a breakthrough, the rest of them quickly learned the trick. 5) Combined with rapidly developing language, it resulted in much faster information avalanche, in accumulation and passing along to the next generation knowledge, skills and tools. 6) In addition, our strength was in universality and adaptability. Europe then was undergoing 3 successive periods of rapid climate change, from warming to ice age and back. This resulted in many changes in the ecology—Neandertal's favorite hairy rhinos, bears and mammoths became less available, and with poor communication skills they had hard time coordinating efforts and changing hunting practices. Besides, their brown hydes were suited to blend in in a woody areas while during ice age the woods became rare, which added difficulties to their abilities to hunt small game in open. Finally, the glaciers divided Europe's Neandertals

into 3 separate groups, which resulted in inbreeding, reduced genetic diversity and adaptability, and finally in extinction. Last ones died in a Gibraltar cave when our race has already started building huts. It looks like they were much less aggressive, adventurous and resourceful, than our ancestors: from Gibraltar they could easily swim on a log to Africa, away from the ice age, but they didn't. Probably, genetically they were far enough from us to lose ability to breed with our ancestors, so they disappear completely.

Our brains as a product of natural selection have several important features:

1) We are prone to *pseudo-pattern recognition*. Let's say, there's a log on a trail, or a sun spots on a bush, but we might see a tiger or a panther where there is none. If there is no predator, and we combine in our imagination all these spots as a pieces of puzzle into a predator, then we don't lose our lives. On other hand, if we miss a real predator— we're dead. So, the natural selection pushes towards "hyperdiagnosis"— stuff like "you can't be too cautious" and "better to be safe than sorry". Probably, it is the *psychophysiological basis for establishing a religion*— we see a pattern where it doesn't exist. However, religion apparently offers societal advantages too, so *it has to have societal basis too*, otherwise we wouldn't see the appearance of religions in every society since the stone ages. Similar trend is called by other scientists "*a grouping*", or "obsessive desire to make whole object from fragmentary evidence", as Ramachandran and Rogers put

it. These property are planted in our brain by the evolution since they had some survival benefits. We'll discuss some aspects of the role of religion in society in later chapters, although within a very narrow scope just as applicable to our topic.

2) Physiologically we see *desired* objects nearer than they are, when we judge the distance. So, our emotions interfere with our judgement. No surprises then that even seemingly smart people can become delusional "progressives" or any other totalitarian in a state of permanent denial of facts and reality. The "gull chick principle" and "idiosyncratic preference" also might subconsciously affect our reconstruction of the sensory input, our "subconsciously digitally remastered" perception of the reality.

3) A specific gene is linked to the reckless spending and indebttness, namely MAO A gene (Monoamine oxidase A) with 15.9% incidence. I'd love to check the genetic profile of the Washington, DC politicians— may be, they are just mutants, and that's why they push the country into fiscal abyss? On top of that, the Democrats possibly also have **a "progressive" totalitarian Democrat disorder** which is *expressed by shopping sprees using someone else's money, narcissism and need for approval just like entertainers on stage, delusions, blinding political correctness, denial of reality and facts, wishful thinking, non-existing utopian goals, being pathological liars, full of "noble intentions" that somehow always destroy their own country, and most important—by readiness to send the whole world to hell just to feel good about themselves as "the white knights on a noble quest"*. It's their

totalitarian "sacred values", and if those "values" ruin the world—they don't care, they are blind to reality! *The same mental illness affects all other totalitarians: statist, socialists, national socialists (fascists), communists, islamofascists and the like.*

4) Another confirmation that our visual perception is not fixed, it is affected by our emotions: if we are fearfull, then hill steepness is badly overestimated from 31 to 50 degrees. It is based on interaction of our 2 vision systems— one for perception (which IS affected by emotions), and another one for visually guided actions (which is NOT).

Evolution pressures push simultaneously in very different directions, and the "goals" might be antagonistic ones. In these instances the evolution tries to find a compromise, a new point of equilibrium, of a fine balance between conflicting goals in this particular time and environment. As usually, *nature finds it easier to preserve the existing "floors" and just to build another floor on the top.* For example, when our remote ancestors advanced from cold-blooded reptiles into warm-blooded mammals, the question was where to keep the testicles. If you keep it inside, which is safer, then high temperature will affect the metabolically highly active spermcell production, which will result in several thousand fold increase of the testicle cancer. If you keep it outside, then any accident might render the male useless from the natural selection and advancement of the species point of view. We already are disadvantaged comparably to some germs that reproduce every 20 minutes and can improve themselves

that fast, while our cycle takes 20 years until the next generation can be improved. Judging by the result, the balance was served better by keeping the testicles outside, but to place it in a least vulnerable location in the groin, to protect it with the pubic hair, with the local high resistance against infections and with the use of cremaster muscle when we need temporarily to hide it within the body (in a cold environment, during sexual intercourse and the like). So, you can see that when there is an extremely complex system in the dynamic balance, formed by trillions of interactions of billions of factors and conflicting goals, then the only way to optimize the outcome is a self-regulation with the tightest possible feed-back. That's why any attempts of the government to regulate these self-regulated processes inevitably harm them, cause imbalances, distortions, inner pressure build up, and *unintended consequences always make government "cure" much worse than the ill it supposed "to cure"*. The government has much less feed-back to make the necessary adjustments as we go, and the government consist of very few politicians and "experts", that know nothing about how complicated these process are, but still they have audacity to interfere under pretext of "solving" created mainly by the governemnt itself problems. In reality all they want is to get more power, more money and to ruin our lives. *Only self-regulation with tight feed-back loop and high degree of freedom (in another words– free market in both economics and society) can optimize the outcome.* So, don't let the Washington, DC bureaucrats regulate your testicle

movements— use your cremaster muscle instead. Seriously, just keep in memory the simple fact that **whatever government does— it does it wrong**. Any government action is doomed to cause more harm than good, and that's why T. Jefferson said "the good government is the one that governs as less as possible". *If government hurts anything it touches— don't let our enemy government touch American people, let it touch only our enemies abroad and inside (criminals, terrorists and the like).*

Our present action affect our future. There are many technology and science advances but everything has a risk/benefit ratio, all the successes have some drawbacks and unintended consequences. Many millions underwent organ transplants that is folowed by the immunosuppressive therapy. Many more millions have HIV/AIDS immunity deficiency. Humans have natural total or partial immunity to many germs that are specialized on other living creatures. For example, human TB is caused mainly by human form of TB bacteria while about 10% caused by bovine (cows) and 1% avis (birds) bacteria. Those millions of immunocompromized patients represent a window of opportunities to many germs that can break through the "weak link", and then to specialize on human metabolism good enough to pass on to the healthy individuals as well, which creates a potential for more and more new diseases. Fast growing world's population and extensive communications turn the world into an an ideal expperimentation lab where the germs conduct experiments on our bodies, thus, perfecting themselves. We fight

back with antibiotics and the like, but the fast turnover rate (some germs multiply every 20 minutes) gives them an edge of advantage in the natural selection process. New and new disease (such as already evolved HIV, Ebola virus, FSM, bird flu and the like) will keep emerging with the increasing speed as the successes of medicine increase the percentage of these "weak links" and bad genes in the genetic fund. Severe genetic disorders patients (congenital heart conditions, cystic fibrosis and so forth) used to be doomed to die before they could produce the offspring with the bad genes, but now they are saved and can do so. Lack of sunlight during childhood causes shortsightedness. Chemicals in our food and environment, increasing incidence of obesity, diabetes and many other unintended consequences of the civilization— all these factors give a worrisome prognosis for the future. Any forced measure by the governments (such as forced sterilization, forced use of "healthy products and practices") are doomed to make things even worse, giving more powers to the governments and, thus, accelerating the destruction of society by the Nanny State. A government can provide us with the advisory so we can make an informed decisions but our enemy government must not mandate anything. All we can do is to provide everyone with the pertinent information and hope that people will do right decisions. If not— Mother Nature will eventually use its powers of self-regulation to balance things out.

Let me throw in some random facts to illustrate how *to err is human*, and how every "well intended" interference with the

self-regulation with 100% guarantee results in the unintended consequences. Say, H. pylori bacteria are known to cause stomach ulcers and cancer. OK, antibiotic therapy in the US eradicated the H. pylori in 95% of the population. Great news, right? Well, not so fast. Turns out, the bacteria was part of our system for over 20,000 years, and became part of the balance. It served as a target for our immune system to keep it busy, and after eradication the idling immune system attacks our own body instead, causing autoimmune diseases like asthma. H. pylori also decreases our appetite, so now we want to eat more, which leads to the at least 2 chains of unfortunate events: 1) obesity => diabetes, hypertension=> heart disease with billions, kidney failure with \$ billions on hemodialysis, blindness, arthritis and so forth; 2) GERD (gastro-esophageal reflux disease) => esophageal cancer, aspiration pneumonia and asthma, COPD and the like. Got it? Any intervention in the self-regulated processes has unintended consequences, and that's why **the government-thing cannot possibly work because it's against the laws of nature**. Some people can resist the urge, they are focused on the "short term pain for long term gain", and they become winners. Some people have lazy souls, they just go with the flow, with their animal instincts, preferring "progressives" logo "short term gain for long term pain" – they get fat, they become losers. And then they blame others for their own faults.

## IV. What we are in a social sense

The wisdom of ages reflected in the Roman proverb "Homo homini lupus est", which means *human-to-human is a wolf*. For majority of us it holds true although the nature's demand for the variability, variety of selection choices, the genetic diversity creates some of us more resembling tigers while some other dolphins. Let's say for the sake of discussion that *90% of us wolves, 5% tigers and 5% dolphins*. Wolf is also a social creature with certain non-genetic skills and knowledge passed along by learning "wisdom of ages", by learnin from other pack members. It also has elaborated social hierarchy, some law system (both instinctive and established by their "society"). For example, they don't attack females (what the gentlemen they are!), and if during the conflict one in a display of submission exposes his bare neck—another just physically prevented by his instincts to bite . Some youngsters abuse this instinct— time after time they steal the stronger wolf's meal, and when attacked in response— just expose their neck in the "I surrender!" move, and 5 minutes later repeat that trick again. Wolves, like human, express different patterns of behaviour during good and bad weather times. In a summer, when the food is abundant, the grass is green, and the life is easy—they split into families or lone wolves. When the times are tough, like winter season, then they stick together in packs because it is easier for the species to survive that way: the pack can take

on a moose, and even if a wolf gets killed, the pack will survive. So, yes, majority of us do resemble wolves, we do prioritize our own interests, self-preservation, our family over others though we do take care of others when it's beneficial to our wellbeing and survival.

*Tiger* (and I don't mean the cheatta' ;-)) is selfish, egoistic, egocentric, territorial-and rightly so- because they consume so much meat in the food chain that they wouldn't survive in packs or even big families. Mom teaches her offspring how to hunt, and when they are 6 months old or so- she kicks them out. Since now on they are competitors for the individual survival. If you don't like giving to their human analogs such a noble name "tigers"- oh,well, you can call them "*hamsters*" that kill each other if they get closer than 100 meters or call them any other *solitary animal*, for that matter. Mother nature doesn't take it as an offense- whatever it takes for the species to survive.

*Dolphins* , penguins, whales, who live in a very hostile environment and depend on each other to survive. That would be an ideal material to build utopian society, so I suggest we collect all communists, "progressive" Democrats and other totalitarians and send them to the ocean to realize over there their day dreams rather than ruining our real human world, populated mainly by wolves. Of course, the totalitarian "social engineers" keep trying to make "new men" = dolphins out of us, and of course they fail, creating another blood bath- French Revolutionary terror, Stalin's, Hitler's, Ayatolla Homeini's, Mao's and Pol Pot's "noble

intended" attempts to create "new men" zombies and robots. If you let them— they will keep trying to change your nature until the very last of you is dead, using the coercive powers of the Big Government. So, *minimize the powers of any government to minimize the inevitable abuse and try to prevent totalitarians power grabs.* All totalitarians are the same, they all are criminals against their own people, just committing different crimes.

Other things to aware of ourselves: *We need mythology*, we want our leaders /ideologues to explain us why we are better than others. American exceptionalism does have a true base because it is based on the popular sovereignty and the un-alienated rights (at least until totalitarian "progressives" started eroding the Republic since 1913), which made it the freest country in the world, though Obama wants to destroy it. Others don't have these grounds, but still want the sheppards to explain them, why they got a feeling that they are the best in the world.

*Humans also need scapegoats*, they need some image to hate and *to blame all their fault on someone else.* That's a perfect and easy explanation for all their ills. *Whatever is wrong— blame the scapegoat.* When statist, "progressives" and other totalitarians hurt America— they blame free market for their own fault of overregulating. How much is too much, how much is "over"-regulating? Well, actually, ANY regulation is OVER regulation by definition of the FREE (means, unregulated) market. As more they regulate-as more they hurt the country. *How to find a scapegoat? Use your sense of envy, be jealous, pick the more*

*successful ones and preferably helpless.*

*Chinese* serve as scapegoats in the South-East Asia because they are successful. *Muslim government in Malaysia* officially discriminates against *Chinese*, favoring local Muslims instead, and as usually it fires back, insulating the Muslims from the healthy competition and rendering them more and more useless losers. Losers hate winners, and blame them for their own failures. The same comes true about all "Civil Rights" and "Antidiscrimination" Acts of the 1960-s: all their effects were negative for the "oppressed ones", all they did is stopping the trend of narrowing gap between majority and minorities, ruined minorities families, insulated them from healthy competition of the free market, thus, rendering them forever poor losers. Usual paradox of life— all those "civil right activists" only destroy human rights, all self-appointed "minority rights" activists such as Jesse Jackson and Al Sharpton serve only themselves, while doing everything they can to prevent the full integration of minorities (because it would be the end of their lucrative political career).

Yeah, right, all their Big Government-style "fixes" fixed nothing, just making it worse, as expected, and all these "good wishers" were full of sh.. Oi, I mean, full of noble intentions. Noble intentions always pave road to hell. The actual goal of the Democ-rats is to turn as many American into their voters: lazy losers and immoral freaks, so the Dems can grab more power and money.

*French* hated Germans and Britts and vice versa, *Muslims* hated Armenians and *the most universal scapegoat of all times and places are Jews* for their successes in every intellectual field. Right now the logics tells the Muslims to realize that their problem is a lack of freedom, caused by their own governments and ideologues, that Israel's successes are due to the greater degree of freedom, so the Muslims should come to learn from Israelis how to make their countries freer, thus, more prosperous. Instead, Muslim leaders want to destroy Israel as "a bad example" for their people— what a freer society can accomplish.

*Another important feature:* just like anything else in the nature, we go by the path of least resistance. ***The fluid and electrical current flow the path of least resistance, and so do we.*** If I need to work to make living, then I will. If I am on a welfare for the 3rd generation and someone else pays for me, then I won't. So, if you want to help poor— don't let the government help them, otherwise it will perpetuate the misery. Do us a favor, Mr. Fed— don't do us favors.

Naturally, frequently there is ***discrepancies or even antagonisms*** between ***different drives— biological, social, economic, ethical, customs, psychological, idndividual and so forth.*** Biologically a woman is supposed to start her child bearing when she's about 18 and to finish her child bearing by the time she is 35 y.o.: each pregnancy until that cut off point decreases breast cancer risk ofr 7.5%, after that each pregnancy increases this risk for the same 7.5%, not mentioning increasing risk of

genetic mutations and other health problems in mom and child alike. However, the social drive says : don't even start until you get your education and establish yourself at your work. Well, the best time to have kids is when you retire– you have time, money, home, wisdom and so forth.. But...well, you get it....

*Diversity– is it good or bad? Just like anything else– if it is a result of the natural selection, governed by the laws of mother nature– it is good (it gives more options to choose from for betterment). If it is imposed by the instruments of coercion (government) and by driven by totalitarian ideology propaganda machine (96% "progressive" MSM) then it is bad because we, the people must pay for inevitable consequences of interference. When totalitarian monkeys ("progressives" in America's case) "reform" economy and "engineer" society by sticking a stick of the legislation into self-regulated free market mechanisms– it malfunctions, the outcome worsens, and we all pay for totalitarian redistributionists experiments (like peoples of Russia, Zimbabwe, Kampuchia and many others). If there should be a ban on experimenting– it should be *ban prohibiting totalitarian experimentation on human beings*.*

*Biological drive* tells men: "Get every woman you see to pass along your genes!!", and it tells women: "Pick all the best mates to improve your offspring" (major function of majority of sperm cells is to fight and to immobilize in vagina sperm cells of other men, which means majority of human history promiscuity was the way of life), but the *society and economic drives* say: "Wait,

wait, there so many benefits of family life and monogamy—consider it". The only true monogamy is in one gut worm species with the "love at the first sight"— they get entangles for the rest of their lives. Even swans have social monogamy, but not a sexual monogamy, as genetic studies show.

*Our language (as our society, our body and our biosystems) is also the end-product of self-regulated process with feed-back loop.* Society gradually accumulates customs, traditions, "the wisdom of ages", frequently accumulated in proverbs, that help stabilize society, establish some "rules of engagement" to minimize negative consequences of confrontations, engrave some rule of law in the society to have predictable interactions and predictable results of those. In a mature society those rules, established by the "wisdom of ages" and free market, will suffice to optimize the society life, so there is no need for a government regulation. Government can only serve as 911 service, to comprehend unruly criminals to keep the rule of law. It's not government's business to regulate our language, society, economy, psychology and life. *Government is our enemy by definition, we compete with the government for the power and money, so let's keep our necessary evil, our enemy at bay. **Just say no to Obamunism.***

## **V. Self-regulated systems with feedback loop**

The easiest way to understand this concept is to look at ourselves. Our body has hundreds of parameters influenced by millions of factors interacting in real time and pushing in different, frequently in opposite directions. What is good for one parameter, bad for another. And the window of dynamic stability, compatible with our health and even with the very staying alive is extremely narrow. You can say that in order to live we must stay alive all the time, while in order to die is enough to die only once;-). One thing went wrong (i.e. heart attack) and we are dead, even though 99,9% of the rest of our body was functioning just fine. How they sing "All we are is dust in the wind"? We walk over the razor blade all our life, and to stay alive we must keep all thousands of the parameters within extremely narrow range, fluctuating slightly around the ideal axis as the sinusoid graph. The smaller the amplitude of fluctuations is—the finer the tuning of the organism is, the healthier it is, the better chances for survival, longevity and passing on a successful combination of the genes are. And there are thousands of the "razor blades" in our body. Meanwhile in order to die all we need is just one parameter to go wrong. That's why they say that medicine is half-art and half-science, because the medicine is

never going to be a pure science: we try to help body help itself, and if it doesn't— there's nothing we can do.

Tightly coordinated task of keeping all these parameters within the desired range is called **homeostasis**. Lets pick one of the parameters we're more familiar with: the blood sugar level. Ideally it *fluctuates like sinusoidal graph* around 4 mM/L = 73 mg/dL, and as smaller the amplitude of the fluctuation— the healthier we are. As soon as the blood level exceeds that level— the self-regulation mechanisms that drive it down kick in. As soon as it drops below that— the opposite mechanism kick in. It's just amazing to know how precise this regulation is, how smart our body is— the total sugar content at any given moment is only 5 gm (0.2 oz), and this amount suffices to feed the brain, the muscles and the rest of the body, promptly replenishing this 5 gm as soon as it's consumed. *What drives blood sugar up?* Food (especially bad empty calories of the sweets), stress, trauma, pain, infarction, heat, alcohol initially and certain drugs. Internally the blood sugar increasing forces are catabolic hormones such as catecholamines (including adrenalin), steroids (especially glucocorticoids), growth hormone, hypothalamus/pituitary system, and many-many others. *What drives blood sugar down?* Consumption by the body, storage in the liver as starch (glycogen) or as a fat in adipose tissues, starvation, some drugs, and ultimately anabolic hormones such as insulin. Low insulin level might result in dysphoria, clamminess, shaking, paranoid or aggressive mentality, fainting, death and so forth.

High sugar level slowly ruins all our organs and systems (heart, kidneys, vessels, joints, retina— you name it). That is why so important to treat diabetes before it ruins the whole body. The sugar level is mutually influenced by the electrolite levels, acid-base and water balance, temperature regulation and so forth. Those have their own self-regulation systems with the feed-back loop, and as tighter the feed-back loop is— the healthier the body is. Acid-base buffers include but not limited to bicarbonate-CO<sub>2</sub> with hyper— or hypoventilation as needed, protein buffer, hemoglobin, phosphate, behavioral adaptations, dialysis by kidney and many others. Sure, mother nature had 4.5 billion years to tune up our body, but it is still amazes me what a wonderwul job she did. And that's just one human body. Can you imagine how much more complex is the whole society and the entire economy of the US that involves 300 mln Americans and the rest of the world with trillions and trillions interactions with the amount of possible outcomes that impossible to imagine? **That is why self-regulated free market with feed-back loop is the only way to optimize the life and economics in any society, while any subjective intervention such as government interference inevitably disrupts the self-regulation, causes imbalances, dusproportion, inner tensions and other disturbances, irregularities inevitably worsens the outcome.** As more government's interference— as worse the outcome is. *Government is like a monkey that pushes a stick into computer or a wall clock and trying to fix it.* Then

one wonders why government never works. Because it cannot—that would be against the laws of nature. The probability that government coercive actions without significant feedback would actually work with favorable risk/benefit ratio is about the same as if 6 gorillas from the jungle would sit behind computer desk and start printing Encyclopedia Britannica, which means zero chance. Why even try? Unless one is a delusional totalitarian....

Some *random examples of self-regularion in nature. Our obesity*: majority of humankind history we were starving, so our appetite tends to require more food than we actually need now in order to have some spare calories. When a starvation starts then our metabolism slows down to increase our chances to survive. In a chronic starvation the response is to increase longevity so the species has a chance to go through "7 leans years" and to survive *individually* (as you know, there are some antagonistic goals between individual survival and survival of a species as a whole). Another reaction to starvation or excessive exercising in females is stopping menstrual cycles (until the better times when a woman has a good enough nutrition to bear a child). When a female partner is much older than a male then a chance of having a daughter is higher to compensate for the assumed shortage of females, and vice versa— if a male is much older then the chance to have a son is higher. Similar sense makes the fact that if the child is conceived after prolonged period of abstinence, then the nature assumes females are in shortage and there is higher chance to conceive a girl. By the same token, if a child conceived

during unprotected sex after several intercourses with condoms, then the exhausted man more likely to father a son. We can't be sure of the ways Mother Nature self-regulates such things, but the possible explanation is that even at the stage of spermatozoa female ones have a higher chances of survival due to the presense of 2 X-chromosomes with a backup if one is defective, so after a period of abstinence higher %% of sperm cells will be females. Humans typically prefer a thermally processed food over the raw because those who liked it raw had a higher chance to perish from parasites and germs. Humans get somnolent when the conditions endanger them during their potential reids: when it's dark and stronger and better equipped predators are hunting; when the stomach is full and we can't run fast enough; when we are ill, thus, less likely to escape the predators; when there's bad wheather and we can get pneumonia or slip over the slippery surface while trying to avoid a predator or chasing a prey. Social events might also affect the process of natural selection. For example, after the French Revolution when totalitarian lefties in the name of brotherhood and freedom set up fire of the wars all around of Europe, then the average height of French dropped for almost 10 inches. The similar effect had a Left communist government in North Korea: due to chronic starvation North Koreans now are almost 10 inches shorter than South Koreans. The lefties are always enemies of their own countries and their own people. Some germs replicate every 20 minutes, hence, human's life is programmed to last until our children grow enough to reproduced

themselves in the eternal competition of species for survival: the germs adapt to our changing biology, we adapt our defense systems to their ever-changing ways of perfecting their offense and assault means. If we live too long then we already don't participate in the natural selection and survival improvement of the species while we still consume the limited resources that would be available to the next self-improving generation.

So, the natural course of events may be painfully slow and cumbersome, but it is the only way to optimize the outcome.

# **VI. State & bureaucracy as necessary evil; Government as the biggest problem of any given people, country or nation . The role of the feedback loop at the different levels of a society**

*P. J. O'Rourke*: in "Parliament of whores": To call something public is to define it as dirty, insufficient and hazardous. The ultimate paradigm of social spending is the public restroom". I would also add public "servants" in Washington, DC, failing public schools and public women. What career politicians do? They "love their country" for money (and they decide themselves how much their "services" worth) taken by them out of our pockets . What do you call a person who loves for money? That is correct, a whore.

But let's first make case for bureaucracy in general and for government in particular. Do we need them? If yes– how much? Unfortunately, yes, we need some-very little though. Why? Because of the human nature, which is aggressive, selfish, violent, lazy and because all human activities follow the path of least resistance. The human horde is amorphous like an amoeba, so it needs some external shell, a form, a membrane, a skin, a vessel to keep the content in a stable shape. Here's another analogy:

imagine a bunch of cells combined in a slug that slowly crawls on a stone. The slug is exposed to all kind of environmental hazards and enemies– insects, birds, germs. Imagine, the slug hired a shell to protect itself and to contain it in a defined shape. Looks like the slug turned into a snail. All good and well? Now, imagine the shell has a mind on its own, as our "public servants" in Washington, DC do. The shell starts sucking powers, blood and the substance from the slug in order to increase to size and weight of the shell and it keeps doing so until poor anemic slug collapses and dies under the weight of the shell. Welcome to Greece, then to the rest of the Europe, then to the US. Guess, how much unfunded liabilities all the layers of the US government have? You think, \$14 trillion? Think twice. About 10 times more! Hard to imagine? Read "The other national debt" by Kevin D. Williamson. And main culprits are the socialist labor unions at the municipal and state levels that use blackmail of the “collective bargaining” to unearned money and benefits, paid from you pocked, and they don’t care that they push the whole country into the abyss of bankruptcy. If the population is mature enough to follow unwritten rules of civilized behavior, the wisdom of ages, customs, religious traditions and 10 Commandments, if they have a sense of the community, then bad thing of any noticeable magnitude are unlikely to happen. It is in the best interests of everyone to live in a community without fear, where you don't have to look all the time behind your shoulder.

What happens when there is a blackout in the rural area populated mainly by the decent conservatives, who "cling to their guns and religion"? Actually, nothing! Just regular life! Well, may be a birth rate will slightly go up. Hard-working conservative people keep working and living as usual, police or not, government or not. On other hand, if the masses have no ethic code, no social fabric ties, no personal relationships with their neighbors, only the deep rooted sense of their entitlements to the things they didn't earn (such is the case in the ruled by the Democrats socialist states and cities, for example, New York City, Chicago, Washington, DC) – then they do need an external force to maintain the rule of law. The Democratic party is *ruled by the lazy elitist rich trash and voted for by the lazy poor trash*, as opposed to the hard-working conservatives, (whether poor rednecks or rich Main Street businessmen), who appreciate the fruits of their hard labor. The Democrats, whether losers on welfare or speculators on the Wall Street, don't work hard, so, they don't appreciate the fruits of hard labors, especially in your pocket. Easy come-easy go.

You remember what happened to New York City during blackout, and you know what happens now in the Democratic Chicago (where the totalitarians disarmed law abiding citizens, so only trash created as a permanent voting base by the Democrats and the enemy of the people government are armed).

As you know, there is no freedom without law. Mature conservative population follows the laws without external

enforcement. In a Nanny-State, in the socialist states, the infantile population of eternal teenagers with a sense of entitlement (that is a product of the socialism or any other redistributive society) does need a whip. Without any external shape, a frame, the amorphous mass will behave like wild animals, especially in the socialist states. Do you remember what happened in eternally Democratic NYC during the blackout? Robberies, looting, rapes and all kinds of crimes immediately following the blackout. During power grid problems in rural areas blackouts resulted in no violence at all because the behavior was governed by the unwritten rules, by the wisdom of ages, by the ethical code, by the 10 Commandments.

Now a quick scoop of the possible governance forms.

Chaos of *anarchy* is a short transition period, typically organized by the totalitarians in order to create unbearable situation where the sick and tired of violence population will ask the same totalitarian scumbags to bring about order. That's how red communist totalitarians in Russia in 1917 or brown Hitler's totalitarians in Germany in 1933 organized themselves the anarchy and chaos to create an excuse for the totalitarian "law and order restoration"—we know the results from the history. You ask them to "restore" the order? Oh, the totalitarian scumbags will—with an iron fist, under their rules, with no personal freedom. *Democracy*, the rule of majority, is also a transitional state, where the rules change every day as majority votes for something they like today better. The votes in democracy are frequently

can be bought, and Obama did it with the taxpayers' money—he promised "an income tax return" to 95% of Americans, if they vote for him, even though after 100 years of the socialists encroachment only half of Americans actually pay taxes now. **Oligarchy**, the rule of the elite, is the most common type of governance today. Professional politicians and, possibly, even amateurish Chicago— style mobsters dominate the politics now (will see what the CHicago trial final results are). **Republic, the rule of law**, is the best possible type of governance. Classic example— the cowboys catch a robber and after voting by majority Lynch him— that's democracy. When they catch him and bring to the court of law where the peers decide his fate according to the law— that's Republic. As T. Jefferson famously replied to a woman asking about future type of governance in America: " *Republic, ma'm... if you can keep it*". Yes, Republic, the rule of law, is the best possible way with least government interference, but the power greedy scumbags in Washington, DC always more power, more money, and they keep trying to erode the Republic. Now it happens in a catastrophic proportions.

*Federal government as the worst enemy of the people is most noticeable, but the bureaucracy doesn't start there, it's just the worst kind.* To appreciate which kind is more and which is less tolerable, we shall have to use the same **universal criterion: the degree of freedom**, which in more scientific terms reads **the strength of the feed back loop**.

*Personal decision making:* The highest degree of freedom, the

strongest feed back loop for the decision making and resulting action is when an individual decides for him/herself.

*Family decision making:* It's pretty darn good too, considering it creates less tensions within the family when everybody is involved.

*Advice in the decision making:* Two former ones might seek advice from those they deem capable of giving a sound advice. This might include friends, extended family, church, community association members, an attorney, professional consultants of all kinds and the like. Typically the help is sought when the decision is important and effects are long lasting.

*Lower level of bureaucracy:* This might include small companies you might work at. Well, it's still bearable "risk/benefit ratio"—they know you personally, you can communicate with them, you can use diplomacy or use reasoning, so this shell is rather soft, the feed back loop still reasonable—in short, we're cool.

*Mid-level bureaucracy:* It can be a mid-size company you work at, or it can be your favorite postal office, or it can be a municipal office. You still might have some say, some feed back loop, especially if you know them personally, but your chances to achieve a favorable outcome are getting slimier.

*High level bureaucracy:* It can be your state government office, a big corporation HQ in another state, or it can be you BMV office. Well, buddy, you're in trouble, they don't hear you. The shell is getting rigid, thick, you hardly can penetrate it.

Regarding the big corporations -it depends. Generally speaking—Big Governments likes Big Corporation because they are easier managable. Can you imagine twisting arms of a million of small businesses? A time consuming taks. How about Big Corpration CEO? Just call him to the carpet, threaten to regulate to death Toyota because they dare not to let socialist labor unions destroy Toyota,(just as **socialist labor unions have already destroyed former automotive capital of the world Detroit with America losing to the world-wide competition due the artificially inflated by the socialist labor unions costs of carmaking**), and the shake down is complete in a matter of hours. Bribes not always save their neck too— BP paid to Obama a lot of money, Obama is their biggest recipient in 26 years, and BP keeps supporting all this "clean energy" crap,so BP thought that they can shortcut now the oil business recommendations freely. Well, here they got burned though. Their friend Obama couldn't prevent the Gulf oil spillage, and he even did another \$ 20 billion BP shakedown to have basically personal fund to pay to his cronies. National socialist Hitler also kept the Big Corporations afloat, just as democratic socialist Obama does. Big money bags for the future shakedowns. It's called cronies capitalism, not a free market.

*Classic pattern how the bureaucrats hurt you: They use perceived, real or just created by them crisis to grab more power. 96% totalitarian leftist media help them by hysterical coverage of any incidents, mishaps and the like to create in public the*

sense of urgency like "DO something!". The government and "progressive" media create a hype to make sure no one will dare challenge the essence of the "change" because of the highly emotional "noble" label, something like "Help poor kitties, poor minorities, poor women, poor crime victims, poor children, poor cripples" and the like. Oh, yes, the bureaucrats gladly will do "something". *They will do virtually nothing to punish perpetrators or right the wrongs, but they will punish 100% of innocent ones.* When the government disarms-it disarms only law obiding citizens while the criminals are still armed, which make the situation much worse. When the chief enemy of patients Joint Commission mandates locking up the innocent medicines in the C-section room, it kills the babies and mothers alike when emergency C-sections come. When it mandates piles and mountains of paper work "to prevent mistakes", then it is the time stolen from the patients care to the care of paper, and less time for patients means more chance of making mistake in a rush. When the bureaucrats make doctors, nurses and pharmacists waste their time on spying on each other, on doing cross checks and so forth under pretext of "narcotic abuse prevention", again, it hurts only innocent people and diverts our valueble time to create more papers for the bureaucrats just to justify their existence and tighten their grip. *There is no presumption of innocence* for those our enemies. They treat all of us as guilty and demand that we prove our innocence. If 100% of the government bureaucracy is eliminated, then innocent

people can actually work, and the very few real criminals and perpetrators (something like 0.00001% of the impacted by the bureaucrats population) will be caught by police and tried. *When their mandates inevitably fail, they explain that it is because there are NOT ENOUGH mandates, and grab even more power, intrude into your privacy even more.* Just like another invasion in privacy regarding cell phone use with zero effect on the safety— police can stop you in socialistic Illinois and go through you private cellphone call log. Now under Obama they demanding ID for a bottle of wine purchase even though I don't look younger than 40. Soon the totalitarians will demand a written permission from the communist commissioners or the socialist labor union to buy a drink? CSI health corporation demands the hospital workers to submit their fingerprints to the medicine distributing machines. Should we be thankful for not being required a rectal probe for that purpose? And ***now, when the enemies of America took over Washington, DC*** these power grabs started growing with the speed of an avalanche, like a snow ball.

*Whatever positive or negative happens in our lives, the universal response of the delusional "progressive" enemies of the people is always the same: "Oh, we need more government, more power grabs, more government wasting, more entitlement programs although the only thing they do is generating more losers and parasites like the manure grows more mushrooms.*

Overall, majority of the corporations still on the side of free market, on the side of freedom with the 60:40 ratio, although

some of them like BE or GE sided with the totalitarian enemies of America. GE sucks blood out of America for all this heavily subsidized by taxpayer's money "green crap", and they own worst leftist TV station in the country. One can just subtract the "enemy" points from the "friend" points to see who is who. If one is 90% for freedom, for free market, then  $90\% - 10\% = 80$ , they're 80% friends of America. Obama is 100% enemy of America, of course. GE  $90 - 10 = 80\%$  enemy of America, and so forth. If you want to evaluate the whole government, then you can go by the taxation level with a healthy approximation. On extremes-zero taxes means 100% freedom, 100% taxes means 100% slavery. Slavery is the worst productive system, full freedom is the system with best productivity. If Scandinavian country levy 70% in taxes, then it's 70% slavery, 30% freedom. If you include the cost of running the government, then before Obama America had 43% freedom and 57% slavery respectively, but now it is much worse. How much worse we shall know only when Obama will be kicked out of the White House.

The government offices are the worst of all those levels of bureaucracy, of course, because they have a least degree of feedback. All they do is collecting their paychecks, benefits, protected by the socialist labor unions, demand a paper work FROM YOU, meanwhile doing nothing. If they do something—they occasionally might be responsible for their actions, but if they do nothing—they have nothing to fear. They feed on paper, it's their way to justify their existence (which in fact has

no justification at all), so they generate thousands of papers, mandates, regulations, demanding FROM YOU to waste all you potentially work time for filling out tens of thousand papers. The required paperwork pile becomes unbearable, you have physically no time not only to do your job or fill out their papers, but even to read all their demands. That's how collapsed great empires— Egypt, ancient China, Austro-Hungary and many others. Do you know how the governors were chosen in ancient China? They a calligraphy contest, and whoever was a best bureaucrat with the best handwriting-was in charge of life and death of the province.

*Federal bureaucracy:* If you are a decent person-it is your plain enemy, the biggest enemy of your life. They want with ever accelerating speed to take away all your money, all your decision making powers, your right to say anything or even to think not according to their politically correct orders. It's 100% pure evil, they do no job they have right to do (according to American Constitution, the only federal crimes are money counterfeiting, piracy and treason) but put their nose in every slingle thing they have no right to! The only thing we expect from the feds is to protect our borders-and they fail at that miserably, keeping the borders open for all kinds of criminals, including islamofascists of Hizbolla. And they have nerves acting against Arizona that attempts to inforce their own federal laws!

The litmus test is: *what would happen if the feds overnight just disappeared?* Say, green aliens took them away and planted on

the hostile planet X as a biological weapon of mass destruction to destroy their enemies? What a sigh of relief! All the country (well, except from some America haters and parasites) would eventually start breathing free. 100% better! What if the state bureaucrats also were taken away to another planet? Our life would be 80% better. What if the hated by the Democ-rats business community would disappear? Oh-oh.. end of the country....time to pack baggage.

*International bureaucracy:* So, you think the feds are the worst possible villains, the filthiest bastards? Think twice. Let's start with *European Union* whose ideologists basically were trying to replicate totalitarian Soviet Union. (I would call them "grinding freedom fathers" although they had a nerve to compare themselves to American Founding Fathers that HAVE established the freest country in the world, returning to the people the sovereignty, while Eurototalitarians actually took away the freedom and decision making powers from the people, usurping the power by unelected bureaucracy). *Natural course of totalitarization of the world, promoted by the people's enemies— their governments, keeps getting worse and worse.* Remember how many European nations turned down during referenda totalitarian European Constitution that was basically eliminating the people's say? And the peoples of Europe voted "NO" in 2005. You think it stopped the Eurobureaucrats power grab? No, it just slowed them down. They disguised the totalitarian Euroconstitution as the Lisbon

treaty and despite several defeats kept putting on a vote until socialist governments pushed it through. Then, of course, they stopped the voting since the power grab was accomplished. Those totalitarians just don't take a people's "NO" for an answer— they keep pushing until they reach the next level of totalitarization. EU bureaucrats (especially top Eurobureaucrat Jose Barroso) were blackmailing Irish voters, reminding them during the financial crisis that they owe to European Central Bank over 120 billion Euro. Armtwisting worked— next time Irish voters gave in and approved totalitarian Lisbon treaty on Oct.3, 2009. International Justice Court in Hague was another step towards eliminating nation's say, reducing individual countries sovereignty by un-elected judicial bureaucrats. As a freer society, the US refused to be subject to the more totalitarian Europe-based court, and justly so. However, the un-elected bureaucrats along with people's enemies— their government keep pushing their totalitarization agends world-wide, they want to create the new world order. *The next level of bureaucracy, threatening to the very existence of people's individual liberties, is **the UN**.* The UN is dominated by totalitarian redistributionist governments and the most influential bloc is OIC, organization of islamic conference, where 57 islamofascist countries dictate their agenda of islamization of the world. As you know, based on anti-humane genocidal Shariah law contemporary Islam scientifically should be referred to as islamofascism as long as the Muslims have not purged yet the Shariah laws from Islam. Recent Campala

conference regarding ICC, international criminal court, stealthily promoting the New World Order, to put everyone in the world, and first of all American people, under the jurisdiction of these unelected judicial bureaucrats. They will use the broad and vague definition of "agression" as a base of a prosecution, and their hint was that defense against non-governmental terrorists such as Al-Quaida, Hamas, and Iranian proxy Hezbollah is illegal, so thousands of American soldiers protecting our security and lives overseas will be subject to the criminal prosecution by the self-appointed judicial bureaucrats in ICC. The UN bureaucrats want to kill American sovereignty and basically take over the US, and Obama's "citizen of the world" regime assists them by all means. Giving away American sovereignty to the UN was reportedly Obama's PhD thesis, which reportedly was very conveniently lost before 2008 elections, so we couldn't see for ourselves how Obama actually wants to ruin the US as a country. If totalitarian governments of the world will confirm their will to take over, then next conference in 2017 will approve the ICC, the new World's Court authority over USA. Under Campala definitions of "agression", *all military activities of the US after WWII might fall into the "agression" category* and, thus, can be prosecuted by ICC. Of course, the US can resist totalitarian world's majority (yes, it is the fact that majority of world's GOVERNMENTS are totalitarians, let's get over this simple fact. Moreover, America is almost alone in it's longing for freedom. On the bright side, it means America can totally disregard so called "world's public

opinion", because it is the opinion of freedom-hating totalitarians in the governments and in their lap-top media . For example, in 2002 the Congress enacted the American Service Members' Protection Act, authorizing the President to use all means, including military force, to prevent any American from being extradited to the ICC, but the question is: *will Husein Obama use his right to protect Americans overseas?* I doubt it. In his soul he is not an American, he does not belong to the land of free. *Husein Obama is a "world's citizen", particularly, a totalitarian third world citizen, no matter where he was born. Evidently, his "ego", his self-identification, his way of thinking have evolved abroad, in Indonesia, and that's why without 2 teleprompters even when talking to 6th-graders Obama behaves in a strikingly un-American way, not knowing how and when to salute, how to honor fallen Americans, why it is morally wrong to let the connected to terrorist organizations islamofascists to build a monument to islamofascism, the Ground Zero Mosque, in a place where islamofascists massacred Americans on 9/11, and other stuff like that, which any American kid knows by heart. If it's an American heart, an American soul, of course.*

*The UN also pushing through a world-wide ban on small arms (un-Constitutional in the US), which, if ratified by the US government, will erase the 2nd Amendment and disarm American people. As you know, all totalitarian governments as enemies of the people want to disarm their people in order to render them helpless before the government bureaucrats will.*

*The UN* also wants to erase the 1st Amendment, trying to make an international law initiated by the 57 islamofascist countries a "blasphemy" ban, which factually will stifle any critical voices against anti-humane Shariah law to make islamization of the world easier. If you are exposing the essence of islamofascism— the totalitarians will prosecute you for "a hate crime". It's OK for islamofascists not only hate, but kill "infidels", but the truth about it is a new "hate crime". In fact, hate speech is the only speech that needs a Constitutional free-speech protection (sweet talk like "Oh, I love kitties!" does not need a 1st Amendment protection), not because of its nonexisting "merits", but for the sake of all other freedoms. Otherwise the "progressive" totalitarians create one tiny exception to push the society over the edge to the 1st baby step on the totalitarian slippery slope (the hate speech), then another one, and another one and so forth until there is no free speech at all. Besides creating a precedent, the first step, the "hate speech" law, just like "a hate crime" law, puts a human being in charge of deciding, what does constitute a hate speech or a hate crime, and what not (which automatically programs for errors, mistakes and abuses— to err is human, power corrupts and so forth). Justice must be color, gender and emotions blind in order to be equal and fair, the process must be fair and equal, not the outcome, which always will be different because we all are different. But the punishment for the same murder must be the same, whether it is "a hate crime" or a murder because of

too much love (Hmm, what the heck is that? a euthanasia?). Of course, "progressive" judicial activists in courts will keep pushing envelop towards more and more politically incorrect truth prosecuted as a a hate speech. The only logical solution is to make ZERO exceptions– ***Constitutionally garanteed free speech must be exactly that– a totally free speech, including a hate speech– no exceptions!*** Tolerating a hate speech is much lesser evil than opening Pandorra's box of making exceptions to the 2nd Amendment which in the long run inevitably will kill all the freedoms and then the whole country (remember– when the government controls everything, just like in the Euro-zoo – it ruins demographics, economy and causes fiscal crisis with inevitable collapse of the civilization). I hate Hitler but I'd rather tolerate him talking than the government using pretext of shutting Hitler up to shut up eventually all of us as a result of unavoidable chain reaction.

*The UN* also wants to take over and redistribute around the world, including amongst islamofascist countries, America's wealth under pretext of "global worming" hoax. If not for the recent revelations about "androgenic warming" fraud and the fight between retarded countries to get the bigger share of America's wealth, then Obama would sign a BINDING Copenhagen treaty, allowing the un-elected World Government (as it was called in several paragraphs of the treaty) to take away earned by Americans money and give it away to the un-civilized countries with very little industrial development. Good thing, the

"developing" countries started in Copenhagen fighting each other to get a bigger piece of the un-dead yet America's body, so as a result there was no legally binding treaty YET. Otherwise if the Democratic socialist in the Congress would ratify the treaty during the lame-duck session (in addition to their other crimes against American people), then Americans would have no way out of the ratified treaty unless ALL the country that signed the treaty would agree to stop robbing America and let us go free from such a treaty. Treaty for us— a free treat for them, so there'd be less than zero chance to get out of chains Obama wanted to chain us in Copenhagen.

You see, the higher the level of bureaucracy, the worse it gets for the people, the less freedom, the less self-regulation with the feedback loop— the worse totalitarianism gets. So, the first 2 enemies of American people's we must defeat are the Washington, DC and the UN. The US under next president must leave the totalitarian snake nest called UN ASAP, and people's real representatives in the next Congress must pass meaningful Constitutional Amendments reducing FOR GOOD size, scope, powers and the budget of the federal government -ideally to about 1% of today's monstrosity. Yes, I repeat, ideally we should slush about 99% of the federal government, and also in a lesser degree we need to decrease the size and powers of the lesser enemies of the people, the lower levels of the government. How close to the ideal 99% reduction in the feds powers we will get depends on the amount of true freelovers, real conservatives

in the next Congress and it depends on their understanding of the gravity of the catastrophic situation, why and how we got into this mess, and how to summon our savior— the freedom, the Free Market, the original American Constitution. And we can do it only now, when Husein Obama pushed so hard with his totalitarization that rude awakening of Americans might for the 1st time in 100 years push the totalitarians back. Last 100 years since 1913 the enemy, the government kept encroaching into people's sovereign territory, nibbling away freedom after freedom, bit by bit, thus, worsening demographics, economy and moral values of America. The silent majority minded their own business, didn't want to have anything to do with the dirt of politics, and hence we ended up ruled by our inferiors. Now America woke up and it has a last chance to regain the freedom from the government, to return power to the people. It's **whether November or never!** If the Washington, DC will managed to keep its power under the **excuse of "reiging in" itself**, then America will pass the point of no return and will folow the suicidal path of Europe: **accelerating** process of totalitarization from Nanny State statism to socialistic "paradise" and finally to islamic hell.

So, let's *sum up*: the govenment stemms up from bureaucracy as its worst form. Government is an extreme and most virulent form of bureaucracy because it has the weakest feedback loop from US, THE PEOPLE, from the end-users.

***Mode of government's action: Rob Peter to pay Paul.***

Government is a parasite, it can create nothing. All it does is taking from the place it needed more, waste most of the money, and invest the leftover to where it needed the least. Statist and their pseudo-scientist servants Keynesians pee in their pants shouting excitedly: "For each dollar the government invests there is 1.7 dollars return!". Only delusional redistributionists with direct and vested interest in a Big Government might swallow that crap. Government has no dollars because it is a parasite. Government takes your hard earned bucks and "invests" in a worst possible way. Depending where you invest your dollars in a private market, you would get 4–5 times more, if the government wouldn't steal your money. In Michigan pompous government "job creation" program resulted in net decrease of employment. Why? Because the government doesn't have a clue and it cannot have a clue: only wonderful self-regulating mechanisms of the Free Market can determine where, when and how much of investment, technology and manpower is needed. So, when the stupid government interferes wasting our money, it distorts the balances, messes us the self-regulation, worsens utilization of resources and inevitably worsens the outcome. As more active the government is as more damage it does. Life is built out of paradoxes. When a professional politician (who loves his country for money) gets a pork barrel to his constituency using our money, you think at least he/she does them a favor? Think twice! A study showed that the pork barrel money, again, distorts the self-regulating mechanism of the local free market,

hurts local business and DECREASES local employment and in the long run does more harm than good (not mentionins other regions whose money these life-long politicians like the liberal Teddy Bear Kennedy steal). That's why the government must have almost no power, almost no money, and the politicians must be paid only symbolical salary (just like during Founding Fathers old good times) during their only allowed 2 terms. The officials, both elected and non-elected, must come for 4–8 years, fulfill their constituency orders and leave for good rather than forming ruling political class, professional career politicians, elitist establishment. When there will be no money, no power, no benefits with heavy duty taksks to accomplish-then only real patriots will be willing to spend 4–8 years of their lives truly serving the people. As of today those "public servants" play public's masters, they determine themselves how much to be paid, how big their benefits are, and after serving may be just 2 years they get the payments and the benefits for the rest of their lives. May be, the Constitution should specify that an official cannot have salary more than triple average American early income. May be, then they will have more incentives to improve our incomes rather than just stealing our money.

Power corrupts, no power– no corruptions, and let the Free Market save us from the power and money greedy crooks in governments, legislatures and courts.

*All forms of bureaucracy, including government, share certain similarities.* I will mention just a few of them, and you

can add much more yourselves. I will refer to all bureaucrats as "they", while describing those enemies of freedom, the enemies of the people "at work".

a) They ignore presumption of innocence, they treat all of us as "guilty unless proven otherwise", which gives us an opportunity to challenge 100% of bureaucracy mandates from corporations to the states and federal levels as un-Constitutional (given the courts are not completely taken over by our enemies, by the freedom-hating "progressives");

b) They trust no one, they respect no one, they try to take a decision making powers from us completely; they assume that they are superior to you while you are a sheep to be guided by the "enlightened" bureaucrats;

c) Thus, they micromanage everything more and more, pushing more and more sticks in the wheels of self-regulation until they block all the processes of development to the point of deadlock;

d) They put totallay unnecessary burden of regulations, tasks, paper work on the shoulders of 100% of us in the futile attempt to single out very few bad apples. They punish 100% of innocent us frequently letting slip away the real culprits. (For example, dispartmig only law-abiding citizens instead of criminals, whom they never will be able to disarm, which inevitably worsens violent crime rate. They demand exhaustive paperwork from all health workers claiming to prevent narcotics sell, which prevents nothing but steals time from the actual patient's care. Those

damn bureaucrats demand even to return empty vials from propofol, toradol and the like (medicines that have zero abuse potential) wasting our time for bureaucrats paper care instead of patient care). The best thing that can happen to quality of medical care is if 100% of those federal, state, municipal and corporate bureaucrats would just disappear and the health care workers, doctors and nurses, would help the patients make decisions rather than damn bureaucrats make decisions for patients, doctors, nurses, health insurers and hospitals.

e) They feed on the paper, they generate piles and mountains of papers themselves, but demand us to generate even more to imitate activity and to justify the bureaucracy existence. Thus, they slow down the process, they worsen productivity of the system, they diminish useful outcome, they worsen efficiency of the manpower and hence hurt the country. The country would be 90% better if 90% of the bureaucrats would be fired for good and their positions eliminated (unfortunately, we cannot eliminate 100% of them, but 90% would be great). They could pick some garbage in the parks though— it'd be at least some use of them;

f) They use as an excuse any unfortunate event (that inevitably happens from time to time due to the law of big numbers in the natural course of events), any mishaps, any real, perceived or created by the bureaucrats "crisis", "emergency", "catastrophe" and the like to justify their further power grab, to worsen the avalanch of mandates and regulations and to tighten their control over their subjects with consequent worsening of economy,

society life and general wellbeing within their reach until it is overloaded and collapses;

g) They are totally blind to the unintended consequences of their actions that happen in 100% of all bureaucracy interventions; their vision is a tunnel vision, they don't understand that everything in this world is interconnected and interrelated; that any action sends reverberations like a waves when you throw a stone in the pond. Their brain functions (should I say "malfunctions") mechanically, according to the formal logics, just like a robot's "brain", they are unable to grasp the whole picture, the process in development, in dynamics.

h) They always hurt those whom they claim to protect or to represent. If their "patrons" in a particular action/law are losers, parasites and trash, then they give them "short term gain for long term pain". If their "clientelle" in a particular case are decent people then they give them "short term pain and long term pain". They never get in practice the concept of the free market development that creates "short term pain for long term gain". Why they actively neglect it? Because free market proves time after time that more that 90% of those bureaucrats are harmful to the country, not just unnecessary. Of course, they subconsciously are in a state of denial.

j) They typically act on superficial assumptions basis against common sense, ignoring the laws of nature, including humans' nature, the laws of self-regulated processes with the feed back loop, including free market;

i) They are hell-bent on controlling you and couldn't care less about end-results. They just hide under the carpet everything that contradicts their views and exposes their practices as the faulty ones;

k) They have delusional way of thinking that their mere administrative powers somehow give them magical (low level bureaucracy) or divine (the government, especially the federal level) powers that can override the laws of nature;

l) They are sure that might makes right;

m) They falsely assume that their highly specialized education (knowing everything about nothing) somehow make them smarter than others, than "end-users", just because regular folks don't possess deep knowledge of the bureaucrat's particular field. They don't realize that actually opposite is true: regular folks have common sense and the real life experiences while those "ivory tower" specialists lose they common sense and step on the same rakes time after time. Thus, they keep worsening their micromanagement until they ruin everything to the point of collapse;

n) Judging by the current balance of "risk-benefit ratio" of the Big Government where negatives outweigh positives approximately 100:1 then we, the people, need to cut down *permanently* current size, scope and budget of all levels of government, especially feds, by about 99%. This should possibly yield roughly the same 99% improvement in the efficiency of the economy, quality of life and it should save our freedom

from the government for many more generations to come. If the next Congress will be not enough conservative, strong and understanding to weaken our enemy government by 99% then the gains will be smaller and the squid of the government will regenerate cut off tentacles faster. Each % of weakening of our enemy government is good as it makes country freer and more prosperous, but as bigger the government cuts as better. Size matters. Even more important-to prevent the hydra of government regrowing its tentacles. Say, we, the people reduce government size, scope and budget by 50%— the improvement will be at least 50% but leaving many government offices open means they will start growing back right away. You cannot reform and make "user friendly" your enemy by definition, you can only subdue it if you reduce its power by 99% and chain it down by the Constitution chains. If government chained— you are free, if government broke free— you are chained by "chains be can believe in". ***There is no 3rd way— it's whether we, the people win and chain the government-or the government wins and chains us.*** Half-measures will give only temporary relief leaving to our enemy government opportunities to regroup and to grab more powers from us, the people later on. We are bound together like Harry Potter and Voldemort except we cannot completely eliminate each other. If a government completely obliterates the people like communists tried to do in Campuchia then there are no sheep to rule. If we, the people eliminate 100% of the government then we descend into anarchy, chaos with

ensuing dictatorship. So, keeping permanently only 1% of the government is a nice compromise. Besides, if we, the people learn from the experience that we actually need to keep 1.5% of today's government— hey, *it's always easier to throw more food to the beast than to take it back from the feeding monster*. Expect really tough fight in the Congress next year when conservative patriots in Congress will try to put the boot on the throat of Congress itself. It's an uphill battle, and it can be won only if there is enough freedom-lovers in Congress and if the reform is complete and comprehensive (including 100% elimination of the professional career politicians class by Constitutional 2 term limitation to any government official, elected or not, and to all ever passed by the Congress laws). *Impeaching tripple-enemy of America Obama in early 2011 and medically excluding half-brainer Biden would definitely help save America* by taking away the power of veto from the hands of the enemies of the people.

o) They push our country towards fiscal catastrophe, especially if they are backed by the hostile ideology such as socialist labor unions. Medicaid, Medicare and Social Security get a lot of negative attention as an example fiscal recklessness—and justly so, because the government collect our money reportedly for the programs, but then immediately embezzles it for other reckless spending, and when it's time to pay the debts—the government robs the new generation taxpayers again to pay off the government debts. However, the majority of unfunded government liabilities come the state and municipal levels where

the socialist unions twist arms and get unearned money/benefits. Total amount is estimated to over 100 trillion dollars versus "only" 14 trillion dollar Obama's deficits. Some municipalities in California have 75% of their budget as payment to those unfunded liabilities. *Previously illegal collective bargaining must be returned to the status "against the law", we must let the governments of all levels go bankrupt and pay a cent per dollar of unfunded liabilities to avoid full scale financial collapse of the country.* Of course, these socialist labor unions pay bribes to politicians in order to keep the opportunity to rob the country, and Obama was one of their biggest recipients.

Well, you get the idea— the bureaucrats are our enemies by definition from the corporations to the government levels, and our task (however difficult it is) is to cut them down to 1% both amount of offices and available positions and to pay them according to the end results, whenever it's feasible. A bureaucrat was supposed to process a 1,000 application a month but processed only 100? He/she gets only 10% of the paycheck. As higher the level of bureaucracy is as worse the outcome, as more enemies they are. So, the people should be aware that so far there are virtually no tangible benefits from the federal level of the government (which means it's ***time to close down ALL the feds offices, leaving open only 10% of CIA, 5% of FBI and 1% of the State Department***), very little from the state governments (let's be generous and keep open 20% of them), and some benefits from the municipalities (alright, will close

down only 70% of their offices). Reagan wasn't a revolutionary, he realized the limitations imposed by the full of people's enemies Democratically controlled Congress and by the public insufficient understanding of the powers of freer market. Reagan just reduced a little bit choking government grasp, decreased weight of the government shackles on the market's feet and it was good enough to create additional 30 trillion \$ using the same resources. Hard to imagine benefits to all of us if 99% of the government shackles on our feet would be removed— America would immediatelly stop losing its leadship position in the world in all aspects. But Obama and his socialists in the Congress want just opposite—they want to reduce America to the 3rd world country because from their view America sets a dangereous for them expample that people do much better without coercion of "their betters", of the self-appointed "enlightened" elite, with minimal government, just like it was in America 200 years ago. Freedom and and first of all free market made America great, and it will make America even greater if we,the people will restore original Constitutions with ensuing liberties. *Restoring Constitution means eliminating 99% of today's government size, scope and budget along with creating extra safety features to minimize risk of another power grab by hostile ideologies and by the Big Government, the people's enemy.*

Worldwide if the peoples would folow our lead and also fire 90% of the governments, reducing 90% of their powers and budgets, then they would solve 90% of their problems and they

would have 90% freer and more prosperous life.

If some lazy souls don't want to make decisions and take care of themselves— believe me, a government will excitedly jump on the opportunity to do so if one agrees to sell a birth right...but at a price... and the price will go higher and higher by the time. It's going to cost you majority of your liberties and money, and it will cost life to the society as a whole.

Government is a very sneaky enemy. It uses noble sounding and emotionally compelling causes to initiate its slippery-slope death spiral to national suicide. "The government will take care of the cripples..don't they deserve (a government) protection, even if it takes a little bit of coercion?" It's a rethoric, a demagoguery— "a little bit" of rape is still the rape. *What the incapacitated persons and the society as a whole deserve and will benefit from is keeping our enemy government out of picture.* Our enemy government will use any excuse to grab more power and at some point of no return it grabbed enough powers to grab even more powers without even asking us, the people, anymore. So, no matter how emotionally compelling or reasonably sounding the proposal is— if it gives more power to the government, if it does use mandates, if it worsens the government control— just say big "NO". The society, the self-regulation processes in the form of private charities will take much better care of all folks that *really* need help without gradual destruction of our society, as any government interventions does.

**Any task you want the Big Government to do inevitably**

descends to *the common pathway*: in the long run, it will gradually kill the country, however improbable it may sound. It doesn't matter how reasonable, logical or innocent the proposal seems, it doesn't matter how well you think you defined the goals and the limitations. The government will twist the law around to its own liking, and any government likes only 2 things: your **powers and money**. You want to use the government to fix ecology? The government will use it as a pretext to grab more power and more your money and eventually it will kill the country without solving the problem. You want government to solve economic problems (caused by the government over-regulation in the first place)? The government will use it as an excuse to grab more power and more money, ruining economy, greatly diminishing incentives to work hard and in the end it will gradually kill the country. Pick any problem— government will solve nothing, but it will create more and more problems while "solving" original one until it destroys the country. Government's cure is always worse than the original problem. The only real solution is to 100% remove the government from the "problem solving" business leaving to it only extremely narrow scope of activities that *WE, THE PEOPLE* allow government to do: some *foreign affairs*, some *national defense* and some *crime fighting* (even in these 3 areas the citizens in 90% will do better job). *Everything else must be out of reach of our enemy government, just like it was delineated in the original Constitution*. No government

involvement in society life, no government role in economy regulation. Zero. *Anything more than zero meets definition of "too much government"*. Nothing is perfect, so the self-regulation is not perfect— it is slow and requires patience, but self-regulation with the feedback loop (a.k.a. free market and free competition) is **THE ONLY WAY** to optimize society and economics, and it is the only way for a country to survive. The chain of events "statism=>socialization=> islamization" kills Europe and it will kill America too unless we, the people resurrect our Constituion and save America from its totalitarian government. Single most important aspect of freedom is "freedom from the government".

You might mistakenly think that since the government consists of human being that it is the human entity. Unfortunately, not so. As they noted in Rome "Senatores— boni viri, senatus— mala bestis", which means "The senators are decent guys, but the Senate as a whole is malicious beasts". The same building material can be used to build a shrine, a library of a gallows. Government by definition is your enemy, it competes with you for your decision making powers and for your money. Even if you elect good guys, if the government is "built" out of "decent " bricks the government as an entity is still your enemy. Government is always bad, but you can determine how bad it will be by how much power and money you delegate to your enemy government. You can decide to have a small enemy government, a mid-size enemy government, or a big powerful enemy government. Just remember— as soon as you give life to

the Frankenstein monster of the government then it will decide on its own what to do, and it always chooses to grab more power and money unless you follow the Founding Fathers' wisdom and chain down your enemy government with the chains of original Constitution (not twisted and watered down today's "progressive" version of it), guarded but unlimited freedom of speech and the right of the people to bear arms. 1st Amendment warns us when the individual liberties are threatened by our enemy government and 2nd Amendment preserves the rest of them.

I suggest reading "***Why government doesn't work***" by **Harry Browne**. Nice book and very *correct in the domestic part, especially the list of measures that he would take if he would be a president*. Kind of naive about isolationism policy though. Islamofascism tries to take over the whole world. If we don't fight Shariah law also all over the world then they still will come to destroy us here. Being nice to jihadists doesn't change their goals which is to kill all the infidels and take over the world. As the worst form of totalitarianism, islamofascism knows that the main obstacle to islamofascism is the beacon of freedom, America. And that's why they try to destroy America as a single remaining fortress of freedom in the world. Jihadis' accomplice in the White House does his best to destroy America too. No amount of appeasement, of dhimmitude, of submission will change the fact that destruction of America is the crucial objective of the world-wide jihad. ***It doesn't matter what we say or do— as long as America stays the freest country in the world, then it will***

*be the main target of ALL the freedom-haters* from soft Euro-totalitarians and harder communist/post-communist totalitarians to the worst totalitarians ever— islamofascists. *Soft European totalitarians just envy us, more totalitarian regimes like China, Russia and Venezuela hate us and undermine our positions and the islamofascists wage the full-blown war against us using all the means at their disposal.*

***To mention once more just a few reasons why government never works:***

**a)** All the processes in such complex systems in the dynamic stability state (such as life, economy, society and the like) with innumerable factors interacting in a real time and with indefinite numbers of possible outcomes THE ONLY WAY to optimize the process and the outcomes is the self-regulation with the tight feedback loop. The tighter the feedback loop is—the better tuned the process and the better outcomes are.

**b)** 300 million of Americans combined represent a huge wisdom of ages, of personal experiences, of individual responsibilities, of traditions and the habits of the decision making for themselves. Meanwhile any government consists of very few people who typically are narrow-minded specialists in a very narrow area but they have big and arrogant heads and the elitist attitude "know-it-all", so even a bunch of these "experts" possess a tiniest imaginable fraction of the total knowledge and experiences of 300 million of Americans. Beside, those elitist are next to zero in practical matters, these absent-minded professors

and mad scientists hardly know how to tie their shoes. All they can is eloquently using fancy words to show off. All Obama's teamsters (himself included) screw up their own finances and other affairs but have a nerve to claim they can "manage" our personal affairs better than we can?

c) government actions are based on coercion, they violate people's will and the decision making process, thus, people don't want to comply;

d) government's action have zero or minimal feed back, so they interfere with the self-regulation processes, disrupt and distort them, cause disbalances, suboptimal utilization of resources and in 100% of cases they worsen the outcome. That's why Jefferson observed that the good government is the one that governs as less as possible (because whatever government does— it does it wrong). Before the government's "civil rights" and "antidiscrimination" acts of the 60-s the gap between whites and blacks was narrowing, after them it started widening. The discrimination practices slowly but surely were being rooted out by the free market forces—after the acts the government perpetuated the discrimination merely changing the object of discrimination, creating reverse discrimination. The schools were much better but after nationalization the quality of education keeps dropping to new lows. The crime rates were much lower but after the government started "war on crime, war on drugs" the crime rates skyrocketed. Despite occasional accidents, armed households prevent majority of the violent

crimes, but wherever the government disarms the law abiding citizens— the murder and other crime rates jumps to the skies.

e) government actually is interested not in solving problems but in worsening them. Imagine, all the problems are solved, there is no need in the government bureaucrats and government's budget any more— they are fired or at least deprived of power and money. What happens if they as usually screw up? In the name of solving one problem they actually create 10 other problems, and then for "solving " created by the government itself problems it will grab from us more power and more money— they are in business! This perverse incentives to screw up counts too.

f) The only way government acts is by using coercion, mandates and controls, and when it sets up the mandates it also puts in charge a bureaucrat, a human being, who is prone to make mistakes (to err is human) and to be corrupted by invested in him/her powers. Power corrupts, the more power—the more corrupted the government is, and no change of the players "for the honest ones" will whether not help at all or help only temporarily, until the angel in the bureaucratic chair has died or retired. You cannot rely on the subjective factors, you can only rely on the objective ones: if the government has nearly no power—then there will be nearly no corruption.

g) The government has a mind on its own, no matter what the minds of the building government "bricks" are, just as a crowd psychology has nothing to do with the psychology on the individuals in the crowd. No matter what your noble intention

are when you try to solve a problem through the government, the government will start twisting, distorting and shifting your bill as soon as you submit it, and in order to pass it you will have to make so many compromises, to fill it with so much pork to get the votes that in the end you will not recognise your own proposition. But what happens *after* the passing bill is even worse because the unelected government bureaucrats will interpret, misuse and abuse your bill the way **THEY** want long after you are gone. It is still not the worst— the worst part is when the men in black, the judicial activists will start re-writing from the bench and re-intepreting your law to their liking until it becomes completely opposite from the intended one. And then the un-intended consequences hit: again, when the government interferes into the immensely complex self-regulating processes, then it's "fixing problems" resembles the most a monkey "fixing" a computer or a wall clock with the stick.

In short, ***there is nothing you can solve through the government.*** Whatever the government does-it does it wrong. Proposed benefits whether never materialize or the cost of them turns up prohibitively high or the unintended consequences by far outweigh the proposed benefits or a combination of the above. Government cure is always worse than the original ill. After the dust settles, we can see that the problems have multiplied rather than solved, we are worse off than before the government intervention, the consequences are completely unpredictable to the humble human being, and *the only predictable thing is that as*

*a result of ANY government intervention the government grabbed more power, more our money and our decision making rights. The government's actions are always failure. That is if your criteria of a success are the stated goals of the government bill, law, mandate or any other intervention. But if you do know that all that emotional crap-wrap is just a trick to make sure no one will object the proposal (under the threat of being called a racist, a sexist, a cruel person and other names just to stifle any possible opposition) but the REAL hidden agenda of ANY government's intervention is just another power grab, to steal more of your money and decision making power, then the goals are achieved alright.* Recently John Stessel published analysis that the government's ADA (Americans with disability act) doesn't work at all. Surprise, surprise. Noe of the government's acts works— all that civil rights and anti-discrimination crap-wrap inevitably failed, the results of that government interventions still hurt America, but if you do objective analysis based of the facts and outcomes— for sure the people's “progressive” enemies in the monopolized by them MSM will call you dirty names. Statists entice the short-sighted recipients of the “benefits” with some perks, which in the long run actually worsen their conditions and prevent their real steady improvement by Free Market forces. Government's “solutions” are always “short term gain for long term pain”. Just check out the facts and read respectable researchers such as Thomas Sowell: after “anti-discrimination” acts the gap between whites and blacks stopped narrowing

(which it was doing before, slowly but steadily, due to the free market forces) and right away the gap started widening. On other hand, the self-regulation with the feedback loop, also known as the **Free Market**, is free of all the faults of the government. It is based on the objective laws of the nature, including human nature and laws of the market; it does not put in charge a human being inevitably prone to corruption and errors; it is *THE ONLY WAY to optimize complex systems* such as life, language, economy and society; and most importantly— *IT IS THE ONLY WAY FOR A NATION TO SURVIVE*. All based on the subjective totalitarianism systems are the dead end of civilization, they are doomed, they go extinct before our eyes in Europe, Japan, former USSR and the like. If you think about other countries where the demographic catastrophe has not materialized yet—it is coming. The birth rate is dropping even in the countries like Marocco, it's just a matter of time. As freer the market— the better, and in order to survive the country must be more than 50% based on the self-regulation, on the free (ideal case is 100% Free Market based country, where the only function of the government is SOME of the national defence, SOME of the forein affairs and SOME of the law and order. Even in these areas Free Market has a definite, important and evergrowing significance. Majority of the high tec defense products are created by the Free Market, private security companies such as Blackwater do a good job (as confirmed by the "progressive" MSM hatred towards them), armed population prevents over 75% of the crimes (in the

Freer Market based states voting for the conservatives where the government is friendlier to the us, the people, and doesn't try to disarm us, the murder rate is 4 times lower than in the socialist states that voted for Obama and that just love disarming the people). Direct diplomacy people-to-people, including tourism, is much more preferable way of diplomacy than our-enemy-government to their-enemy-government foreign affairs.

The only disadvantage of the Free Market self-regulation is that it is the slow process that requires a patience. Of course, the patience is a virtue, but a very rare one. The impatient ones shout: "Government-do something!". And the government will respond **immediately** (because the government is happy to find or more frequently to create ANY excuse to grab more power) – by wasting your money, by grabbing your decision making powers, by controlling you more and more.

***Government is the bottle neck of the totalitarianism:***

*All* kinds of the totalitarians use the coercive powers of government to impose their will on the population, to hurt the society and eventually to destroy the country. *Big and strong government is the universal tool of the enemies of the people, of enemies of freedom, just like the Free Market and individual liberties are the universal tools of solving all the world's problems.* Without strong government the ruling elite, the enemies of the people cannot impose their will on you. Thus, even though it is worthwhile fighting each and all totalitarian ideologies on their own "merit", exposing them for what they are, but it is

by far more important and practical to minimize the powers of a government as their universal instrument of coercion. Those naive freedom-lovers who hope they can use the same instrument of government to protect the freedom are wrong: the government is a monster that has a mind on its own. No matter what your good intentions are— any well meaning laws is distorted by the government and eventually start serving exactly opposite from the original purpose. Besides, according to the law of unintended consequences, any law is an intervention into the self-regulating mechanisms of the society, of economics, thus, the government's "cure" is always worse than the ill it is supposed to "cure". Hence, the **minimization of the size, scope, budget and powers of the government is *THE ONLY WAY* to preserve interrelated individual liberties, freedom (most importantly Free Market), prosperity of the country and individual succes in the pursuit of happiness. *Strong government is like a dangerous weapon of mass destruction laying on the road unattended and any passing by scumbag is free to pick it up and to use against us, the people. Keep your enemy by definition, your government small and weak and on a short leash. The dragon of the government must fear us, the people – then and only then there is liberty.***

*Totalitarian slippery-slope:*

Totalitarians always use a nice sounding and on the first glance rationally looking baits to entice the people to initial step on the totalitarian slippery slope path. *The key is: does*

it use the government as an instrument of "fixing" true or made up problem? Does it increase government's size, powers, budget and the scope? If the answer is "YES" to ANY of those questions– then KILL THE BILL! It will never "solve" the problem, but instead it inevitably will create innumerable other unintended problems, and most important– it's a beginning of the self-destructive path to the national suicide, a totalitarian slippery slope to the dead end of the civilization. We saw it in the Euro-Zoo, and we don't want to kill America too. Paradoxically may it sound but it is always true. You want to improve economy through the government actions? It will kill the country. You want to save nature and poor darling animals via the government laws? It will kill the country. You want to improve the education, especially for the poor kids, using the government's run public education? It will kill the country. The list is endless. How come? Because any government action in fact (not in empty words) serves only one purpose: the further power grab. When the government action inevitably results in more problems than it "solved"– obviously, government can claim that it takes more government and more taxes "to solve" the problems that previous government actions created, and the more power government has-easier to commit another power grab. Power and money, money and power-that's all any government is about. And the chain reaction continues– the government grabs more people's power and money, as a snow ball. Meanwhile inherently totalitarian leftist media will cover up for the Big Government

failures, distorting and misinterpreting truth, hiding information and the like. MSM also need Big Government to impose their delusional agendas of "social engineering, social justice" and similar mad scientist crap. The society can and should mainly be self-governed using moral code, ethics, traditions, wisdom of ages and citizens associations and societies. Government should serve only as 911 service when there is an emergent lapse, when the threat exceeds what this person (or group) can handle. Majority of crimes are already prevented when criminals know that any home can be armed. In disarmed by the government states and cities (Washington, DC, NYC, Chicago) disarmed citizens cannot prevent crimes and the criminals know it. So, in those cities factual cooperation between the criminals and the government boosts the crime rate sky high. But the government doesn't care— as an enemy of the people the government's main goal is to disarm the people.

In a similar way leftist totalitarians recruit young rebellious minds in the universities. There is a *massive highjacking of all "noble " causes* by the "progressive" enemies of the people. They find attractively looking baits for everyone, but joining any of the highjacked by the totalitarian "progressives" so called "noble causes" will inevitably bring them to the voting booth of the infiltrated by the totalitarians Democrats gang, of the enemies of America. *Same slippery slope* to the national suicide in the end of the road, even though it sounds paradoxically. One wants to be a vegetarian? Sounds funny, but majority of them

will end up voting for the "progressive" enemies of the people. Want to save bunnies and kitties, so the new medicines will be tested on you and your children instead of animals? Then you help the Democ-rats to kill the Constitution and economy instead. Decided to join the special interest groups that give unfair advantages to minorities (which falsely called themselves "human right activists")? The you help ruining free market and "you get what you earned" fairness, slaying the goose of the Free Market that lays golden eggs, and as a result the whole country gets poorer. Only Free Market, slowly but surely, can balance out tendencies and optimize the outcome.

Totalitarians apparently are unable to comprehend that "simpletons, sheep, morons" Americans make better decisions regarding their own affairs than arrogant "progressive" elite despite their higher education and seemingly sharp wits. "Progressives" are in denial of the fact that each one of 300 million Americans knows about his/her affairs more than anyone in the world, that they have direct incentives and potentially both negative and positive feedback loop, so they have the highest chance to make a right decision than any bureaucrat in Washington, DC or in the state capital. Besides, if one American screws up– he/she screws up only him/herself, while when Screwer-up-in-Chief inevitably screws up– he screws up the whole world. Whatever government does– it does it wrong, From scientific point of view it is impossible for a government to make a right move because government has no or weak

feedback loop, thus, whatever it does— it distorts and hinders the only possible mechanism of optimization of the complex systems in the dynamic stability state— self-regulation with the tight feedback loop. The situation is even worse when the government is infiltrated by "progressive" totalitarians because they are blinded by their ideology; they see distorted by the lense of political correctness picture of the world; they view reality via partisan prysm and then they try to analyze already distorted "reality" picture using false psychological stereotypes in their brain. Reality never conforms to their distorted vision of the world, so they just ignore or hide reality and they attack anyone who dares to show them the truth.

*The ban of Shariah law around the world (not of Islam, but of antihumane Shariah laws), on other hand, might make the Muslims reform Islam, removing the anti-humane Shariah laws from Quran and making Islam an ordinary religion just like any other that possibly WILL BE compatible with the humanity. So far Islam that includes the hateful Shariah laws IS NOT a true religion and Islam IS NOT compatible with any civilization, with any other law system. Today's Islam is an engine of jihad (which is the war of Islam against humanity that started 1,400 years ago by **first islamic terrorist named Muhammed** and never ended).*

The problem with all the "reforms" of the government— they don't work, it's just against the laws of nature to make them work even theoretically— you cannot "reform" your enemy to be "a user

friendly"; you cannot use the coercive force of the government to combat coercive force of the same government; the squid of government after any *partial reforms* quickly regenerates and *keeps destroying the country with the **accelerating speed***. The only possible solution is to basically abolish 99% of the government AT ONCE and FOR GOOD, using Constitutional Amendments – otherwise the vocal minority of the population that in a short term does benefit from a particular government-run program will object any meaningful reforms. However, when ALL the government programs eliminated for the long term benefits of ALL Americans, then the sweeping changes and the resurrection of the Constitution of the limited government can be possible. It's like easier to return back 10 un-earned dollars if instead you are getting back -or, better to say, the government never takes away in taxes your 1,000 of dollars, earned by you.

What's the ideal structure of power? The one with the POWER TO THE PEOPLE! What's today's one? The with POWER TO THE PEOPLE'S ENEMY– to the government. You see, how much power the feds already have usurped?

^ \ /

/Fed\ \ Fed /

/\_\_\_\_\_\ \\_\_\_\_\_ /

/State\ \ State /

/\_\_\_\_\_\ \\_\_\_\_\_ /

/Local\ \ Local /

/\_\_\_\_\_\ \\_\_\_\_\_ /

/ \ \ /

/ WE, \ \ we, the /

/THE PEOPLE\ \ people /

/ \ \ /

/\_\_\_\_\_ \ \ /

### Solutions?

1) *Balanced budget Amendment.* Power corrupts, absolute power corrupts absolutely. Minimize the powers, thus, minimize

the corruption. Reinstate the original Constitution, confirm the only powers they we, the people, give to each level of the government, and it should be about 1% of today's budget and powers. Put a ceiling on the budget in % of the last year GDP, and not more than 13%. *Explicitly prohibit collective bargaining* if the paxpayers money (public funds) are involved at any level of the government because *socialist labor unions push America into the bankruptcy abyss even faster than feds— federal debts right now about 14 trillion dollars while total unfunded liabilities to socialist labor unions are 130 trillion dollars*. Deficits? Un-funded liabilities? Let the government pay its debts, don't let it pay from your pocket. How? Let them sell their assets— lands, buildings and so forth.

2) ***Two Term Amendment***— for all the elected *and un-elected* government officials of *all levels (not just feds)* not more than presidential 8 years. No more professional politicians, no more entrenched enemies of the people in the governments. *The same comes for 100% of the laws* with no grandfathered exceptions. Only the Constitution is forever, *all the laws expire automatically in 8 years* unless their merits and unintended consequences discussed on the case by case base. Explicit prohibition of law package extensions. Let our enemies bureaucrats fight for each law individually. Today they steal our freedom, pass the law and it's their's forever. They keep encroaching our rights and freedoms since 1913. Do you know how many new laws and mandates the bureaucrats imposed on us just on one day of Jan

01, 2010? Over 40,000, Unbelievable! So, let them go through the same laws all over again and again– it will keep our enemies busy.

## **VII. Freedom layers & freedom lovers. Meaningful classification of participants in the politics**

*Here again we shall use the same universal criterion:  
The degree of freedom*

I am an extremely rational person, and to me *the discussion how to vote on the election day would be over as soon as I learned that the freedom, the free market is the only way for a nation to survive both demographically and economically while all the totalitarian distributionist societies (Eurosocialism, communism and fascism) kill the society, they all die out in front of our eyes. It's a dead end of human civilization.* If you are at the crossroad between free market (a.k.a. freedom) and totalitarianism, (specifically in America— between conservatism =classic liberalism and leftist "progressive" liberalism) then *you have only 2 choices*: to survive with the free market or to perish with the totalitarianism. It's like "He had 78 possible causes of death, including decapitation", to which I'd respond: "Stop right there— I don't need any more causes! Decapitation is enough!" There is no single redistributive Nanny-State survivable society in the world, they all are doomed, they all literally die out— Europe, Russia, Japan, and aging China will join them shortly. Their demographic crisis will be compounded by the

inevitable fiscal crisis, and their weakened by the Almighty Nanny State societies, populated by the castrated zombies, will put up no fight to the coming islamofascism, to jihad. On Aug.27, 10 NPR announced that during *last 2 years since Obama was elected in 2008, America had its deepest fall in the birth rate ever. Obamunism kills the nation the same way any other redistributionist society (socialism, national socialism, communism and the like) kill any given nation that it infects.* There are many factors, starting with the government taking over the retirement system, general uncertainty in the future under totalitarian government, destructive effects of totalitarian redistributive policies on the business and free market– you name it. How many mechanisms are at work, how exactly the Big Government and the obamunism kill America is much less important, the end result is the only thing that matters. Too much governments kills a nation, too much government is a path to self-destruction and any step empowering government under any excuse is a step on the slippery slope to the national suicide. Redistributionism, including Euro-socialism or the American democratic socialism is a dead end of civilization. Only based on the free market, on freedom society can survive and prosper.

However, it seems that majority of the people tend to ignore unpleasant Big Truth about inevitable death sentence to a nation when they vote for the "progressive" Democrats (or for any other brand of totalitarians) and about choosing *Free Market as THE ONLY WAY TO SURVIVE for a nation.* They instead focus on

tiny pleasant details of European way of national suicide, which means we have to have further discussion. Alas, then we have to go into the details as well, in order TO TRY to show to the ignorant ones that "two times two equals four", even if we don't like this result.

To start discussion, let's first look on the simple *one dimensional graph*, where *on the left is absolute slavery* with no freedom, and *on the right there is an ideal absolute freedom*. In reality it can't achieve it just as the absolute zero by Kelvin = minus 273.16 cannot be achieved (even crystallized Helium gets only -273.09) or the light speed, but we shall use approximation.

### ***Absolute slavery X Absolute freedom***

X, for the sake of discussion, represents the current equilibrium, today's state of freedom, say, in America. All the individuals, political parties, states and any other entities on the right side from the X that pull the balance point towards "More freedom", represent ***The freedom lovers camp***, while all the entities to the left of the X represent ***The freedom haters camp***, and they pull the balance of powers point towards "Absolute slavery" respectively. As more freedom— as better: *freedom is the most productive economic power and the main goal in the pursuit of happiness*. Entities on the right extreme of the graph are pretty much pure good, while those on the extreme left are pure evil. Some political forces around the X point might fluctuate both in the short term (usually around election time) and in a long term (due to the societal changes with the shift of the X point or

because of the entity's itself shifting elsewhere).

As freer the system is as more productive it is in any sense because then you work for the person you love– YOU. Respectively, the absolute slavery is the least productive system because you work for the person you likely to hate– for your master. The freedom, the free market does not make you smarter, but it gives you the motivation, the incentives to be the best possible you that you can be, so you are likely to realize your full potential.

### ***Forces of good:***

Everything that increases individual liberties, personal choices, free will, individual decision making powers, free market and private property does increase the degree of freedom and, thus, does improve the feedback loop function in the self-regulation, hence, improving outcomes of all positive processes in a society.

### ***Forces of evil:***

Everything that negatively impacts individual liberties, personal choices, free will, individual decision making powers, free market and private properties, automatically worsens the feedback loop function in the self-regulation processes, thus, worsening the outcomes in a society. This negative shift of power is committed whether *by a government or a by a totalitarian ideology*. ***Totalitarianism*** is a definition of a system that takes away aforementioned rights and powers from individuals and gives it to the system, to the authority, to the

individual, oligarchy or collective dictatorship. *Natural evolution of totalitarianism* in the beginning may be slow worsening (as in the European Union), or a fast deterioration (as a result of coup or revolution, as in Russia, Hitler's Germany), but *it always gets worse*. *Totalitarianism* is characterized by the authoritarian government where citizens don't have much say, pervasive totalitarian ideology and propaganda, personal cults, control over the economy, mass surveillance, disrespect for privacy & private property, regulation and restriction of speech, usually disarmament of the people— well, you get the picture, *it's exactly what is happening today here in the US*. European soft tyranny was gradually shifting to the more totalitarianism, and the process typically accelerates. As more powers the government has— as easier and faster it can grab even more power.

For the **freedom haters' camp** I will use the term **totalitarians** interchangeably because totalitarians do hate freedom.

Today's common (but misleading, not just meaningless) classification of the political forces as the "*left, right and centrist moderates*", based on historic accidental bench seats location, is a nonsense and serves only one purpose: to confuse public and to blurr the lines between right and wrong. It promotes false assumption that "the truth lies in the middle". Really? So, if a criminal raped a girl and then denies it, then what is "the middle ground"? That he raped her "just a little bit"? "Only" once? The facts of life repeatedly show that in politics those

*classic liberals* who are in favor of freedom, free will, individual choices, free market with ensuing prosperity are *friends of the people*. Those *totalitarians* ("*progressive*" liberals included) who take away people's right to make decisions for themselves and free will, who hate and destroy freemarket (because it exposes their uselessness as it creates free and prosperous population that does not need those "noble anointed elite") wuth ensuing poverty are *the enemies of people, of freedom*. "*The moderates*", the "*middle ground*" false notion is an eclectic mixture that is neither faul nor fish, neither friends nor overt enemies of the people, but by highjacking righteousness from the classic liberals and occupying their place in the public mind they actually assist to the totalitarian enemies of the people. That's why *I propose functionally much better classification based on the degree of freedom criteria*.

The aforementioned *single dimension freedom vs slavery graph* is acceptable simplification althoug I personally *prefer my 4 dimensional classification* where the 4th dimension is a time, since the reality is not in a static state, there's always dynamic change. What is happening in Euro-Zoo is a staged process— soft totalitarianism of the Nanny State worsens when the power structures are infiltrated by the leftist Eurosociologists, and eventually the Euro-Zoo is being infiltrated and eliminated by the worst totalitarians, the islamofascists. You want to save the civilization? It's possible, it's even easy theoretically : Power to the people! Europeans must gain the popular sovereignty,

fire FOR GOOD 99.99% of the government, abolish ALL government run programs– from retirement and welfare to the healthcare, and after several years of turmoil invisible almighty hand of the Free Market, of self-regulation, will solve as much as it is feasible the problems of Europe– the demographics, economy, finances. Theoretically not only possible, but quite easy. However, try to convince the Euro-zombies, brainwashed by their ruling elites in governments and MSM, to leave the cozy cages of the Euro-Zoo and go live in a wild...Domesticated and castrated animals of Europe are afraid even to fart without explicit permission from their masters in the government and MSM. I almost totally gave up on the Europeans– there are so few true freedom lovers such as Geert Wilders! Well, I got sidetracked, let's go back to the discussion:

To imagine the *4 D classification in a visual form*, let's recall the Shrek movie.

Remember, as Shreck said: " Layers! Ogres have layers!" Well, freedom also has layers, and *the analysis of the world according to **the layers of freedom*** (you can call them *also the freedom rings*) is the most truthful, simple and *practical analysis with tremendous prediction powers*. It works at any level of the society structure. You can explain and predict behaviour and actions of a person, party, movement, government, country or civilization. Prediction power is most important feature of this analysis both as a proof of its correctness and in practical terms to be pro-active to the tactics, strategy and future actions by the

freedom-haters camp.

*The core freedom layer* in a political sense is comprised of the *libertarians, conservatives, objectivists, individualists*— all those who are closer to the core freedom than today's freedom balance (the X point on the single dimension line). It encompasses all those who want to push today's freedom equilibrium point towards "more freedom!" direction. In a societal sense, the best approximation to this ideal core freedom layer was achieved by the American Founding Fathers, who established ruled by the law Republic where we, the people, are the sovereign (while the government can have only as much power as we give to it), where individual liberties and rights are the highest priority while the rights of the "total" (collective, society, government) are secondary because they are always used and abused by the totalitarians as an excuse to diminish freedom, thus, to hurt the society. Free and prosperous society can consist only of free and prosperous people. When Founding Fathers created the freest country in the world, it inevitably became the greatest and most prosperous country in the world. The totalitarians since 1913 have continuously eroded the Republic, the Constitution, the people's sovereignty, decision making powers, freedoms for the sake of grabbing more power and more money under the smoke of demagoguery of "protecting the unfortunate ones". Short 8 years of Reagan were the only period in 20th century when the degree of freedom has somewhat improved, and it was good enough to save the country from the worst since

1929 crisis. Reagan inherited the US from the Democrats with the worst "misery index" since Great Depression (even though Obama falsely claims that Obama's starting point was worse). Unfortunately, Reagan was limited in his efforts to save America by the Democrats controlled Congress. Nevertheless, he managed to partially remove some of the Big Government's shackles from the Free Market, then the ensuing freedom caused an explosive growth of wealth as well: with the same resources America created extra goods and services worth \$ 30 trillion! It's not a secret at all— as less government as more freedom, and *more freedom right away translates into more prosperity for every one (and especially for the poor because the rich already living in luxury cannot personally consume much more)* . Despite whining of the totalitarians, Free Market as the only origin of progress and prosperity made American "poor" richer than "rich" in many countries around the world. So, whatever was good in America is due to Free Market, while whatever is bad is due to the narcissistic totalitarians (statists first and now "progressive" Democrats). That's why totalitarians hate Free Market and try to discredit and to destroy it -because it proves time after time that totalitarians are the forces of evil, that all good comes from the un-personified Free Market, not from those narcissistic elitists who only cause harm.

Then there is a thin layers of the divide, of the membrane, that represents today's equilibrium point, whose location on the line differs significantly in different societies, countries,

regions and world-wide. Unfortunately, *today's trend is towards "less freedom"*, as the West's transition from the soft tyranny of Nanny State to the harder totalitarianism accelerates and the islamization of the world imposes the worst kind of totalitarianism on the humanity. So, the core freedom volume is shrinking and we must reverse this dire trend. Just like in the nesting doll structure, the next layer away from the core freedom is *statism*, followed by the *Eurosocijalism*, *"progressive" Democrats' socialism, communism, national socialism (classic fascism)* and **the worst kind— based on the Shariah law islamofascism**. In today's Islam religion is just a mask, a beard that conceals the totalitarian system of Shariah laws, totalitarian ideology and practice that waged eternal war named jihad against the humanity since medieval ages and it goes on now. So, *Islam is not a true religion because unlike true religions it contains an all-encompassing system where religion is only a part of the totalitarian system, a camouflauge*. As long as Shariah law stays an integral part of islam— **Islam is incompatible with any other civilization**. *American Muslims have only 2 choices: whether to be a good American (thus, a bad Muslim) or to be a good Muslim (which means, a bad American)*. One can have only one master— whether freedom or submission, whether American Constitution— or antihumane Shariah laws. *If* by joint efforts of Muslims (who live by the law of the country, not by the Shariah law), and by efforts of other freedom-lovers *Islam will be purified from the filth of*

*the Sharia laws*, then all Muslims will have the same chance of getting along with others as anybody else. *Until then Sharia-based Islam is the worst enemy of the mankind because it is hellbent on one thing— to take over the world and to destroy all other religions, all other law systems, all other civilizations.*

As of today, as islamist Turkish PM Erdogan correctly noted, "there is no such thing as moderate or extremist islam— there is only one islam". And sadly, the whole this "the only one Islam" is extreme, so as of today *there are good Muslims but there is no such thing as "good Islam"*.

### ***FREEDOM LOVERS CAMP a.k.a. people's friends:***

Since the degree of freedom directly correlates with the degree of prosperity of the society, all freedom lovers by default are friends of the people.

That encompasses all potential allies that are located close to the **core freedom** than today's equilibrium point in any given society between absolute freedom and absolute slavery. Their instinctive or articulated views and visions are representation of the classic liberalism, embedded in the original American Constitution. They feel or know that if we are free to make our choices then we prosper in every sense, and if someone or something (a government) makes choices for us then we are in trouble. The freedom lovers as of today make effort to shift the equilibrium point towards more individual liberties, more free will, more free market and, thus, more happiness.

**Freedom lovers'** productive **goal** is a united in the pursuit of individual success and happiness people that generate new individual wealth that translates into the wealth of the society as whole under conditions of individual liberties, free market and minimal influence of the people's enemy government. In the US it's conservative and libertarian movement. The sum of individual successes equals success of the society. "Progressive" enemies of the people try to denigrate Free Market calling it a "greed" based. If it is a "greed" for individual success, for the fruits of their own hard labor – then it is the extremely productive "greed" that brings about 100% of American prosperity.

Unlike that, the **freedom-haters** (mainly “progressives” in the US) are *just opposite: they all share **destructive greed** for somebody's else money, powers and benefits that they didn't earn, they break down society into as many as possible special interests factions/groups that fight with each other for redistribution of the already existing wealth, using coercive powers of the evergrowing in the degree of totalitarianism, size, scope and budget Big Government. In the US it is the "progressive" Democ-rats gang. The ultimate result is a societal collective failure.)* So, it is the "progressive" Democrats who are greedy, they try to rob Peter to pay Paul, while conservatives just want to keep theirs, the fruits of their own hard labor.

Freedom camp *unites* the nation in the "greed" for individual success while totalitarian camp *divides* the society using collective greed and resulting in collective failure— **just compare**

*North and South Korea, East and West Germany, UK before and under Thatcher, thriving Reaganomics and failing Obamunism).*

There is some element of the buffer system: if the equilibrium point moves towards "more slavery", then the bigger segment of the society finds itself in the freedom-lovers camp and thus they join efforts to free the people from the government. The government counteracts by making more beggars and slaves out of the people by giving them "free lunches" handouts and, hence, shifting them back to the freedom-haters camp. Some swing voters fluctuate around the equilibrium point due the subjective factors (such as tiredness of professional politicians of the ruling party) and chaotically push the equilibrium point back and forth. That's what happened in 2006 and 2008, when independants moved from lesser totalitarianism of the GOP statist to much worse totalitarianism of the leftist "progressives". Now when the enemies of America in Washington, DC pushed the equilibrium point so hard and so fast towards totalitarian socialism and pro-Muslim dictatorship that many Americans found themselves in Tea party movement, which is a bitter opposition to the massive frontal attack of the Obama's regime on liberties, free market and prosperity. Silent majority woke up to realize the truthfulness of old saying that if you leave the dirty business of politics to the dirty professional politicians then you must expect to be ruled by your inferiors. Here we are—all the Washington, DC is permeated now by the totalitarian

"progressive" filth. *The swing voters, the independants before voting for the Democrats must realize that for the Democrats making America more prosperous is like shooting to their leg.* Same is for the statists in the both parties. RINOs in GOP just like also want "to solve" the problems through increasing powers, scope and the budget of the government, which is exactly opposite from what needs to be done to solve the problems. The "progressives" are delusional, but even delusional ones know that decreasing their voting base means kicking themselves out of the feeding ground in Washington, DC. It is the Democrats' direct and vested interest to increase theirs and to decrease the opposition's electorate. Oh, the family guys and the faithful vote conservative? Then the Dems destroy families and religion: by moral relativity theory, encouraging promiscuity and sexual perversions, welfare, discrediting influence of family and religion and by thousand of other mean means. Oh, hardworking, independant and prosperous Americans vote conservative? Then the Dems make as many Americans lazy taxpayor money recipients as they can, inflating the entitlement programs until the fiscal catasrtophe ensues. As the free market proponents vote against the Democ-rats, then the Dems regulate free market to death, to today's crisis. Free souls oppose totalitarian progressives? Then the statists and "progressives" will gradually take away all you individual liberties, replacing it with the federal dictatorship. **Remember, it is against the Democrats' interests to make you free, independant and prosperous**

**person in the pursuit of happiness.** *Same holds true for all totalitarians directly proportionally to the degree of their totalitarianism.* They want to make you a serf, a ward of the government, a subject to them, a sheep under "enlightened" elite rule, just like the happily bleating castrated by the political correctness animals in the EuroZoo and then your own government will bring you to the Jihadists' slaughter houses, which occurs in UK and Scandinavia as we speak.

So, again, **the core freedom layer** comprised largely by the self-described **conservatives** (*43% of Americans*) who love their freedoms, guns and the Bible. This is the "instinctive" freedom lovers. They might not be highly educated, but, *unlike the university professors, journalists, Hollywood trash or any other intelligentsia, they do have common sense.*

**Libertarians** are tiny manority of the freedom camp, but they are extremely important because they not just feel, but *know* why the freedom is the key to prosperity and happiness and because they can eloquently articulate, summarize and publicly defend in the remaining 4% of non-totalitarian MSM the case for liberty. In addition, a lot of them matured through the stages of personal development that included leftist totalitarians. Some of them used to be leftis "progressive" totalitarians themselves, twhile they were young, stupid, irresponsible and relebblious. Now they matured into healthy freedom-lovers, but they do know the totalitarian enemies of the people inside out, which makes them even more valuable to the cause of freedom.

**Objectivists, individualists, free market proponents**, such as the followers of Ayn Rand and the like, are valuable allies of the freedom cause amongst the intelligentsia and art communities too. There are decent freedom lovers amongst these communities too, even though majority of the them are totalitarian "progressive" enemies of the people: entertainment trash, lazy speculative Wall Street billionaires that produce only hot air ballons (in distinction to the hard-working Main street business people that produce 100% of the civilization goods we enjoy), journalists, writers, leftist brainwashing "educators" and the like.

*Internet pro-freedom bloggers*: in the age of information monopolization by "progressives" it is virtually impossible to obtain the whole picture of any process or event from big information outlets (well, may be with the exception of Fox TV that presents both conservative friends and "progressive" enemies of America on the screen). So, now we have to fish for the other details of the puzzle in a tumultous turmoil of internet. There's whole spectrum of opinions on internet from the "progressive" crap of moveon.org and Huffington to *the best source of information in blogosphere by Pamela Geller (atlasshrugs.com)*. She is emotional, but frequently she is *the only source of hidden ideologically and politically charged information* in media. Pamela alone as a source of valuable information is worth combined MSNBC, NBC, CNN and all other "progressive" leftist TV monopolies. Jihadwatch

and similar sites expose islamofascism as the most urgent threat all world's civilization while the liberal TV monopolies just hush it.

**Individual enterprises and small business owners:** Nearly all of them support freedom, and freedom from the coercive government is the most important component of freedom. Economy thrives when small business flourish, and this is possible only under free market conditions (because it's governed by the only capable of optimizing outcome self-regulation with feed-back loop), and free market automatically means individual liberties. Free market means freedom of choices which is equivalent to individual liberties. That's one of the reasons that the totalitarian freedom-haters hate free market too. Basically, there is direct proportion between prosperity, successes of economy and the degree of freedom on the market place. As freer the market is as more productive the country is. Obviously, there is an inverse proportion between government intervention, mandates, regulation and usual coercion on one hand and the outcomes. Again, we should keep telling our enemy government : "Do us a favor— don't do us favors!!"

**Mid-size business and big corporations:** As bigger the business, as more the bureaucratic structures it creates and more rigid, inflexible it is. In addition, as bigger it is an easier target for the government it becomes. Statists and "progressive" Democrats gang commit regular shakedowns of the big businesses, forcing them to subsidize anti-American totalitarian machine.

This proportional to the size of the company political and ideological pressure shifts these companies from the core freedom layers to the totalitarian side. Besides, it depends how easy the money is: hard working industrial Main Street is still somewhat supportive of freedom while speculators of the Wall Street were second biggest donor (after socialist labor unions) to America's Enemy-in-Chief Obama. Some big corporations (such as GE and BP) openly side with the government enemies of the people, creating *cronies capitalism instead of the free market*. They donate money to the statist and leftists in the government, and they pay them back by doing favors in the unfair competition. GE gets taxpayer's money from our pockets to subsidize otherwise unsustainable "green crap". Google filters out pro-freedom forces, controlling the information gate to internet. AP (frequently feels like it stands for "Associated Palestinians") and Reuter distort the information flow to fit the totalitarian political correctness, basically reducing themselves to totalitarian propaganda machine, the misinformers and disinformers of the public. Instead of informing public, they effectively form the public opinion for their ideological ends. It's true about 100% of European propaganda machine and about 96% of American information outlets.

**Occasional temporary allies:** On a particular issues occasionally one might find some allies even in the Democrat party elites, and the freedom lovers definitely should use them as temporary allies. Of course, *now it's the age of political*

**polarization**, now we clearly see *not just a line, but a fast growing gap between* conservative friends of the people and totalitarian enemies of the people, so the fiscally conservative or pro-life Democrats are on the brink of extinction. There is virtually no common ground between freedom loving friends of America and freedom-hating enemies, between conservatives and "progressives". Subjective exceptions happen, but *objectively "bipartisanship" idea is dead*, unless after 2010 defeat the Democ-rats will start listen to the American people and purge the party elite of totalitarian enemies of America. However, when we find such pleasant surprises, we should accept the help from any sorse as long as it advances the cause of the freedom without any castrating freedom compromises. That's the way of compromising with some rare reasonable Democrats– as a compromise, we can accept their assistance in advancing particular freedom initiative. What the Obama's regime "bipartisanship" actually means is pretty bad: enemies of the people, the leftist "progressives" in *Obama's regime interpret "bipartisanship" as when everybody agrees with their killing America policies*. In general, "bipartisanship" in today's politics is totally impossible just as a compromise between the criminal and his victim, between a plague germs and humans, or between the assassin's knife and our heart.

***THE FREEDOM-HATERS CAMP a.k.a. enemies of the people:***

Since any deterioration in the degree of freedom (individual liberties, free market) inevitably worsens the prosperity, quality of life and the pursuit of happiness in the society, then all the freedom-haters are automatically enemies of the people, whether they realize this or not. *All the freedom-haters are natural allies in their fight against the people.* Now we see more evidence as this trends develops in Europe: during stillstand of the freedom-loving EDL people in UK and in similar events in Scandinavia all the enemies of the people combine and cooperate: socialist government's police, "black" fascists and red "antifascist" communists, purple labor union anarchist wing, pink socialists, green environmentalist extremists and green islamofascists. Police breaks peaceful unarmed freedomlovers into small groups, misguiding some of them to go in circles while taking other small groups (that include women and children) and dropping them off in front of hundreds of violent islamofascists or pink / red activists and then police coldbloodedly watches the ensuing carnage. Don't expect your enemy, totalitarian socialist government, to protect you against other totalitarians: as a totalitarian entity, the state, the governments aligns and allies itself with other freedom-haters camp dwellers. People have a united front of totalitarians against them, including the government that takes taxes from their pockets reportedly to protect the people but in fact to suppress them, to silence them, to push them back into the sheep barns.

*In today's America the freedom-haters camp includes all*

*3 major brands of totalitarianism: statist, "progressives" and islamofascists, and all of them use the Almighty Government as the tool of coercion, as an instrument used to impose totalitarian ideas and practice on the unwilling Americans. Thus, the universal way to combat ALL those totalitarian enemies of the people is to slash (ideally to cut 99%, leaving only 1% of today's government) the government's size, powers, scope and budget.*

**Statism** as an ideology and/or practice of proponents of "the Big Government is a solution to every problem" permeates close to 100% of the Democrat party ruling elite, virtually 100% of the elitists amongst "educators", entertainment industry freaks, journalists and other parts of "intelligentsia" and big part of the grass root Democrats. Statism also affects at least a third of the GOP leadership, including Bush, and frequently referred to as RINO (Republican in name only) while the grass root Republicans are mainly healthy conservatives.

A piece of history. You can arbitrarily start comparison from any point. For a contemporary statism, namely *European Nanny State*, the starting point can be set prudently by the end of 19th century when German statesman O. Bismarck established a government based Ponzi scheme: the government usurped the retirement. Before that the main way to invest in your old age security was investing in your children and in your savings. Bismarck calculated that the average life expectancy in Germany then was 64 years. Then quite cynically he set up the retirement age as 65 years, when an average person is supposed to be dead.

So, he immediately started taxing people reportedly to pay them back when they reach age of 65. As expected, the government did not put aside this particular taxpayer's money on his account in order to pay him back with accrued interest. Quite opposite—the government, as always, embezzled his money right away. A lot of folks died before 65. Those few that did survive had no their money left in the government funds to pay them back their own money. So, the government taxed the new generation to pay back the pension instead of embezzled long ago funds. Great Ponzi scheme, right? Government gets money for free right now, and when it's time to pay debts— it just robs the next generation. As any government action, the usurpation of the pension plan (like Social Security in the US, although TODAY SS is not a universal plan YET) led to unintended consequences. As children became a burden rather than an investment, then the birth rate dropped, and each consequent generation kept shrinking. It caused many crises, the most important ones are demographic and fiscal crises. The birth rate dropped to the current 1.38 in Europe (which includes the Muslims too !) while the minimal survival rate is 2.1. So, when the government takes over the pension plan, it seals the fate of the country: the death sentence signed. It's not all, though. Shrinking generations mean aging population with ever worsening worker to pensioner ratio, which in practical terms means the government must charge higher and higher taxes in order to return at least some of the debts to the retirees (minus depreciation of the currency due

to inflation). People can afford having less and less children, and as they become the ward of the Nanny State, they lose any sense of personal responsibility, they indulge in all kinds of hedonism, not giving a damn about future because Euro-socialism has no future. It is the dead-end of human civilization. In Scandinavia overt taxes have reached 70%, and in a very short time the tax rates will become unsustainable, and people will just virtually stop working. Greece is just a beginning— the domino reaction will destroy the European Union within several years. The soft-spoken monster of the Nanny State kills any nation it managed to take over. Japan and S. Korea fell its victims to with corresponding birth rates of 1.3 and 1.1. Right now it is slow and painless death on the government narcotics, but the fast growing presence of the islamofascism in Europe will turn it into very painful death in several years. Riots, terrorism, genocide of Non-Muslims will grow as a snow ball, and don't expect your government to protect you: your government as a totalitarian entity, as an enemy of the people, will side with your other enemies, with leftist totalitarians and islamofascists. You are on your own, folks.

**"Progressive" democratic socialism** overlaps with majority of the statism and includes a loose alliance of all the Democrats voting gang, all the dividers of the nation: the Democrat elite, essential part of grass root Democrats and special interest groups that extort unearned money, benefits and influence from the society. These special interest groups typically highjack

attractively sounding names of the "noble" once up on a time pro-freedom movements but turn them into the exact opposite totalitarianism: anti-white racist minority "rights" groups, socialist labor unions, Femi-Nazis, freedom and free market hating ecology extremists and global warming alarmists, disarming only law abiding Americans gun ban freaks, rewriting from bench Constitution "I AM THE LAW" judicial activists, all kinds of redistributionists (socialists with their armed anarchist wing, communists with their violent "antifascist" wing, national socialists-fascists and neofascists and the like). If you wish to know the complete list of the Freedom Hates Camp— just check out who votes for the Democrats *100% of the time— swing voters don't count because their degree of "brainwashedness" still somewhat responds to the reality check*). Each branch of the totalitarians, of the freedom-haters, is getting worse as time passes by, and it paves road to the next, the progressively worse, level of totalitarianism: **statism paves road to socialism and socialism paves road to islamofascism.**

**Islamofascism:** it is based on anti-humane Shariah laws worst totalitarian ideology and practice ever that is hell-bent on the single purpose of taking over the world using different strategies and tactics at different stages of jihad. At this point in America it is mainly stealth jihad focused on winning the propaganda war for the brains and bodies of Americans using islamization tools: recruitment amongst jail population, deceptive "taqyia" doctrine (Muslims are obliged to deceive

infidels until they have enough strength to offer crescent of sword) although there are already some areas in the US ruled not by American Constitution but by islamic supremacists Shariah laws (block 800 in Philadelphia all the time, Dearborn areas mainly during Muslim festivities, Buffalo area islamist military training camp and 22 other bought by islamists villages). In France there are already 751 (and counting) officially designated "no go for French" zones ruled by the islamists, the same goes for UK and for the rest of the ruled by the socialists Europe. Husein Obama's regime with his "progressive" socialists push America to the same European suicidal path of self-destruction: *they want to turn America first into Greece and then into Sudan and Somali*. In America jihadists' main focus is on work to combine black racism with islamic supremacism flavored with anti-freedom and anti-free market sentiments. Thus, they become the triple-enemies of freedom and of the American people. The best updates on the ominous process of islamization of America can be found on the [atlasshrugs.com](http://atlasshrugs.com) and [jihadwatch.com](http://jihadwatch.com) . The best analysis of the ongoing totalitarians caused catastrophe in America is done by the wisest man in America Thomas Sowell while the most comprehensive information is provided by the bravest person and best journalist in America Pamela Geller.

*Any force that most of the time positions itself left of the today's freedom equilibrium towards totalitarianism is in the freedom-haters camp.* This is a dynamic process due to inner laws of development and usually the degree of totalitarianism of

those forces steadily worsens, and they become more enemies of people and freedom, as times change. The *scientific basis* that explains what makes them the *enemies of the people* is in their interference with the self-regulating mechanisms with the feed-back loop in society and economics. As more control over society and economy they exert, as worse they hurt us due to distortions, deformities, inner tensions and disruption of the freedom, of the free market, which is the only possible way to optimize outcome of the immensely complex socioeconomic systems in the dynamic stability state. As more the totalitarians, the governments interfere— as worse the life is for the people. Of course, their allies in the MSM have a state of the art demagoguery skills to create a false air of "noble intentions", of "protecting the disadvantaged one", and they expose their essence only in their actions and in the results of their action. Actions speak volumes.

### ***World-wide situation:***

*Soft tyranny, soft totalitarianism of the Eurosocialism and Nanny State.*

In Europe it started in 19th century in Bismarck's Germany, but it became the mainstream policy after WW II with a *statism*.

1) Statism (etatism) is when *a state, a government* takes away people's sovereignty and usurps the "right" to control society and economy. This is ***an early stage of the development of the totalitarianism.*** 2 The official goal of statism is to create a Nanny-State, where the government assumes the role of a Nanny,

forcing people to become an infantile child, promising to take care of them from from the crib to the grave in exchange for giving up all people's decision making powers. Basically, it is a trade of people's birth right for the lentyl stew. As always with totalitarians, it all sounds good– you don't have to think, you don't have to make decisions abd bear responsibility for them, you just relax and pee in your diapers. The problem is– there is 100% guarantee it will slowly kill the country, the society, the civilization. In 19th century nascent elements of free market resulted in the fast growth of the wealth, the national pie, and since everybody is different, then everyone gets a different slice of the pie (due to their efforts or luck). The envy of the losers started rising, the tensions in the society too, with the evident risk of bloody attempts to redistribute the wealth. So, the smart statesman Otto von Bismarck hit 2 burds with 1 stone: he found the way both to calm down the tensions and to get free money for his government, using the Ponzi scheme of retirement. Bismarck calculated that a life expectancy was 64 years, so he used coercive powers of the government to usurp the retirement money. Before that people were putting aside THEIR money, they were investing in THEIR children, and when it's time to retire– they were using ONLY THEIR's money and THEIR's offspring for support during an old age. *No government intervention in the retirement business was a win-win situation for the people, for the society as a whole*, and the private charities took care of some rare exceptions that were *truly* unfortunate

ones, (unlike the vast majority of those losers who just claim to be unfortunate), or just plain stupid not to save or invest in kids. Bismark imposed taxes to establish the government run retirement fund, promising to pay them back when they are 65 years old (a year after they were supposed to die), but the government as always has embezzled that free money right away. A lot of people died before that age, so the government didn't have to pay them back even with the shrank due to the common trends currency (for example, today's dollar worth about 5 cents of 1913 dollar, before the federal government started its un-Constitutional encroachment into people's rights). To those who lived "too long" to request *THEIR MONEY BACK*, the government instead of embezzled already money taxed the next generation to pay back to the retirees. ***This government-run pension fund is a win-win situation for the government, and, thus, lose-lose situation for the people. Whatever is good for government is bad for the people, and vice versa.*** People's and government's interests, powers and money are illustration to the law of communicating vessels: whatever comes to the government -is taken away from the people. Powerful government automatically means weak people, huge government budget comes from emptying of your pockets, and you would do much better job investing your money anywhere you wish than the government wasting your money any way it wants. The problems with this Ponzi scheme is the *human nature that (just as an electrical current or water flow) follows the path of least*

*resistance*, which means they stop investing in their children essential part of their earnings. Before that coercive government intervention in the life of society the solution to aging was having 5–6 children as an old age security measure: some of the children would survive and be benevolent enough to feed their parents. Now with the government usurpation of the pension system children became a burden rather than a prudent investment. Yes, we do have a biological drive to have children, but this biological drive is easily satisfied by having 1 or 2 children. However, 1 or 2 children is nearly not enough to reproduce the society as a whole. Ensuing *snow ball of the demographic catastrophe* is exacerbated by the *inevitable fiscal crisis* as each consequent generation keeps shrinking, the less and less workers must pay to the usurped by the government pension fund, and the government again uses its coercive powers to impose heavier and heavier taxes. As higher the taxes are-as less incentives to work hard, so the efficiency of economics decreases, which accelerates the inevitable collapse and death of the society. So, growing totalitarianism of the Nanny State slowly kills the society and renders it defenseless against the jihadists colonizing Europe. ***Coming to Europe islamofascism will serve as a gravedigger for the dead-end of civilization, for the European Nanny State.***

***And that's exactly what Obama wants to do with America too: to turn America first into the "socialist paradise" populated by the helpless mindless zombies, and then into islamic hell; to turn it first into Greece and then into Sudan.***

He knows he can't sell this to the proud freedom-loving human beings such as Americans: first he must transform America into EuroZoo, populated by the clueless and helpless sheep. In his native Kenya Obama is openly pushing for the Islamic Constitution because over there he doesn't have to conceal his true intentions, he doesn't have to lie as he does in America. Obama has spent millions of American taxpayer dollars to push through Islamic Constitution in Kenya (with only 12% of Muslims) and VP Biden promised "a flow of money" into Kenya when Kenyans give in to Obama's demands. In Kenya Obama openly follows the footsteps of his father—black supremacist, Islamic supremacist and the leftist: three totalitarian entities embodied in one.

**2) Eurosocijalism.** *All kinds of totalitarians in general and all kinds of socialists in particular share the same features:*

1) they all are in denial of the *fact that free market is the only source of prosperity, of progress, of freer and better life; that the poorest ones benefit from the brought by free market prosperity the most;* 2) their goal is *redistribution* of wealth from those who earned or inherited it to those who were lazy, stupid or truly unfortunate to have less wealth (though much more than before industrial revolution, before free market flourishing); 3) they fail to acknowledge the fact that the *degree of redistribution* of wealth directly correlates with the *degree of hurting economy and making everyone poorer* by reducing incentives to work hard, (in other words on the deeper level of understanding, by

decreasing the degree of freedom, the degree of self-regulation with the feed-back loop, which is the only way to optimize socioeconomic life); 4) they all use the *coercive powers of the Big Government* (unlike freedom-lovers, who rely on the laws of nature, on the self-regulating systems with feed-back, which requires as less governing as possible); all socialist whether infiltrate government using formally "democratic" means of majority votes (the only truly rule of law is Republic while rule of majority, the democracy, inevitably undergoes totalitarization) or using violent means of overthrowing pre-existing governments. The latter usually referred to as communism. ***Each kind of totalitarianism tends to ally itself with the next worse level of the totalitarianism against the people. It both degenerates itself into worse totalitarianism and it also paves road to next level of totalitarianism*** (although occasionally it is at odds with next after next worse level based on political reasons or electoral issues). Founders of EU falsely claimed their resemblance to the American Founding fathers, but in fact they are exactly opposite: American founding fathers returned power to the people, while Euro-Zoo builders took away power from the people and invested it in the Euro-bureaucracy. That's how eurosocialists have worsened and accelerated the detrimental effects of statism. *Nanny-State statism morphs into Eurosocialism, socialism paves road to islamofascism due to the inner logic of the totalitarianism progression, just like progression of the cancer cells: the ones more likely to survive and multiply are more malignant cells*

that are resistant to the organism's attempts to align them with the best interests of the organism, of the society as whole. Ruling totalitarian elites are egoistic, self-serving, all they care about is feeling good about themselves even if it means the demise of civilization (unlike wonderful mechanisms of free market, which is impersonal, efficient and serves as the only engine of progress, of the betterment of life). *Socialists' fifth column in the economy are labor unions* who "serve" the same purposes: redistribution of wealth from those who earned it to those who didn't, which inevitably results in the hurting economy and missing opportunities to further improve the socio-economic life. *Violent wing of the labor unions are anarchists, as we saw in Greece and with SEIU thugs in the US. American "progressive" democratic socialists are virtually the same*, they just lag behind the Eurosocialists 10–15 years or so.

3) **Communism:** If one assigns the rosy pink color to the eurosocialists then the commies get the bloody scarlet-red. It is the same phenomenon of totalitarianism as statism, socialism, fascism or islamofascism, the mild differences amongst them are of quantitative, not of qualitative nature. In simple words— all totalitarians are just different kinds of crap. Commies are much more prone to violent means and overthrowing the government than euro socialists/ social democrats. If socialists kill millions of people indirectly (by ruining demographics, by banning means to contain malaria such as DDT that alone killed over 100

million humans, by hurting economy), communists just shoot them, starve to death, send to bloody wars and the like. Total amount of victims of communism roughly the same as those of other leftists (environmental extremists, "minority rights" special interest groups, judicial activists, entertainment rich trash, gun ban nuts and the rest of the leftist loose freedom-haters crowd known as the Democrat gang. Commies are not patient enough as the "progressive" Democrats to gradually highjack all "human rights" popular movements with attractively sounding names; or to infiltrate the education centers and turn them into the breeding ground of socialists amongst lawyers, economists, journalists, writers, academia, psychologists, social workers, political and environmental "scientists", professional "public servants" and the like ; or to form propaganda machine in the media monopolies. Communists in Russia, China, Campuchia physically killed millions of their own compatriots. Communism also takes over all aspects of social life and economy, thus, resulting in the same demographic and fiscal catastrophe, as European Nanny State. Nowadays Russia is reformed into authocratic statist model with crony capitalism, ruled by the KGB– maphia complex. Russia faces the same fate as Europe. China reformed into aggressive crony state-capitalism and it will face collapse in 1–2 generations:it's a colossus on the clay legs, it's a snake eating its own tail.

***National socialism (classic fascism)*** It's very similar to any

other form of totalitarianism. They all take away individual liberties and your right to make decisions for yourself under pretext of the collective "rights"; they all hate and heavily regulate freemarket as the economic base of freedom (upto the point that it's not free market anymore but rather crony corporative capitalism); they all use the coercive force of government to impose their totalitarian ideology and practices. The only difference with statism, socialism and islamofascism is their accent on the *national or racial aspects* of the coercive redistribution goals through the Almighty Government. In today's USA African-American radicals such as New Panthers could be classified as national/racist fascists, while on top of that the Afro-American "Nation of Islam" looks very much like a mixture of racial fascism and islamofascism. Sadly, combined negatives of the black supremacism and islamic supremacism do not produce any positives, so the rules of math are not applicable here.

### ***Islamofascism (Shariah law based islam)***

*If and when* the freedom-loving Muslims with the help of other freedom-lovers will cleanse Islam from the filth of Shariah laws then Islam will become a normal religion and then (*and ONLY THEN*) it can coexist with other civilizations, other religions, other law systems. ***Shariah laws must be banned worldwide as the worst kind of fascism.*** Until then there are millions of good Muslims but there is no such thing as a good

Islam. *The definition of good, moderate Islam is Islam without anti-humane Shariah laws.*

Until then Islam is by far the worst kind of totalitarianism that allows not only no freedom of actions, but even no freedom of thoughts. It demands total submission (the word "islam" means "submission") to the mullahs that claim to represent Allah on the Earth. If the *American original Constitution* is based on popular sovereignty, inborn rights, liberties, separation of Church (not a religion though) from State, the rule of law, equality of all before the law and the rest of qualities that make American Constitution *the freest system in the world*, then the *Islam based on Shariah laws is a complete opposite, an antithesis, another pole*, something absolutely incompatible with any other system and *least of all with the American Constitution*. The main goal of Shariah is jihad which is taking over the world first by stealth means and then by the force with the explicit endpoint of making Islam the only religion on Earth. If somebody's goal is to destroy you then no surprises that their goals are incompatible with yours such as American rights for life, liberty, justice and pursuit of happiness. Shariah laws mean discrimination against other religions, oppression and stoning of women, hanging sexual minorities, executing dissidents and the like. Terrifyingly, the stealth jihad brings more and more elements of Shariah laws even to America, as recent NJ judge decision basically said that it's OK to rape and beat up your wife if you are a Muslim (fortunately, this was overruled by the appeals court, but in

France's so far 751 zones officially designated as "no go" for French in fact barbarian Shariah law is the law of land, and America is just 10–15 years behind the Europe unless we ban Shariah laws for good). Muslim's zone in Philadelphia is also factually governed by Shariah law, not by American Constitution, as is the case in other 22 (so far) compounds and villages bought by the islamists in America. There are plentiful studies and books, proving that Islam as of today should be called islamofascism as long as it incorporates anti-humane homicidal Shariah law, yet crooked or brainless politicians still talk BS about "Islam-religion of peace". Just read a few of the serious and objective books about islamofascism: 1) The life and religion of Mohammed, by J. L. Menezes; 2) The politically incorrect guide to Islam (and the Crusades), by Robert Spencer; 3) The West's last chance, by Tony Blaney; 4) The Legacy of Jihad, by Andrew Bostom; 5) The sword of the prophet, by Serge Trifkovic; 6) Radical Islam's rules, by Paul Marshall; 7) The myth of Islamic tolerance, by Robert Spencer; 8) The great divide, by Alvin J. Schmidt, and hundred of others. Yet, with the totalitarian leftist nearly monopoly in MSM, the media hide the ugly truth about islamofascism, about anti-humane Shariah law. Fortunately, more and more Americans reject the misleading leftist MSM and take the matter into their own hands— they decide to educate themselves rather than trusting totalitarian leftist allies of islamofascists. Recently on the *townhall.com* I read a very good article "*Do not tolerate the intolerant*" by Diana

West that shows that Americans better and better understand that Islam as a whole is a "doubly totalitarian" entity, as defined by G. H. Bousquet, a leading scholar of Islamic law. Yes, there are good Muslim-Americans, but there is no such thing as "good Islam". Islam as of today is a base of perpetual Jihad that was started by Muhammed, the first Muslim terrorist, and escalates non-stop last 1,400 years. Muslims in the West must choose whether to be a good American or to be a good Muslims. Being a good American means to be in the freedom camp, while being "a good Muslim" means blindly submitting to the worst totalitarian ideology and practice ever. American Constitution and Shariah laws are complete opposite, and if anyone tells you otherwise they whether attempt to delude you deliberately (which islamofascists are required to do by the Shariah law in the form of taqiya-a deception doctrine) or are delusional lefties themselves. Shariah law must be banned around the world as an islamofascism and the militant jihadists must be defeated decisively, which will create conditions for the good people of the Muslim origin to clean up Islam, *to purge all that Shariah islamofascism from Quran. Then, and only then, Islam will become a normal religion, and only then Islam will have a right to enjoy the protection of the freedom of religion. As of today, protecting Islam means protecting islamofascism.*

Today we witness more and more open ties between all the accomplices in the unholy totalitarian alliance of freedom haters: the government statist, leftist "progressives", socialists, national

socialists (classic fascists), communists and their militarized "antifascist" wing, socialist labor unions and their violent anarchist thugs along the worst kind of the freedom haters: islamofascists. Just look what they do in Europe NOW, how tightly coordinated their attacks against the people, against the freedom are.

# **VIII. Comparative anatomy of freedom loving conservative/libertarian/teaparty camp and freedom hating totalitarians in the US.**

*General observations.*

**Freedom loving camp, conservatives, libertarians, objectivists, individualists, tea party America's conscience.**

In November and in the next 6 years all the freedom lovers must unite. Stop splitting hair defining the differences between different brands of freedom lovers. We're losing freedoms and prosperity, we, the people, cannot allow our enemies to divide us and to conquer. Stick together. We have the common mortal enemy—growing as snow ball Big Government that grabs more and more power, liberties and money, and it is threatening to destroy America's moral values, economic prosperity and individual freedoms along with the only engine of progress-free market. If they succeed in destroying America as the freest country in the world, then there is no one to stand up against the forces of totalitarian evil.

**Freedom-hating camp, a.k.a. totalitarians.**

If you wish to analyze any entity for yourself to determine its place on the freedom vs serfdom scale, you can easily perform

the screening test using the totalitarianism battery of tests. Typically just 3–4 of them is enough: if answer to any of them is "yes", then you are dealing with the totalitarians, with the enemies of the people. You can use the *simplified diagnostic battery* that includes respondent's attitude towards the concept of *popular sovereignty, the 1st Amendment, the 2nd Amendment and Israel*.

The freedom-loving friends of the people affirm their commitment to the concept of the *people as the sovereign of the country* that delegates as much powers to the government as it deems minimally necessary to fulfill the duties of the hired by the people government-CEO. They respect the collective wisdom of the people and don't question the ability of each individual to make decisions for him/herself. Totalitarians with contempt will respond that the people are oysters, sheep, morons and stupid idiots, and that the enlightened elites in the government must make decisions for the sheeple, and the government is the sovereign of the nation. Freedom lovers will say that *Freedom of Speech* means exactly that— freedom to speak up your mind, right or wrong, like it or not, with no exceptions, because they know that one exception begets another, that you cannot be just a little bit pregnant— as soon as you started the slippery slope, the process of letting the government to decide, what the exceptions to the Freedom of Speech are, then the "exceptions" will multiply with the speed of the flies in the toilet, especially when it comes to criticizing the totalitarians. On other hand, totalitarians will

respond that every one has a right to the Left, but there is no right to say what is right. In short, the enemies of the freedom allow freedom of only politically correct speech, everything else will be prosecuted as a hate speech.

*2nd Amendment is a clear-cut case.* If an entity doesn't see itself as the enemy of the people, then it doesn't mind the armed population. On the other hand, all the totalitarian enemies of the people want their enemy people to be disarmed, so then they can do to the helpless people whatever they want.

*Israel is an island of freedom* in the middle of the islamofascism (the worst totalitarianism ever). Israel is the only country that always votes with the US in UN, and Israel sends a strong message that freedom works: the more freedom-the more prosperity; that brave people can resist the inspired by the genocidal antihumane Sharia law jihad– the war against humanity, started by the first jihadi, first Muslim terrorist Muhammed. Islamofascists and totalitarian regimes want to start the domino reaction– first to destroy brave Israel, then the mighty America, then the helpless Euro-Zombies, and then they will take over the world unopposed, and other totalitarians ally with them accordingly to the degree of their hatred of freedom. So, the freer the country, the party, the person is– the more they support Israel as a symbol of freedom. And vice versa– the harder the totalitarianism is-the more they want to destroy the state of Israel. When Europe was a soft tyranny of statist, it was somewhat sympatizing with Israel. The faster totalitarization of Europe by

the Eurosocialists and now by the islamofascists goes— the more they hate Israel. Totalitarian regimes such as USSR (now Russia) and China hate Israel even more. The worst totalitarians, the islamofascists, are ready to wipe Israel from the map as soon as they get the nukes with the US to follow the suite.

*Government* by definition is an enemy of the freedom because it wants the free hands itself to do with the people whatever the ruling elits wants, while the people's liberties stand on the way.

*Typical features of the majority if the freedom-hating campers.*

There are two main groups of them: "*enlightened elite*" and the *sheep*; the ivory towers dwellers and the street trash; guys with the souls of slave masters and ones with the souls of slaves; those "progressives" that love only poor people, thus, keeping them that way forever, and lazy losers satisfied with their parasitic life style.

Both groups share many common features:

- 1) They all die-hard Democratic constituency.
- 2) They all are out of touch with reality, their thinking is wishful thinking; they believe in free lunches as long as someone else pays for them; they live mentally in a parallel Universe, in different dimension while acting in our real world. That huge gap between them explains a lot. They are incapable of grasping the reality, of getting the wisdom of ages such as Roman "*Si vis pacem, para bellum*" (if you wish for peace-prepare for war) or "*Homo homini lupus est*" (a human to human is a wolf)— even though it is a sad reality, but it is reality and normal people deal with what we have in our Universe.

3) They base their impulses on emotions rather on reasons, they are impatient (I want it and I want it now! I don't care how much it costs, who and how will pay for it! I'm entitled to your money!)

4) Sense of entitlement. They find all kinds of excuses to convince themselves that somehow they have a "right" to other peoples money and rights, to something they didn't earn, to the fruits of others' hard labor.

5) Immaturity, infantilism, irresponsibility. Teenagers forever, never mature. Frequently single, don't have family responsibilities. Much higher chance of being sexual perverts. More likely to resort to violence, the murder rate amongst Democratic voters is 4–5 times higher than amongst conservatives (it helps understand why they want to kill 2nd Amendment– their elitist want to disarm American people to rule them while the street trash want to disarm Americans before robbing them).

6) Lack of common sense directly proportional to the degree of their education. The higher their education is the less common sense they have left.

7) Divisiveness in society. Divide et impera. Divide and conquer. They break society to as many as possible antagonistic groups fighting with each other for the re-distributed through the government money and power.

8) Total lack of respect for the Constitution and the rule of law. When they are told it is unlawful– they reply "Oh, we just

will push through another law, and that's it". Majority of the leftist laws since 1913 are un-Constitutional, but leftist judicial activists in the courts "interpret" and twist Constitution to their liking.

9) They all hurt the society, economy, they worsen America's standing and authority around the world.

10) Eternal racism. Used to be anti-black racism, now anti-white racism.

11) Laziness. Whether it's crazy rich entertainment stars or lazy 3 generations on welfare— they all are equally lazy. Since they never worked hard— they don't appreciate other people's rights for the fruits of their hard labor.

12) Narcissism, desire "to look good" and "to feel good about themselves" (even if it means killing millions of people). To dress to impress. "Look how noble I am— I not only forgive my (more totalitarian) enemies but I even support them. Mayor of NYC Bloomberg, as totalitarian, supports ultimate totalitarian islamofascists that want to build on Ground Zero a Mega-Mosque to commemorate their victory on 9/11. Of course, there is never any "forgiveness" for the freedom lovers— it's a one way road: all totalitarians ally with worse totalitarians than themselves, but never with the freedom loving conservatives.

In short, they all are enemies of freedom, enemies of American people and enemies of America

***Particular elitist groups and their sidekicks:***

*Educators:* Every nation needs an education system, and the

American one worked pretty well until the totalitarians managed to nationalize it virtually equalizing it with the consumer fraud (read Kessler's "College has become a consumer fraud") . Since the education became public, then in quality it came close to public toilets while more and more expensive because education is basically removed from the free competition, from the Free Market. But the worst part is that *education system became just a part of the leftist "progressive" propaganda machine*, although very important to our enemies part. As any government run entity, *the public education institutions are protected from the feedback from Americans*, so now the "educators" care more about their bureaucratic bosses in Washington, DC rather than about quality of education or parents and students satisfaction. Besides, the totalitarians pushed the centers of *hostile socialist redistributive ideology such as labor unions* into position of collective bargaining (which must not be allowed as the socialist unions always twist arms and get unfair redistribution from other citizens, and then use the unearned money to interfere with the government elections). On top of that, *the universities and colleges*, where the school teachers obtain their education, *are viper nests of the anti-American leftist totalitarians*. Enemies of America like Bill Aeyers decided in 60-s that they can damage America much more if they will switch from bombing Americans to brainwashing them. So, they infiltrated the education system, and now in all non-applied science main focus is not an education but rather on a leftist indoctrination.

Now, as Obama puts it, Bill is "the distinguished educator", that runs education of the educators, teaching the teachers what and how to teach, and without those courses the teachers are not eligible to teach. Colleges became the assembly lines that take in young Americans and graduate them already as anti-Americans. Of course, the students performance gets worse and worse, because education is not a focus of "educators"—for the Left, universities along with MSM are important part of their brainwashing machine, of the strategy of infiltration of the power structures just read leftist radical Alinsky). Young Americans after indoctrination and brainwashing in universities will go to media, politics, judiciary, administration and other "public service" power structures to promote anti-American "progressive" ideology. That's how with only 20% of population the "progressive" fringe ideology mastered to suppress the mainstream American conservatism and to exert an unproportional to their numbers influence in the tricked by them American society.

The solution? A *temporary solution* is to *ban collective bargaining in **all taxpayers funded** positions*, that include the existing (yet) public education facilities and 100% of the government jobs. That can be done as a paragraph in the **BALANCED BUDGET CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT**. That would also effectively close down the driven by the hostile to America socialist labor unions that syphon taxpayours money and use it to promote socialist political agenda in the power

structures. Our money under excuse of our kids education goes to one pocket of teachers but immediately is taken away from their another pocket by the unions, by the socialist enemies of the people. *The permanent solution to ALL the problems in education, just as in any other area, is exposure to the Free Market self-regulation through **privatization of the schools** from elementary to the universities.* It will improve the quality of education, it will reduce the costs, it will put the control in the hands of the people, of the parents, rather current control by the Washington, DC bureaucrats. Expose the schools, the education institutions to the Free Market self-regulation forces that pay only for goods and services, not for destroying America. Free Market in several years will eliminate all the enemies that are busy indoctrinating students rather than educating them. No violence is to be used against those enemies of the people in the "education" system - they still can be reformed to be useful members of society (how about garbage picking?)

*How to do so? **The same answer to all the problems of the world— that doesn't require a rocket science: Free Market. Privatization of education.*** Enemies of the people in the media managed to seed the fear of privatization in the people's hearts, but basically it boils down to putting YOU in charge of education YOUR children. It gives power to the people. Public "education" is not a free lunch— YOU pay for it, but indirectly— our enemy government collects it from you pocket, as much as it wants, then the government bureaucrats re-distribute

YOUR money the way THEY want. And what they want? A better education for your kids? No! They want more power, more of your money, and most important– they want YOUR KIDS! The totalitarians want to destroy all competing with them centers of influence on the people, they want to have as a God only Almighty Government. Thus, they destroy religion, family, traditions, society fabric and the like. The "educators" indoctrinate your children that their parents are outdated, that they know nothing, that they are too conservative, that only "progressive" liberals can bring about the crap of "social justice". The "educators" undermine the authority of competing with them influence centers: family, church, public associations out of their control. Hitler was making children a ward of the government as teens but today's "progressive" totalitarians want to do is much earlier to brainwash the kids more effectively. In Norway the government demands giving away your kids to the government run kindergartens when they are ONE YEAR OLD. "Progressive" socialists and government want to brainwash as many kids as possible and as early as possible, and that's why even now, during current created by the government interference financial crisis, Obama's regime is wasting billions of taxpayors and borrowed from China money to expand the reach of the brainwashing centers, the "education" facilities. Will millions of new liberal art graduates improve America's standing in the cutting edge tech? Of course not, it's just a waste of the taxpayors money to subsidy brainwashing young Americans in

the blah-blah-biology classes, but they are indoctrinated voters for the Democrats, for the "progressive" enemies of the people, and that's all that matters. So, *the statist and the "progressive" socialists steal you kids using your own money* via Almighty Government and nationalized education system. How can you keep your kids? Slash 99% of the government size, powers, scope and budget and *privatize the education system to put it back under YOUR control*. The fear of privatization is purposely created by your "progressive" enemies in MSM, who always villify Free Market and capitalism because Free Market creates everything for us while totalitarians can only hurt us in their quest for power and money. However, our enemies in the monopolized by the leftist media are the ones who form the public opinion, and they do all they can to hide "progressives" greed for power and money and to charge the capitalism with greed. All is greed, it's in the human nature, but the productive "greed" of Free Market creates everything good around us while destructive greed of the "good wishing" of statist and socialists destroy everything they touch. *Don't be afraid of privatization*: it's like the difference between the government distributes you handouts or a shopping using your own money when you can choose what to buy. Believe me, it will cost the society much more if government collects your money and then wastes it the way it likes, because the government doesn't have a feedback loop, it doesn't care about efficiency and cost savings— it's not government's money, it's you money, and the government can

take away more of your money when it embezzles what was taken previously. Yes, just like in the existing "public" education, YOU will foot the bill, but in case of private schools YOU are in charge, not the government bureaucrats and arrogant "progressive" educators. YOU decide to which school to send your kids, You in the school boards will decide how much to pay, what will be the curriculum in YOUR school and how to spend your money in the most efficient way to improve the quality of real education, not the socialist indoctrination and destruction of family values. It will improve quality and reduce costs, also minimizing the influence of the hostile totalitarian ideologies such as leftism and islamofascism. *There is nothing that government can do better than Free Market*, if it is within the scope of Free market, and Free Market encompasses virtually everything except national defense, law & order and foreign affairs. Even here Free Market can play essential role, but it cannot be the only player. Technically speaking: Close down 100% of federal educational offices, 90% of the state level (giving them only unenforcable recommendation powers) and 80% of municipal boards, giving power to the people, to the school boards.

*Academia with so called "science"*. It is also important part of the people's enemy propaganda machine. They abuse natural respect of the public to science but replace the real science with a hoax, with a fraud, with a lie to fit their totalitarian leftist ideology ("human induced" global "warming" is just

one of the examples. The real science showed that human activity plays almost no role in the climate changes because the human produced CO2 kept rising steadily— although far below historically higher levels— but the global temperatures were fluctuating— rising till 1994, hanging around till 1999 and then DROPPING since them). They basically provide other parts of the "progressive" brainwashing machine with talking points, filled with pseudo-scientific crap. This is especially prominent in the economy, sociology, psychology and ecology "science", because the totalitarian Left uses these area to discredit and destroy Free Market, to infiltrate the power structures, to increase sky-high powers of the already Almighty Government and to redistribute wealth from those who generated it to the losers Democrats created themselves. And in the process of redistribution a lot of taxpayers money will stick to their sticky hands. Money and power, power and money, especially unearned— that's all they care about. How to neutralize them? As always— expose them to the free market forces How? Close down government departments that redistribute taxpayors money in order to keep afloat these scientific zeros but important parts of the leftist enemies propaganda machine. True scientists who generate real science will be rewarded by Free Market while all the quacks will fill the genitors positions. Read Thomas Sowell's "The "Science" Mantra" article, please.

*Journalists, "progressive" MSM monopolies.*

Glen Beck correctly pointed out that the monopolized by the

Left so called "main stream media" are comparable to the Nazi Goebbels propaganda machine, which is not surprising at all: all totalitarians are alike, whether it's Hitlers national socialists , the Democratic socialists or the Euro-Zoo leftists and commies. The main difference is that Hitlerism was a national socialism while the Democratic socialism is international. Howard Dean, the former Democratic Party Head, said that every Democrat is pretty much a "progressive", which means a socialist: they all want a strong government to impose the redistribution of wealth from hard workers to lazy ones.

When "Media watch" conducted a study, 80% of journalist admitted they are left although, of course, they claimed "it does not affect their impartiality in presenting information". Yeah, right, we haven't born yesterday. Trick me once– shame on you, trick me twice– shame on me, but the leftist media keep tricking all the people all the time. When during the study they checked out also the donation causes then turned out that 96% of the journalists donate to the "progressive" causes of the people's enemies. Well, we, the people, should expect only lies from the dominated by the "progressives" MSM. That's why less than 25% of Americans trust media. Fox TV is staffed by 50% conservative friends of the people and 50% by the "progressive" enemies of the people, so you can find objective information on FoxTV. Unfortunately, 100% of all other TV outlets are packed with the Left of all stripes: socialists, "progressives", commies and the like, so I waste no time watching those disinformation outlets.

This propaganda machine was rather effective: it is basically misleading, misinforming and disinforming media that should be held responsible for fooling American people into voting for the affirmative action President, who, as expected, turned out to be the worst enemy America ever had.

### *Ecofreaks:*

The impressions is that these eco-freaks just hate humans, especially decent human beings, and do everything they can to harm and to hurt us via hurting *Free Market, which is the only source of technical progress, the only producer of all the goods and services in the world that we all enjoy*. There is nothing constructive that the "progressives" or the government brought to us, they only hurt us through the impeding the creative forces of Free Market. It is as if the ecofreaks want to spare the planet but to kill 6 billions people by preventing Free Market creating enough food, cloth and shelter to them and leaving only "golden billion" that ultimately includes those "noble" "progressive" tree huggers and rats protectors. We should be thankful that the ecofreaks have not outlawed antibiotics YET, because the medicines kill innumerable amounts of bacteria and viruses that infect and kill humans. I am sure the ecofreaks are more sympathetic to the germs than to us. They do all they can to destroy economy, to hurt free market, to make goods and services more expensive to produce, to prevent prosperity, in short– to hurt us, living humans, under the pretext of "saving" "future generations" (Hitler, Stalin, Mao and all

other totalitarians were doing exactly the same-hurting the living today under pretext of working for the "bright future"). Do you know how much ecofreaks efforts to destroy economy cost us? Alaska gas/oil exploration bans alone cost billions and billions: the estimated cost of (possibly) saving ONE polar owl is \$17,000,000, seventeen million dollars! "Cap and trade" would cost us even more with about ZERO effect on climate (CO2 might affect only about 6% of the climate while over 90% is Sun cycles). "Progressives" in New England prohibit hunting the deer to eliminate an excess of them (triple the amount the nature can sustain) even though those deer now enter the cities, shed off ticks full of *B. burgdorfi* germs that cause Lyme disease that eats up your heart. Lyme disease used to be pretty esoteric but now there are folks who contracted it 3 times, thanks to the ecofreaks. There are no wolves to control the dear populations, and the "progressive" Democrats prohibit hunting because they love inferior forms of life and hate the human beings, especially the decent ones.

Offshore drilling moratorium will not save environment because right away Mexicans and Europeans will jump in and then they will drill and sell us *the same oil* that otherwise would be drilled by us and cost us much less. The chain of events went like that: BP bribed Obama (Obama is the biggest recipient of BP money during last 26 years) and BP thought "We have a White House connection now, we're special now – why should we follow the Business recommendations and advisories? We can shortcut

now!" And they did, and they caused the oil spill. Obama used this as an opportunity to attack the free market, to shakedown BP for another 20 billion dollars, basically, to create a fund under his control to reward his cronies and to peddle his voting base. On top of that, Obama's regime used the spill as an excuse to hit economy more, to hurt free market and jobs (moratorium will cost us 87,000 lost jobs plus billions of dollars paid for oil to our totalitarian enemies, socialists and islamofascists, who will use this money to undermine America's standing and the very existence). You know already that the ecofreaks have killed more people than other kinds of totalitarians: Hitler is probably to blame for 50 million death, Stalin and Mao for 90 each, but **the ecofreaks** initiated ban on DDT **killed at least 120 million people** due to spread of malaria (not mentioning even the fact that *ecogreens support islamofascist greens*— in Switzerland ecofreaks have filed a lawsuit to negate the referendum results when the people of Switzerland decided to ban the building of minarets as typical symbol of islamofascism supremacism, a symbol of Islam dominance). All totalitarians support each other, forming an unholy alliance against the people, against the freedom, against free market. And the DDT ban consequences are just unfolding: it also resulted in the wide spread of *bedbugs in the majority of hotels* which created a biological niche for inevitably emerging new germs that will fill in the niche. It's just a matter of time when a new germ will mutate enough to use these bedbugs as a vector to pass along emerging new diseases

similar to thyphus. All thanks to the "noble" treehuggers, to the ecofreaks, to the totalitarians, to the Demc-rats gang.

*Hollywood trash and entertainment "stars":*

They are just doomed to be "progressive" totalitarians. They meet classic description of the freedom-haters, of the people's enemies: they are lazy; elitists; never worked hard, they show pretty face or round butt for an hour and then they get millions in royalties for years to come; they are immoral, infantile, irresponsible, frequently perverts; they are narcissistic, ready to blow the whole world just to get some attention, applauses and approval and to redeem their innumerable sins in the public (and in their own) eyes. They meet typical catholic description of *sinner*s, and as sinners they seek noble quests" to redeem their sins. That's how they end up destroying Free Market using any suitable kind of pretext— idioticly reducing CO2 by reducing farting CO2 cow herdes, tying arms of the industry and business in general, "protecting" koalas in SEA, pirania in Amazon, polar owls in the oil rich Alaska's waste lands, seals in Canada and some other pets (*only if they look cute!!!*) somewhere far away from their home, meanwhile burning megawatts of electricuty in their luxury homes. I've enough fingers on one hand to count the exceptions from the rule (like Bruce Willis or Angelina Jolie) who have the courage to resist the trashy "peer pressure" of the profession. Remember the scandal with producer Polanski? It proved once more that those *elitists* impose 3 sets of laws: no responsibilities for any crime for themselves

because they are so freaking talented; light punishment for other than artists "progressives" and for the mascots, for trash other than in entertainment industry but heavy punishment for decent "regular" people, especially if they happened to be conservative, white and hard-working. That's the three "mortal sins" that the "progressive" Democrats just cannot tolerate. The only thing that they hate more is a black conservative, especially a woman, who, in their opinion, betrays the Democrats: the Democrats don't recognise the freedom of choice— if you are a black or a woman— you just must be their servant, their voter, their slave, their property, they own you.

#### *Gun ban extremists:*

Guns is one of the diagnostic tests for the totalitarian enemies of the people. When one doesn't consider the people his/her enemy, then he/she is OK with the armed people. This is true regarding individuals, groups, parties, movements, governments and anything else. On the other hand, if one is an enemy of the people, enemy of freedom, then understandably he/she wants to disarm the people. You also would like to disarm your enemy if you had a chance, so don't be surprised when the "progressive" Democrats want to disarm you. If totalitarians had enough powers, they all had their people disarmed. It held true for Stalin, Mao, Hitler and for the Euro-socialists. Ultimately, it holds true for the totalitarian "progressive" Democ-rats gang too. As people say, the 2nd Amendments assures the rest of them. When the firearms used by the criminals as a murder

weapon, then according to the FBI files most commonly used weapon is 38 caliber handgun. However, the first target of the gun ban extremists, the first step on the road to serfdom are the assault weapons that are used by the criminals in less than 7%. Why? Because it's the weapon by which the freedom-lovers can prove the wisdom of saying "If government fears the people it's liberty, if the people fear government it's tyranny". That's why the totalitarian enemies of the people first want to grab assault weapons, then register all the guns and introduce traceable ammo with individual markings on each bullet, then to grab all the firearms and render the Americans helpless victims of the evergrowing government.

#### *Government bureaucracy:*

It's a fast growing totalitarian group frequently influenced by the double totalitarian entities: government itself and hostile socialist labor unions.

#### *Legislatures:*

As soon as politicians are elected and become the part of the government, they are under increasing pressure to change their loyalty from the constituency to the enemy of the people, the government. The opportunities of the professional career politician are plentiful, the temptations are really strong, and majority of politicians fall for it becoming the element of the government's monster. GOP members lean to be statist, attempting "to solve" the problems caused by too much government, using the same government with inevitable failure.

The Democrats are by far worse being double enemies of the people— both as statist and as leftist redistributionists. So far very few politicians in the US (unlike Europe exemplify tripple enemies of the people, as Obama is, adding also the Muslim or pro-Muslim component to the explosive "statist + leftist" mixtrure. Probably, Obama is an atheist, but he is definitely pro-Muslim who enjoys the call to the Muslim prayer as "one of the prettiest sounds on Earth". Remember— Islam is still not purged of the genocidal anti-humane Shariah laws, so we are talking about present islamofascism, the worst totalitarian ideology and practice on the face of the Earth. Solutions? World-wide Shariah laws ban and purging the Shariah laws from Islam making it a normal religion that can coexists with other civilizations.

*Femi-nazis*, as Pamela Geller calls them. Feminist movement was highjacked by the "progressives", who were long ago persistently infiltrating all the structures that could lead them to the power, as the leftist radical Alinsky taught them. Of course, their "leaders" have nothing to do with the true women's interests protection, they are better defined as the worse enemies of the group they claim to represent, as always the case with totalitarians. *All they want is to divide the society, to break it down to as many as possible hating each other special interest groups, to seed animosity and hostility, the gender wars, to undermine free market and individual freedoms.* The way they bribe the grassroot base is typical totalitarian "Short term gain for long term pain"— Femi-nazis litigate, twist arms of the society to get

the unearned money and benefits to their special interest group on account of the rest of the society. Understandably, this cause the distortions in the Free Market self-regulation mechanisms, thus, worsening the outcome and decreasing individual freedoms and prosperity. In short, Femi-Nazis is one of the detachments of the people's enemies. Solutions? Expose to the Free Market. How? Repeal all this discriminatory "antidiscrimination" laws of the 60-s that give power to the government (remember— powers corrupts) and to the appointed by the government humans (remember— to err is human) to decide, what is discrimination and what is not. Of course, the definition keeps getting broader and broader, because it gives the government more and more powers.

*Everything boils down to **the only 2 choices we have**: whether the **power greedy** totalitarians (in America's case— "progressive" **Democrats**) that bring about Euro-socialism with its unintended consequences such as serfdom, destruction and extinction of the nation (but you can be lazy) or "**money greedy**" Freedom & **Free Market** lovers that bring us untended benefits of prosperity, freedom and survival (but you need to work hard).*

Only Free Market's gentle and invisible hand can sort it out and phase out the discrimination for good without reverse discrimination, because color-blind and gender-blind policies are the most profitable ones and the profit is the driving force behind *Free Market*, which provides us with 100% of goods and services that we have as *unintended benefits*, while all we see *from the*

government interventions is *unintended consequences*. As you know, in one day 1-1-2010 alone the government enacted over 40,000 new laws, mandates and regulations, and the total pile of those government coercions might be a million or so within last 100 years. So, how we can go through all this crap to find a rare gem that we don't want to throw away? And the answer is "No, we can't. But we can repeal automatically the whole million of these laws, the tentacles of the government, and we can have a fresh start from scratch. The hard way to do so was employed during the American Revolution, when the American people repealed all aimed against them British laws, but the price they paid for the fresh start was very high. Today the easiest and the most preferable **way to repeal ALL the laws that backed our enemy government's un-Constitutional encroachment into the popular sovereignty territory during last 100 years is a "TWO TERM CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT"** that would *limit to 2 terms (8 years) not only all elected and unelected government officials and judiciary of all levels, but would automatically let expire every 8 years ALL the active laws*. In order to reinstate the extremely rare useful laws, the government bureaucrats will have to prove to us, the people, that this particular law is an exception to the rule and the benefits are actually outweigh unintended consequences. But it must be on a case by case basis, *one law at a time*, with explicit prohibition to re-instate whole bundles, the packs of the crappy laws. It will keep our enemy bureaucrats busy, won't it? They will have to try

to steal our liberties all over again and again from scratch, rather than in today's situation when with each passed law they steal our rights for good, slowly encroaching into people's territory, people's sovereignty.

Then our enemy government's powers will be greatly diminished and ensuing self-regulation by Free Market will gradually solve all the problems that is possible to solve.

## **IX. Philosophy of pragmatic neo-centrism**

I am not sure all this can be called "a philosophy"— but what the heck? It's a fashionable word, so let's shape up something that somewhat resembles a philosophy. Since it is based on definition of "a center" as the state of maximally feasible freedom ( the core freedom), then it should have "centrism" in it. Since all emerging entities like to be called "new", then it will be "neo-centrism". Because it proposes close alliance of all the forces of good, of freedom, based on their today's position relatively to the balance of freedom versus totalitarianism, then it makes sense to name it "pragmatic neo-centrism". So be it, even if it will be the short-lived term. The essence means more than a term. As they say in Russia, it's OK to call me a sweet pie as long as you don't bake me in the oven.

*The most important criterion of analysis in this philosophy is the degree of freedom , which correlates with the strength of the feed-back loop and with the best possible outcome in the instances of the self-regulating processes in multifactorial complex systems in the state of dynamic stability. The importance of the degree of freedom with the feedback loop is in its analytical and predictive powers and in its role as the best possible way to optimize aforementioned complex system*

in the dynamic stability state. To mention just a few examples of such systems: living organisms, economies and societies. All forces that push today's equilibrium point *towards higher degree of freedom for the "end-users" of the system and tighter feedback loop* (which in socioeconomic life translates into more individual liberties, more freedom of choice, ultimate popular sovereignty and more free market) are classified as the freedom-camp in political terms and as *forces of good* in moral terms. *Vise versa—all totalitarian forces that diminish the degree of freedom* in the system and loosen or eliminate the feedback loop (for example—when totalitarians remove the individual liberties and decision making power from a person and give it to the government or to a similar totalitarian entity) are put to the freedom-haters camp politically. From the moral point of view they are considered *forces of evil*. In other words, **forces of good** promote **POWER TO THE PEOPLE** principle while the **forces of evil** push for **POWER TO THE GOVERNMENT**.

Particular political forces shift their orientation, as time passes by (sometimes between elections, sometimes as centuries pass by), and some of them change sides while some stay on the same side of the barricade.

The political center in the pragmatic neocentrism is the core-freedom, the classic liberalism, that is defined by the maximally feasible under current conditions freedoms: free market, individual liberties, popular sovereignty. There is direct correlation between the degree of freedom in socioeconomical

life and the freedom and prosperity in the country. As closer to the absolute possible freedom as more productive the society is, the better people fare. Thus, the *freedom-loving camp is also called "friends of the people"*.

Vice-versa: as more totalitarian, the closer to the slavery, the less free society is— the less productive society and the worse the poverty is. Thus, *the freedom haters and the people's enemies are interchangeable terms in this philosophy*.

When evaluating a proposal, a thesis— don't even look at emotional component, how noble it is and all that crap, and don't look at the stated goal. Instead look at the empiric ned results, and go from there. Whatever shift the balance towards "more freedom to the people" is good, whatever grabs power for the government or totalitarian ideology is bad. It doesn't really matter how you explain the reality as long as you accept it as the objective fact. If you think it is the God's hand— so be it. If you under under the impression that it is just Mother Nature's laws— that's fine too. It is much less important how you explain facts than registering them for what their are. The only thing that matters is that you perceive the reality as it is and deal with it properly. If in contemporary America being religious not only helps shift the balance towards more freedom but actually serves as the number factor in promoting freedom — then I am all for religion by all means, even though I am not religious myself. *Islam as of today doesn't count*, of course, because as long as it is based on the genocidal Shariah laws

it is not a true religion and because it is the worst form of totalitarianism that not just shifts the freedom balance towards totalitarianism but it completely destroys freedom, and not only the freedom of action— even a freedom of thoughts. If being individualistic and egoistic brings freedom and prosperity to the society—then I am all for the individualism and egoism (even though I am an altruistic semi-individualist). If Free Market, including "evil corporations", actually improves the degree of freedom— then I shall send a "thank you" note to the corporations too, even though I like individual enterprises much more. If a degree of the government control is inversely proportional to the freedom and wellbeing of the nation, then I want as less government as possible, even though I grew up under the total government control. If the democracy under conditions of dominance of the totalitarian ideology (such as "free elections" in the islamofascist Gaza) reduces the degree of freedom, then I am against such democracy. Democracy is not a goal on its own merit, it's just a tool to achieve more freedom, if it works. But if under particular circumstances democracy actually reduces the degree of freedom, if it doesn't work— then to hell with democracy. Block Gaza until its people regain their senses back and kick out the islamofascists themselves. If Pinochet's coup interfered with the rights of 10 thousands of totalitarian communists, of the enemies of the people, but saved from hunger and totalitarian dictatorship millions of Chilians, then the risk/benefit ratio is heavily skewed towards giving Pinochet a Nobel

peace prize, even though I wouldn't start the coup myself. If Turkish military will remove from the power Iran's and Syria's friend, formerly stealth and now open islamofascist jihadi Prime Minister Erdogan that poses threat to the Turkish people and to all humanity, then I would not be spanking the military. It's not an unrealistic utopian "noble" goal "justifying" the means, as is always the case with the totalitarian enemies of the people, but it's rather the achieved end-result of "more freedom" justifying the risk/benefit ratio.

## X. Who won in 2008 elections?

The short answer is "Enemies of freedom= enemies of America". Do you remember as ALL America's enemies both abroad and within the US were happily endorsing Obama? Plus some useful idiots too were endorsing their enemy, but who counts idiots? Since Obama avoided an audit, we don't know yet how much illegal fund transfers Obama got from the terrorists and enemies of America abroad (Hamas, Lybia, Hizballa, Palestinians and the like). Hopefully, the next Congress will shed some light on this potentially treaterous activities. Well, *it's clear why those enemies of America supported Husein Obama: tell me who your friends are and I will tell you who you are.* All his friends were, are and will be haters of America, haters of freedom and promoters of all kinds of totalitarianism.

I am sure you ask yourself: "***Why Obama and the Democrats in the Congress do this and do that? It is so bad for America!!!***"

The answers is : "***Exactly!***". Yes, ***EVERY SINGLE THING*** they do is very bad for America, and that's why they are doing it as enemies of America are supposed to do. That's their job description. They attack freedom and American people from all directions in a very organized and coordinated fashion.

*Imagine* that a hating America bad guy, for example, both socialist and pro-muslim *Saddam Hussein is in the White House*

*and his lap-dog legislators are in the Congress.* Then you would not be surprised why they act that way, right? Think about all the things that they would do and then go check the list against Obama's regime "accomplishments" to see if the Hussein Obama's regime is doing exactly the same.

Destroy all things that make America great and exceptional, reduce it to the "just another country", apologize for every aspect of America's greatness. Use "socialization first and then islamization" Check.

Destroy American Constitution and federalism, annihilate people's and states sovereignty, impose unlimited central government. Yes.

Virtually stop the war against the enemies, jihadist terror (even though jihadis exponentially increase their jihad war on bigger and bigger scale around the world and within American borders), stop even calling it islamic terror, preserve the terrorists "rights" over rights of Americans, stop evesdropping on terrorists and instead start evesdropping on dissidents and political opposition". Hmm.. yeah, pretty much "check!" Maybe because America's enemies are friends of Obama and vice versa— America's friends are enemies of Obama.

Ruin American economy by destroying its competitiveness by everfailing socialist takeover: nationalization of banks, automotive industry, healthcare, financial industry, retirement and insurance funds, using forced unionization and the like. Alas, "check!", there is no more sure way to destroy economy

engine than introducing redistributive socialism of any kind—Eurosocialism or communism. Just compare North and South Korea.

Steal American freedoms by forcing socialist labor unions and other socialist contributors to the Husein's campaign, by requiring KGB/Stazi style reporting on all discent directly to the White House, and China-style internet clamping, by spying on us. Check.

Bow to all enemies of America and alienate all America's longstanding friends and allies. Check.

Denigrate America going around the world on apology tour, asking forgiveness for America being the best, for serving as the beacon of freedom and ,thus, the symbol of prosperity and success. Check.

Stop technological advances of America in order to humiliate us, basically stop NASA program, make it serve Obama's Muslim brothers and ask hostile Russian totalitarians to boost American satellites to orbit; stop producing best jet-fighter in the world in order to let totalitarian China soon to be military superpower number 1; unilaterally disarm America while keeping Russia's huge advantage in tactical nukes. Check. Now arabs form UAE are trained by NASA.

Disarm Americans under pretext of the UN "Small arm treaty", destroy 2nd Amendment and render Americans helpless before socialists and islamic supremacists of Obama radical transformation of America: socialization and then islamization.

Check.

Destroy the rest of American Constitution by giving away America sovereignty to the totalitarian UN, by submission of America's laws to the written by the unelected UN bureaucrats "protocols", where stoning women to death Iran serves in the "women's right" panel and Syrian dictatorship serves as "human rights" watchdog. Check.

Smear the hard-working Americans and business community that create 100% of America's wealth, while glorifying America's enemies: socialists (including socialist labor unions), islamofascists and the like. Check.

Promote stealth jihad step by step without openly stating objectives (like recent Obama's "victory" in Kenya, where Obama pushed through Shariah laws into Constitution even though only 12% of Kenyans are Muslims. Expect Obama pushing through more Shariah law in America when there are 12% Muslims in America). Check.

There are 2 Americas that exist in the parallel Universes: the real dimension where the mainstream is conservatism with its 43% of population along with neither foul nor fish "moderates" limping along (together 80% of population), and America of 20% of delusional "progressive" liberals, and these two Americas are completely incompatible with each other. Unfortunately, those "progressive" aliens from the parallel Universe chose Washington, DC as their infiltration goal and they exert unproportional to their numbers influence in the power

structures. How wonderful would be to stop their incursion and send them back to their Utopian world!

And the list will go on and on because Obama is a triple enemy of America (as the Nanny-State statist, as the leftist radical and as a pro-Muslim) while his allies in Washington, DC are mainly double enemies of the American people (statists and lefties). Even Obama's lapdogs in the Congress don't realize that Husein Obama's ultimate goals go much further than theirs: *the Left dreams about Euro-style "socialist paradise" while for Obama the final destination is islamic hell.*

To compare GOP and the Dems: *yes, the Republicans are somewhat better* (they don't cause such devastating damage to America as the Democrats), but they are not good enough to reverse the damage when the GOP is in power. The GOP establishment wants to keep Almighty Government as it is. It is happy with the swinging political pendulum in the Washington, DC feeding ground, and they don't want to slash 99% people's enemy, the government, they'd rather use it for their own advantage. Look how GOP elite protects Alaska's Murkowski, a RINO, a traitor that voted with the enemies of the people Democrats 300 times. All kudos to Sarah Palin, a principled conservative that endorsed true conservative Miller. A similar situation happened in Nevada, where true conservative Sharron Engle was attacked for simply stating the fact that in order to prevent nearing fiscal catastrophe we need to phase out the killing America government-run entitlement programs such as

SS and Medicare. Sorry, but it is absolutely necessary because it is not sustainable, it is doomed, and it will collapse anyway under the weight of the fiscal and demographic crisis, caused by the "too much government" factor. In near future America will not be able to afford it anyway, so why wait until we waste a couple of trillion dollars and see it collapse anyway? Better to handle it now, before it's too late, in an elective fashion, not procrastinating until the crisis strikes. Angle didn't say a word about abrupt termination of the program—no, she correctly stated that there is a need to save the nation by phasing out the entitlement programs that kill America (and are finishing Europe as we speak). Gradual phasing out will prevent demographic and fiscal crises in the US, and it will spare those Americans who have been already robbed by the Washington, DC and THE GOVERNMENT, not you, taxpayers, must foot the government's bill. Pretty good and *absolutely real plan* is delineated in the book "***Why dovernment doesn't work***" by the former USA Presidential candidate **Harry Browne**. The feds must sell out their assets, including one third of American lands, bought by the feds (shouldn't they use our money to pay off America's foreign debts instead of federal land grab on top of power and money grab?), and pay their debts to the Americans whose contribution to the SS and MC was already embezzled by the government the very first minute it was collected from you under the threat of force. Have you volunteered to pay for these government waste programs? No! The feds must pay THEIR DEBTS, not you, guys! All the

government program for now must be made strictly volunteer, no mandates, and if there some stupid folks that rely on the government promises to recover their embezzled money back—let them suffer by choice. If you do not give your explicit consent to take away your money from the paycheck to SS, MC of MA, then the government has no right to steal it from you. I am sure you will find a better way to invest your money when income tax also will be eliminated, and it will double your income right away, because direct and indirect government waste exceeds 57% of the money we make. In short, Engle is absolutely right—to gradually phase out ALL government waste programs is a must in order to save America from Obamunism and from the dying out Euro-Zoo's fate.

## **XI. Random thoughts bowl:**

This is the box where I trow all random thoughts, sketchy raw ideas for a future book, un-classified yet facts and conceptions, un-organized ideas and proposals that need to be thought over yet. It's like a primary ocean life forms: some of them will perish, but some will give a start to the new beginnings. 2 extremes of the normal thought types are epileptimorphic (sticky, glue-like, tedious, over-detailed but meticulous) and schizoid (jumpy, quick, symbolic and under-detailed, disconnected, chaotic). Being asked to draw a picture reflecting an event, epileptimorphic type will draw you a picture with a thousand of small details, while schizoid type will quickly draw a symbol, claiming it does represent the event (even though in 5 minutes they might forget themselves, what exactly this symbol meant). If you feel that you are more on the over-organized side, then just don't read this chapter to avoid irritation by the random thoughts. Some of them have been elaborated in different chapters already after I picked them from here.

**Totalitarians:**

Totalitarians (in our case all die-hard Democratic voters, the "progressive" Democrats gang) just love *high-jacking all nice-sounding causes that can be used to get political scores in the propaganda war. When they steal the cause, they turn it upside down, actually harming in the long run all those they*

*claim to protect or to represent (because their true intentions are to grab more government, more powers rather than really to help those groups).* For example, *all Afro-American liberation movement was started by the Republicans* while the Democrats did just opposite— they organized KKK and were killing both blacks and white Republicans (the last Democrat KKK activist Sen. Bird just passed away). All first wave of black Congress members were Republicans, Martin Luther King Jr also was a conservative Republican, patriot, freedom-lover (thus, a friend of Israel). However, the Democ-rats have high-jacked the Afro-American cause in order to steal the credit. It's like Muslim Turks 400 years ago destroyed Christian East Rome empire, built the Muslim country on the occupied territory and now they claim as the Turkish historical heritage the remnants of the destroyed by them Christian civilization. The same way, the Dems steal credit for all early successes of the original civil rights movements just because the Dems own their leaders today, just because the Dems have dragged these movements into their enemies-of-freedom camp. Now the Dems destroy black community using "short term gain for long term pain" tactics: they hook black community on narcotics of redistributionist "entitlement" programs that destroy black families and lock the blacks out of healthy competition, thus, locking them up in the cage of welfare and "affirmative" action. Equality of the "progressives" is the equality of the beggars, "social justice" if the legitimized discrimination, robbery and Robin Hood-style redistribution,

the end-result is more and more bums in the gutter with no motivation to work hard whatsoever. Why bother if the welfare is enough to get by paying to taxes? The more handouts they give- the more beggars they create. Only free market, free competition will gradually close the gaps, as was happening before all the "progressive" well-intentioned road to hell of the 60-s. When the families are destroyed by the "progressive" policies, then the 2/3 of kids are raised by the street gangs rather than by 2 parents with ensuing teen-pregnancies and perpetuated vicious cycle of poverty.

*The Democrats have always been racists*— originally they were anti-black racists, plotting on eliminating the blacks by introduction of Minimum Wage laws (which in the Democrats minds were supposed to starve the blacks to death); now they are overt anti-white racists and covert anti-black racists (because the Democrats "Big Government handouts" policy in the long run keeps minorities in poverty forever). Life is built on paradoxes: all those self-proclaimed "leaders" who claim to represent the interests of the "oppressed" ones are in fact their worst enemies, be that minorities, arabs in general and palestinian arabs in particular— you name it. It always works that way: in order to prevent losing their electorate (which means losing money and power) the "leaders" prevent integration of their constituency by the gentle forces of Free Market, they keep them segregated, weak and hateful. There is nothing that the "progressive"'s Acts of the sixties have accomplished to cure the society's ills because

they are based on the usual freedom haters' principle: "SHORT TERM GAIN FOR LONG TERM PAIN", on empowering the government "to solve" the problems. Affirmative actions have worsened the productivity of economy by worsening quality of the work force, there are studies that have shown billions and billions of losses to the country due to these policies. All these reforms reversed or at least slowed down the real improvements in the society that slowly but steadily were being accomplished by the forces of Free Market before reforms. Of course, 96% "progressive" media meanwhile have engraved in the public's mind "axioms" that those "reforms" are holy cows and the critical evaluation of whether these "reforms" made America as a whole and less successful minorities better off or much worse is a "NO-NO!", a taboo. Why? Because objective evaluation show that none of the "civil rights" crap works and their the only "accomplishments" are worsening of the "victims" conditions but more power to the government, more poverty, thus, more votes for the Democratic party. For the Democrats the true solution of the problems by Free Market would be the end of the party, no one would vote for them if everybody is a free and successful individual. Their electorate are losers and the rich "enlightened" elite works hard to create as many losers and parasites as they can in order to keep the Democrats in the Washington, DC feeding grounds. According to the 100% of their actions' results— the Democrats despite their empty words and demagoguery in fact don't want to end poverty and discrimination. Just opposite, they

want to perpetuate the poverty to preserve their electorate basis. The actions speak volumes, the empty words are empty words no matter how beautiful they sound.

***Worst enemies of the "oppressed" ones are their self-proclaimed "saviors" and "representatives", while the best friend of the "misfortunate" ones is Free Market as the only source of freedom, prosperity and progress on the face of Earth. "Saviors" give them fish and want to keep them as beggars forever, while Free Market teaches them to fish for themselves to be free and independent.***

By giving all kinds of handouts to beggars the Democrats; a) feel good about themselves, (which is a paramount to those extravert totalitarian narcissists— they want to feel good about their "noble intentions" even if it means destruction of the whole world in reality); b) increase the size, powers and budget of their instrument of coercion— Big Government; and c) perpetuate the poverty, the beggars class, the "entitlement" population, the eternal Democrats electorate base; d) manage to break up the society to as many competing for handouts and hostile to each other groups of special interests as possible rather than uniting as a nation in the pursuit of individual success and happiness. *Free Market unites the nation while totalitarian Democrats gang divides it to the special interests groups and seeds animosity between them.*

The Democrat gang has also high-jacked the *feminist cause* even though they just hurt women by pushing them towards unhealthy habits and hazardous work types, unnatural ways of

life, ruining normal families that serve as the strong base of a healthy society and the like. Feminist "leaders" along with the rest of the Democ-rats support the worst totalitarians—islamofascists, even though Shariah law demands keeping wives subservient using corporal punishment if needed. Shariah laws also demands stoning a women to death if she was raped. Why feminist "leaders" still support based on Shariah law Islam? Usual paradox of life— the feminist elite don't give a damn about women: they are first of all totalitarian "progressives", and only then women. So, if totalitarian progressivism demands to sacrifice women in order to advance totalitarian cause (islamofascism, for example) then feminists will do just that. It's exactly the same way when 78% of American Jews voted for anti-Semite, anti-Israel Obama, because those "progressive" Jews are not Jews— they are "progressives". The brain behind Obama David Axelrod and money behind Obama Gerge Soros formally are Jews, but in fact they are enemies of Israel. Why? Because they are totalitarian enemies of freedom, and Israel is the island of freedom in the totalitarian Middle East. So, the enemies of freedom are automatically the enemies of Israel. Meanwhile non-Jew Sarah Palin along with all other true conservatives is a friend of Israel for exactly the same reason— Israel is the only democracy in the Middle East, thus, the only true friend of America in the region. Freedom-lovers support each other, just as totalitarian freedom-haters form unholy alliance against Israel (soft Euro-totalitarians are soft enemies of

Israel, hard totalitarians of Russia and China are worse enemies, and the worst totalitarians in the world— islamofascists— are hell-bent on destruction of Israel. Totalitarians feel danger— Israel serves as an example in the region that more freedom from the government translates into more prosperity, just like America for 200 years was a living proof of the same fact all over the world). (Of course, it is just a rule with some exceptions— for example, Norquist sounds like a fiscal conservative, but his Palestinian wife every night finds for him very compelling reasons to join the worst totalitarian pro-islamofascism forces, even convincing Bush right after 9/11 to praise Islam as a "religion of peace"). Whatever the totalitarians do— they always hurt society as a whole and they even hurt the particular special interest group members in the long run although they entice them with short term benefits (on account of the rest of society). Only Free Market can sort things out and serve in the long term interests of everyone. Now nascent New Feminism is on the rise, exemplified by Sarah Palin and the wave of conservative women on the way to Congress. They serve united society as a whole, thus, improving positions of the women as the part of society, NOT ON ACCOUNT OF OTHERS.

The Democrats have also high-jacked all other nice-sounding movements (*it is also easier to recruit young naive people using such nice sounding baits/causes even though these totalitarian movements in fact are enemies of the people and in the long run they kil the nation*), making them a part of the freedom-

haters camp, the enemies of the people, and also turning them into exactly opposite of the original movement. It's because the Dems' goal is just to use them in the power struggle, in grabbing more government— they don't give a damn for their constituency's wellbeing. Just opposite— the Dems WANT their electorate base to fail, to stay in poverty and dependancy state indefinitely, so they can have their votes forever. The Dems "love" poor people and they want them to stay that way forever. By the same token, the Dems hate free market as the sole source of progress (because those "progressives" want to be a source of progress), they hate free, prosperous and independant people and they do they best to eliminate them as class. Now Democrats political machine puts under the Dems gang umbrella and controls vote of *environmentalists*, (turning them into "rats first" and hysterical "global warming" freaks), *labor movement* (turning their leaders into socialist enemies of the American people), the *racial minority groups*, that demand short term term gains while actually bringing long term pain and *all other narcissistic "noble causes"*. The common features are: the Democrats use short term gains for them as a bait in order to inflict long term pain; they break down society to as many as possible hostile to each other groups; they use them as an instrument to destroy Free Market and to infiltrate all branches of the coercive government; in short— *the Democrats push all these movements into the freedom-haters camp, into the enemies of American people camp.*

*What are the pathways to the decent freedom-lovers and freaky*

*freedom-hating camps?*

*Freedom-lovers* are formed by the long hours of hard work at the work place (they can be rich-business people of the Main Street, or poor YET or middle class, but most importantly they appreciate the fruits of hard labor and the right to keep what you earn); by respect to the wisdom of ages in the form of traditions and ethic code in society; by being religious (I mean true religions— Islam excepted, because Islam is not a religion: as long as it is based on genocidal anti-humane Shariah laws of jihad— Islam in fact is an islamofascism with its own laws, where religion is just a mask); by recognition of the laws of nature (another paradox— the faith in supernatural God with non-constrained powers makes conservatives accept themselves as humble human beings with constrained abilities. In particular, conservatives recognize the laws of nature. By the same token, mainly atheistic "progressives" consider themselves "free of religious superstitions", but it makes them play unconstrained God themselves, thus, they are in denial of objective restrains, of the laws of nature, including human's nature and laws of Free Market. Religious conservatives know there are no free lunches and the perpetual motion machine cannot exist while "free of religious constraints" liberals still believe they can disregard the laws of nature, they can engineer society and human being-well, you know, all that "mad scientists" crap). Having family responsibilities and nurturing kids helps shape decent conservatives tremendously: family guys must think of the

future and act responsibly. Moral and family values ensue from the above, stressing out individual decision making and bearing personal responsibility for their actions. Free, independant, self-relying and mature persons don't need ANY government in their lives. Thus, we, the people, allowed our enemy government only very limited tasks and delegated very limited powers, according to the best in the world Constitution. Unfortunately, our enemy government started creeping power grab a 100 years ago, and now un-Constituional power-grab became a snow ball, turning into an avalanch. If we, the people, will not stop our enemy government in November, 2010– America will go down the drain, as the Euro-Zoo already does as we speak. So, healthy conservatism is the way to the camp of America's friends for over 90% of the freedom-camp dwellers, it is the easiest, most reliable and *by far the most common path* to the freedom-camp. They have respect to human beings, especially to decent people, no matter what their colour of gender is. We are lucky in America that we have so much Faith (Europe as a basically Godless continent has nearly lost this most common pathway to the freedom camp, which is compounded by the wide-spread irreversible brain damage caused by the leftist educators and MSM), we have so many followers of true religions (except Islam, of course, which is not a religion but a violent, totalitarian and anti-humane ideology as long as it is based on the Sharia laws, on the islamic supremacy, on the islamofascism). As of today, Islam is the worst force of evil, the worst component of

the freedom-haters camp even though many Muslims who do not follow Sharia laws are good people. **Only jihadis, the devout, the pious Muslims do follow the Sharia laws**, and you know the results. Good Americans of the Muslim origin don't chop off your heads, they don't think they are supreme just because they are Muslims, they don't try to eliminate all other religions and take over the world, thus, they are bad Muslims since they are against the dictates of Sharia laws, of the main stream Quran. *One can be whether a good American or a good Muslim, but one never can be both. They are based on the complete opposites, incompatible with each other— the freest in the world American Constitution and the worst totalitarianism of Sharia laws, of the islamofascism.* All other religions are good, they bring you to the freedom-lovers camp: Judeo-Christianity, Hinduism, Sikhism, Bhuddism and the like. My little favorite is Bahaism because of their cleanest record (may be, because they were never in the position of majority, of power, which is always tempting to use). All others religions are the forces of good in today's world (may be, in 18th century it was different and in 22th century it will be different too, but today it is just a fact of the matter, judging by the end results). It's a reliable and most common way to the freedom-lovers camp.

Alternative ways: About 2% of the freedom-lovers have more complex path. They went through the education brainwashing machine in universities and colleges, they were indoctrinated and were guilty of "progressive" totalitarianism (socialists,

communists and all other re-distributionists). However, the hard lessons of life helped them mature enough to realize that freedom, particularly free market, is the only way to prosperity and happiness. This pathway typically leads to the *libertarian branch* of the freedom lovers. Sadly, it is uncommon path and frequently people come to understanding when they are not young, not healthy and not active enough to make a difference in the remaining life time. In short, we should welcome those who finally came to realize the value of freedom, but we should think of this as an exception to the rule rather than as a rule. However, *despite their small numbers*, these reformed "progressive" liberals (and now mainly libertarians) are *extremely valuable to the cause of freedom* because they are highly educated, they know totalitarians inside out and they are *the most articulate supporters of freedom* (just look at the wisest man in America Thomas Sowell or David Horowitz). While less educated conservatives intuitively *feel* what the truth is these libertarians *clearly articulate* the truth and make it more digestible, they identify the short and long term goals of the freedom cause— in short, they serve as a nerve ganglion to the conservative body. *Other alternative and rare routes to the freedom camp* include *objectivists, followers of Ayn Rand, individualists, proponents of free market* and so forth. *Now it's not a time to stress out our differences— it's time for ALL freedom-lovers to unite in order to save America, to restore our Constitution and our liberties, to stop our common*

*totalitarian enemy, especially in Washington, DC.* Let's put our differences aside, at least until we together save America from its government, from the totalitarian enemies of freedom. Even lesser totalitarian entities (state and municipal governments) will work together with the freedom-lovers to dismantle the worst enemy of the people at the federal level. When and if the feds are defeated— then we can trim the states governments too, also reducing their powers and eliminating all the un-Constitutional laws interfering with our liberties and decision making powers. For example, recent Indiana's law requiring a driving license from an 80 year old grandie when she buys a bottle of wine, or the usurped by the government "rights" to dictate what we can and what we can't do in our our own home. After that we can trim the localities and municipal level of buraucracy too, putting total halt on all-level government expansion trends.

*Totalitarian freedom-haters:* just opposite from the above. Typically they are *lazy*, not spending much time at their work place working long hours. As they say— easy come easy go. They can be rich (entertainment industry and Hollowood rich trash, speculators of the Wall Street), poor (losers on welfare) or they can be a middle class (parasitic government bureaucrats). The common feature— they don't work hard, thus, they don't appreciate hard-working people's rights to retain the fruits of their hard labor. Infantile, immature, immoral freaks, single, sexual perverts, victims of the braiwashing education machine (the most common of recruitment are through the universities

and colleges— it's an assembly line where young Americans enter the college but after unrelenting leftist indoctrination graduate as anti-American totalitarian "progressives") and 96% "progressive" totalitarian MSM (main stream media). Some of them later mature, the hard lessons of real life open their eyes, the family responsibilities reform these victims. Unfortunately, for many is a life-long infectious disease— brain damage becomes irreversible.

Totalitarian "progressives" hate decent people (may be because decent people don't need those freaky "knights on the noble cosmic quest for justice", but the "progressives" love rats, losers and all kinds of trash (because they can show off their superiority by handing out the leftovers from their table). "Progressive" totalitarians have killed more people than more notorious kinds of totalitarians (national socialist Hitler, red communists Stalin and Pol Pot), but somehow it goes unnoticed. For example, the "green" ecofreaks organized world-wide ban on DDT, which was the only effective way to prevent malaria, and as a result those eco-freaks have killed about 120 million people via Malaria plasmodium. Thanks to the "progressives" that basically support both deadliest diseases of humanity (malaria and Islamofascism), people keep dying daily from these epidemics.

***Totalitarian brainwashing machine, the delusional zombies assembly line:***

Leftism is a prolonged childhood disease, an infantilism, but

some kids are teenagers forever. Just like children, the Left neglects natural restraints, the laws of nature, they lack patience, the sense of personal responsibility. In order to zombie the population to be the "progressive" infantiles, statists and the Left don't miss anything that works. *There is nothing accidental in the Left's agenda*, they have a perfect built-in magnet, they know exactly what is bad for the freedom cause, what is bad for Free Market and, thus, bad for the people. That could help you make up your mind— ask a totalitarian, in America's case ask “progressive” Democrats, and do exactly opposite from what they want. Or just simply observe— if the “progressive” Democrats pursue some ideas, goals, laws— then you know that is something bad for the people, no matter how beautiful the demagoguery wrap-crap is. The Right is usually right, but it is not 100% consistent. Occasionally conservatives can be wrong, especially when influenced by the people's enemies ("educators" and MSM). However, you can bet that the totalitarians, including the leftist "progressive" Democrats and other statists, are always and 100% wrong, whatever they push for. You can analyze for your self: dichotomize any idea that the Left tries to push to your throat, and you will see for yourself why the risk/benefit ratio is always bad in spite of state of the art demagoguery about their good intentions and claimed "benefits". Benefits whether never materialize or are too costly, but you can be sure that the end result is always the expanding government powers and budget, which means your powers and budgets are getting smaller. Let's

pick some examples (the rest is your homework;-)

*Public transportation:* when you put aside the leftist propaganda and impartially analyze it, then the public transportation is economically disastrous, ecologically disadvantageous, but it turns the independent human beings into the herded cattle, which is the goal of the totalitarian freedom-haters. When Americans drive— they keep their independence and decision making skills up. They must have plan, sense of direction, they must constantly re-evaluate the changing environment and situation, they must actively participate in the process. When the Euro-Zoo dwellers or the commuters in the socialist states herded in the wagons and trains then they lose their independence skills. Skills are always like that: *you use it- or you lose it. We are what we are doing daily.* So, generations in Euro-Zoo lost their independent living skills, they became totally domesticated, castrated and lobotomized by the Almighty Nanny State animals (although the highly educated animals, which make them think they are superiors to Americans just because in Europe there are more university educated folks, who have sustained more irreversible brain damage due to the leftist indoctrination in universities), and the 100% totalitarian leftist media don't let them understand what's going on.

*MSM.* Only about 5% of population are independent thinkers under any conditions, they are able to figure it out despite the propagand, but majority of us need some objective information to make an informed decision, and this is what completely

missing in the totalitarian societies, even in the previously softer Euro-totalitarianism. Everything is filtered, biased, the main goal of the media is to disinform, to misinform, to form public opinion, to impose moral "standards" (namely, "moral relativity" theory, which more correctly should be called "immoral relativity theory"), totally blinding political correctness and enforced "multiculturalism" (if it is the result of natural development via free market mechanism— it's fine, but when it's imposed by force— then the difference is just like between lovemaking and rape. It holds true generally— *anything attained through the Free Market self-regulating mechanisms versus imposed by the coercive government is like a difference between lovemaking and rape*). Thus, majority of Europeans have no source of information and damaged by the totalitarian indoctrination brains to even realize that they are doomed to go extinct because of "too much government" catastrophe and that they are being brought by their own Nanny States to the slaughter houses of the islamofascism, the gravedigger of the suicidal Euro-socialism.

*"Educators"*: Very possibly that it is the most destructive component of the totalitarian brainwashing and leftist indoctrination in the West. In order to make this weapon that effective, the totalitarians first must remove it from the exposure to the forces of good, of the self-regulated Free Market, which wouldn't allow destruction of education and replacement by the anti-Americans production assembly line. This is called *"nationalization of the education system"*. The

nationalization disrupts the feedback from the end-users, from the people, from Americans, making the schools the ward of the totalitarian by its nature Almighty Government that protects the "educators" as long as they do government's bidding—stealing the kids from families, making the Almighty Government and totalitarian ideologies the masters, the only authority for the kids. All totalitarians use schools to brainwash kids while their brains are especially sensitive, as early as they can. Hitler used Hitler-jugend organization, in USSR "Octyabryata" at age of 6–7, "pioneers" 10–11, "comsomol" 14–15. On Norway the government takes away to a government run kindergarten when your children are 1 year old. Obama and the leftist "educators" push for pre-schools and all totalitarians use schools to brainwash kids. The leftist indoctrination is less pronounced in elementary education because there is still feedback from the community, from the families. The more feedback from the parents, from the society is disrupted by the "educators" under the government protections, the worse it gets. Universities and colleges, especially in the non-applied science (in short, in blah-blah-bology disciplines such as art, justice, psychology, social studies and the like, with the worse ones that are completely made up -like gender studies in astronomy, physics and chemistry crap). The main goal of the "educators" is not to educate you kids, but to use your money to turn your kids up against you, to create anti-Americans from young Americans, to teach them to hate freedom, free market, America and their own parents.

Did you ask yourself, why seemingly smart and educated people in the New England follow idiocy of the “totalitarian” national suicide? Smart but stupid? Why seemingly less educated and down to earth Texans are so rich with the common sense and even wise? Humble but wise? Because the 92% “progressive” so called “education system” (in fact, the brainwashing centers) cause irreversible damage to the students, who lose their common sense and ability to judge according to the end results rather than according to the “noble intentions”. Why 78% of the American Jews voted for the anti-semitic and anti-Israeli Husein Obama? Because a lot of Jews are highly educated professionals, who also suffered irreversible brain damage in the run by the totalitarian “progressives” colleges and universities. As it turns out, learning several colloquial fancy words doesn’t make you any wiser.

Of course, the *totalitarian enemies of the people in MSM* will provide with massive cover up, they will disguise the destructive goals with demagoguery appealing to the emotions and never to the reasons and evidence based hard facts. It's always for the "improvement" of the "disadvantaged ones" (even though there NEVER was an instance of improvement of ANYTHING NATIONALIZED, be it the oil industry in Venezuela, factories in North Korea or schooling in the US). The results are always the same: the quality is progressively worsening (which the totalitarians couldn't really care less about), but the government gets more power, control and money. Next thing— *infiltration*

by the hostile ideology. The people's enemies must infiltrate the education system. Now over 92% of the university teachers vote for the “progressive” enemies of America. Infiltration is done by different mechanisms, and you can successfully analyze this process and find much more of them yourself. One of them is the *massive infiltration* using hostile *socialist ideology of the labor unions*. The unions are based on the *socialist principled of the redistribution* from those who create weath to those who are organized enough to blackmail and shakedown unearned bucks. The stronger the unions, the bigger the proportion of un-earned money squized from the society ( by strikes, blackmailing, shakedowns, collective bargaining and other arm twisting techniques), the less productive the society gets. Unionization of automotive industry and of education are examples how they ruin the quality, productivity and make us lose global competition.

How to improve the education and to stop the assembly lines of the anti-Americans? *Exactly reverse mechanism: privatization of education*, starting with closing down all federal education offices, that will remove the 92% anti-American “educators” from cover up by the feds. It will expose them to the Free Market forces that will eliminate all educational fraud and will keep in busines only real educators that will educate our kids rather than indoctrinate them.

*Public servants*: All these totalitarian “progressive educators” actively encourage their brainwashed zombie graduate to pursue

the “public servant “ career, which means “infiltrate the power structures!!”. All these lawyers, politologists, journalists with permanent “progressive” brain damage go to the government and rule us, destroying everything that is good in America.

*Special interest and “civil rights” groups:* Well, you already know enough to figure it out for yourself– the totalitarians infiltrate and hijack any public or government entities that promise influence, power and position to form the false and perverted “progressive” public perception, public opinion.

***Government is the bottle neck of the totalitarianism:***

*All* kinds of the totalitarians use the coercive powers of government to impose their will on the population, to hurt the society and eventually to destroy the country. *Big and strong government is the universal tool of the enemies of the people, of enemies of freedom, just like the Free Market and individual liberties are the universal tools of solving all the world's problems.* Without strong government the ruling elite, the enemies of the people cannot impose their will on you. Thus, even though it is worthwhile fighting each and all totalitarian ideologies on their own "merit", exposing them for what they are, but it is by far more important and practical to minimize the powers of a government as their universal instrument of coercion. Those naive freedom-lovers who hope they can use the same instrument of government to protect the freedom are wrong: the government is a monster that has a mind on its own. No matter what your good intentions are– any well meaning laws is

distorted by the government and eventually start serving exactly opposite from the original purpose. Besides, according to the law of unintended consequences, any law is an intervention into the self-regulating mechanisms of the society, of economics, thus, the government's "cure" is always worse than the ill it is supposed to "cure". Hence, the **minimization of the size, scope, budget and powers of the government is *THE ONLY WAY* to preserve interrelated individual liberties, freedom (most importantly Free Market), prosperity of the country and individual success in the pursuit of happiness.** *Strong government is like a dangerous weapon of mass destruction laying on the road unattended and any passing by scumbag is free to pick it up and to use against us, the people.* Keep your enemy by definition, your government small and weak and on a short leash. The dragon of the government must fear us, the people – then and only then there is liberty.

*Totalitarian slippery-slope:*

Totalitarians always use a nice sounding and on the first glance rationally looking baits to entice the people to initial step on the totalitarian slippery slope path. *The key is:* does it use the government as an instrument of "fixing" true or made up problem? Does it increase government's size, powers, budget and the scope? If the answer is "YES" to ANY of those questions– then KILL THE BILL! It will never "solve" the problem, but instead it inevitably will create innumerable other unintended problems, and most important– it's a beginning of

the self-destructive path to the national suicide, a totalitarian slippery slope to the dead end of the civilization. We saw it in the Euro-Zoo, and we don't want to kill America too. Paradoxically may it sound but it is always true. You want to improve economy through the government actions? It will kill the country. You want to save nature and poor darling animals via the government laws? It will kill the country. You want to improve the education, especially for the poor kids, using the government's run public education? It will kill the country. The list is endless. How come? Because any government action in fact (not in empty words) serves only one purpose: the further power grab. When the government action inevitably results in more problems than it "solved"—obviously, government can claim that it takes more government and more taxes "to solve" the problems that previous government actions created, and the more power government has—easier to commit another power grab. Power and money, money and power—that's all any government is about. And the chain reaction continues—the government grabs more people's power and money, as a snow ball. Meanwhile inherently totalitarian leftist media will cover up for the Big Government failures, distorting and misinterpreting truth, hiding information and the like. MSM also need Big Government to impose their delusional agendas of "social engineering, social justice" and similar mad scientist crap. The society can and should mainly be self-governed using moral code, ethics, traditions, wisdom of ages and citizens associations and societies. Government should

serve only as 911 service when there is an emergent lapse, when the threat exceeds what this person (or group) can handle. Majority of crimes are already prevented when criminals know that any home can be armed. In disarmed by the government states and cities (Washington, DC, NYC, Chicago) disarmed citizens cannot prevent crimes and the criminals know it. So, in those cities factual cooperation between the criminals and the government boosts the crime rate sky high. But the government doesn't care— as an enemy of the people the government's main goal is to disarm the people.

In a similar way leftist totalitarians recruit young rebellious minds in the universities. There is a *massive highjacking of all "noble " causes* by the "progressive" enemies of the people. They find attractively looking baits for everyone, but joining any of the highjacked by the totalitarian "progressives" so called "noble causes" will inevitably bring them to the voting booth of the infiltrated by the totalitarians Democrats gang, of the enemies of America. *Same slippery slope* to the national suicide in the end of the road, even though it sounds paradoxically. One wants to be a vegetarian? Sounds funny, but majority of them will end up voting for the "progressive" enemies of the people. Want to save bunnies and kitties, so the new medicines will be tested on you and your children instead of animals? Then you help the Democ-rats to kill the Constitution and economy instead. Decided to join the special interest groups that give unfair advantages to minorities (which falsely called themselves

"human right activists")? The you help ruining free market and "you get what you earned" fairness, slaying the goose of the Free Market that lays golden eggs, and as a result the whole country gets poorer. Only Free Market, slowly but surely, can balance out tendencies and optimize the outcome.

Totalitarians apparently are unable to comprehend that "simpletons, sheep, morons" Americans make better decisions regarding their own affairs than arrogant "progressive" elite despite their higher education and seemingly sharp wits. "Progressives" are in denial of the fact that each one of 300 million Americans knows about his/her affairs more than anyone in the world, that they have direct incentives and potentially both negative and positive feedback loop, so they have the highest chance to make a right decision than any bureaucrat in Washington, DC or in the state capital. Besides, if one American screws up— he/she screws up only him/herself, while when Screwer-up-in-Chief inevitably screws up— he screws up the whole world. Whatever government does— it does it wrong, From scientific point of view it is impossible for a government to make a right move because government has no or weak feedback loop, thus, whatever it does— it distorts and hinders the only possible mechanism of optimization of the complex systems in the dynamic stability state— self-regulation with the tight feedback loop. The situation is even worse when the government is infiltrated by "progressive" totalitarians because they are blinded by their ideology; they see distorted by the lense

of political correctness picture of the world; they view reality via partisan prism and then they try to analyze already distorted "reality" picture using false psychological stereotypes in their brain. Reality never conforms to their distorted vision of the world, so they just ignore or hide reality and they attack anyone who dares to show them the truth.

### ***The extreme dangers of "moderate" politicians.***

This danger is the result of persisting myths of possibility of the "bipartisanship" and of the improperly applied saying "the truth is somewhere in the middle ground". So, what is the middle ground between the rapist and the raped? There are things that are black and white, there are barricades that you have to choose the sides, to be on the side of the good, of freedom, of friends of America *or* on the side of evil, of totalitarianism, of the people's enemies. As you know, 43% of Americans identify themselves as conservative friends of America while only 20% are "progressive" liberal enemies of America. The rest are so called "moderates", which usually means potential conservative friends of the people but heavily confused by the 96% leftist MSM propaganda. When the elections come then 96% "progressive" MSM intensify their propaganda, trying *to influence the selection of candidates process even in the freedom-lovers camp, amongst conservatives.* MSM essentially does the same pre-selection of candidates as Iranian mullahs do. All totalitarians are the same, whether "progressive" Left or islamofascists: they never argue about the essence (where

they will lose) but they always commit a character assassination, the smearing campaign, in order to eliminate ALL candidates that will likely offer a meaningful reforms, that will push the balance towards more freedom = less government in order to return power to the people. MSM singles out and denigrates all core freedom-lovers, all true conservatives, trying to eliminate them and replace with neutered "moderates" before elections, before the candidates are offered to the people to choose from. Why? Because "moderate" means half-conservative friends and half-"progressive" enemies of the people, of the freedom with the net result of zero. In politics as in in physics the vector of forces is the net result of the forces pushing in all directions. When equal 2 forces push in opposite directions, then the net result is zero. Castrated and neutered by MSM "moderate conservatives" will do nothing to restore original American Constitution, original limited government and unlimited popular sovereignty, they will try to preserve existing status quo, which is dangerous Almighty & Alintrusive Government, runaway government waste and sliding towards suicidal Euro-socialism path America. Meanwhile the leftist "progressive" Democrats will keep pushing towards more government = less freedom, as they do since 1913. 96% of MSM factually are the propaganda branches of the Democrat party. Despite fluctuations, the main trend that we witness since 1913 is continuous shift towards the Left, towards more government = less freedom and less prosperity. Even 10 years ago America generated a third of

the world's GDP but because of the worsening problem of too much government and not enough free market now it generates less than a quarter. Political pendulum seems to fluctuate every election cycle between the right and the left, between the freedom lovers and haters, between core conservatives and extreme "progressives", but in the end of the day it keeps getting worse, it still shifts to the left, towards more government = less freedom & prosperity. So, therefore the conservative voters must listen carefully to the people's enemies in 96% of MSM and do exactly opposite. Conservatives must select the core freedom lovers, the most conservative and libertarian candidates that WILL push the balance towards more freedom, more power to the people, more prosperity, which means as less government as possible. Don't let our enemy "progressives" in MSM neuter and castrate the list of potential candidates, reducing it only to the bleating RINOs that cannot counterattack the "progressive" enemies of the people. For example, one of the few true freedom-lovers that understand the dangers of the Big Government as a universal weapon of totalitarians of all kinds is Nevada's Sharon Angles that poised to kick out Washington, D. C. freedom-hater Harry Reid. So, our enemies in MSM try to present her as an "extremist" while in fact the 20% "progressive" liberal minority are extremists while 43% conservative freedom-lovers represent the biggest group in America with many potential allies amongst confused by MSM "moderates". *Conservatism IS the mainstream in America while "progressivism" is an extreme, and don't let our*

*enemies in the leftist MSM confuse us.* Half of FoxTV staff are conservative friends of the people, which was enough to defeat in competition other networks, while other networks are full of the leftist totalitarian "progressives" with amount of freedom-loving friends of the people next to zero. Fortunately, today only 22–23% of Americans trust MSM and many look for a truth in the internet at such sites as [atlashrugs.com](http://atlashrugs.com). That's why Obama's regime wants to control internet too in order to deprive American people of any objective information, just like is the case in the suicidal Euro-socialism soft totalitarianism system where 100% of information is filtered to conform to the falsehood of political correctness. Even though there are only 20% of the "progressive" totalitarians in the US but they infiltrated all power structures, both formal (100% in the White House, majority in the Congress, half of the judiciary and 96% in MSM) and informal (they have highjacked majority of higher education institutions, public organizations, associations, movements and so forth). That's how the enemies wield unproportional to their number influence, that's how the "progressive" totalitarians dictate to the majority of the American people how to think and what to do. Enemies of freedom in MSM and in other branches of the Democrat gang are afraid that if and when the critical mass of true core-freedom lovers is reached in power structures then they WILL achieve the goal of less government = more freedom & prosperity for America. The Left hates free and prosperous people, they love poor beggars and they want to keep them that

way in order to get votes, power and money.

So, if a candidate is 90% conservative and 10 % "liberal", then the net result is that he/she is  $90-10=80\%$  friend of freedom = friend of the people. When a Democratic candidate is 90% "progressive" totalitarian and 10 % conservative, then the sum is  $90-10=80\%$  enemy of the people. A "moderate" candidate means  $50-50=0\%$ : they are neither fish nor fowl, neither friends nor enemies of the people-just an empty bubble. So, they will not stand up to protect the people, the freedom to make decisions for ourselves, but those "moderates" will take away votes from the true freedom-lovers, true conservatives and libertarians, thus, making it impossible to restore original American Constitution, original limited government and original liberties.

So, don't let our enemies in MSM dictate the list of candidates eliminating all those brave ones (such as Sharron Angle of Nevada) who offer a real meaningful government cuts (at least 50% although ideal would be 99% of the government cuts in size, scope, powers and budget) and restoration of the Constitution. ***Select and elect only MOST CONSERVATIVE candidates at all levels of the power structures!*** Our goal is not to put GOP statist back in the feeding ground in Washington, DC and around the country but rather to restore original Constitution, which means to slash radically powers of the necessary evil, of our enemy government, and to chain down for good our enemy government by the chains of Constitutional Amendments.

Hence, the paramount is not quantity of seats but quality of the candidates, not electability but decisiveness and un-selfishness of the legislators because RINOs ultimately will again betray us, the people; they will discredit GOP and turn away votes of the conservative freedom-lovers. So far Sarah Palin is the true leader of the nation in these matters, she is the Kingmaker of the future conservative majority in the Congress, she lays grounds to make resurrection of American Constitution possible. With the help of the waking up conservative mainstream America and its conscience— the true patriots of the Tea Party movement, Sara Palin has captured hearts of the freedom-lovers just like Glen Beck and Rush Limbaugh captured their minds. So, *the goal is not to get the maximum possible amount of seats* (which automatically means sacrificing the quality of the representatives ) in the Congress (and in all other branches of the government at all levels), *but to elect as many as possible true representatives of the conservative mainstream*, the real freedom fighters that have guts to gut out our enemy Almighty Government. The cause of freedom needs fighters in the Congress, not seats.

***Better fewer but better! And it's November or never!***

The freedom-lovers' camp can afford telling the truth because it's pretty straight forward— they have nothing to hide. Conservatives say everything as as it is : you get what you deserve, what you earned. You work hard? Get rich. You work so-so? Expect the earnings to be the same way. You are a lazy parasite?

Then get to work or eat shit and die. A government is an universal instrument of coercion by totalitarians but conservatives need only freedom, they don't need Almighty Nanny State, they need a small and accountable government because they rely on the objective laws of nature, on self-regulation with feedback loop, on free market magic.

By the same token, *totalitarians cannot afford telling the truth* even to themselves, they just must tell lies in order to survive. That's why the "progressives" developed state of the art deception, demagoguery and self-delusion techniques and skills. Can you imagine "progressive" Democrat saying "The result of the Democrat's policy will be ruined economy, losing American leadership in the world, thus, more wars and conflicts around the world, more beggars and losers in the US, less free and prosperous folks because we want more votes for the Democrats from the losers, more money from your pocket and more power on your account. Besides, in the long run the Democrats' policy will kill the country-it will ruin demographics below survival level, then we will waste all you retirement saving, and in order to pay you back at least penny for a dollar we will rob your children and grandchildren to the point of fiscal crisis. And, by the way, we will encourage by all means the islamization of America that will wipe out all non-Muslims from the face of the Earth". Hmm...what do you think— how many votes the Democrats will secure if they tell the truth? Zero? Well, then they must lie, they must develop their deceiving skills to

the state of art and the demagoguery to the point that Hitler's propaganda minister Ghoebbels would cry in envy and jealousy. And that's exactly what the totalitarians do— just like blood sucking lawyers they can prove that wrong is right and right is wrong, and because they hold a nearly 100% monopoly in MSM, Hollywood and amongst the educators, brainwashed by the colleges "intelligentsia", lawyers and politicians then there is nearly no one to object, to tell the truth.

Crisis of 1921 was worse than crisis of 1929, but in 1921 the government did not interfere, hence, the Free Market had a chance to take care of that and the crisis was over in just 18 months. However, in 1929 our enemy government did interfere and, of course, messed everything up— the crisis lasted over a decade, "thanks" in lesser degree to statist Hoover and mainly to the "progressive" FDR. Now Obama goes exactly the same pathway— statist Bush used government "to solve" the problems and he caused lesser damage while "progressive" radical Obama inherited mild recession and he shaped it first into depression, and now Obama is trying to make a Great Depression out of current crisis in order to accomplish his ambitious goals of dismantling America and Free Market around the world. (Inherited by Obama recession according to the "Misery Index" was twice easier than the one Reagan inherited from the Democrats, but Reagan fixed the problems in several months, using magic of the Free Market, while Obama stubbornly keeps destroying economy which makes me think it is exactly *Obama's*

*purpose to destroy America.*) Free Market has empirically proven time after time that it works miracles while socialism, statism or totalitarian redistributionism of any other kind have failed time after time. Whether totalitarians are delusional to ignore the reality, or, worse, they don't give a damn for ruining the country—all they want is power, our money and "feeling good about themselves" based on empty words of "caring for the unfortunate ones"). Either way we should NEVER let the Democrats govern us. All we should keep the "progressive" Democrats for is to ask them under the lie detector what we should do and to do exactly opposite. The "progressives" have amazing direction senses— they push towards exactly opposite direction from what the people needs. Actually, it's not that suprising— they are enemy of the people, after all. They what's enemies do—suggest *only* wrong things. Certainly, the Democratic party has a chance to purge itself from the totalitarians, and then it might become a friend of the people, but as of today's the Democrats' gang is a force of evil.

Only in the blogosphere one can find independant views, un-reported or under-reported by the leftist MSM information. MSM in 96% represent only totalitarian views of the freedom-haters, of the enemies of the people. That's why many bloggers call CNN-Crescent Net Work; NPR=National Palestinian Radio; El Reuter; AP= Associated (with terrorists) Press, and the like. Conservatives must break totalitarian "progressive" monopoly in MSM, they must create alternative News Agency, TV

stations, Movie studios and enhance the existing buds (half-conservative Fox, MPI studio and the like). In instances where the information market is exposed to free competition (talk-radio) then the freedom lovers, the conservatives decisively win. Where leftist totalitarians have virtual monopoly (Hollywood, TV, newspapers, art, entertainment) then only politically correct lies are the only available dish. No one in a sound mind would trust the immoral crazy Hollywood freaks to nurture their child. However, somehow Americans were misled into voting for the darling of the same entertainment industry "stars", basically giving to the chosen by those freaks Obama to babysit the whole country.

If you are tired of the serious or even grave dangers of the "progressive" totalitarianism, hanging over the country, then *let's have a laugh* for a minute. Here's my *scenario for a movie*. It's like a parody to those beloved by the Left conspiracy theories where busy businessmen get distracted from their multibillion business and risk all the treasures of their successful lives for the sake of shooting some poor people on the streets. How probable, indeed! Majority of the street murderers in jails must be successful CEOs, right? The script is outlined here: Unholy alliance of totalitarians, including Soviet Communists and super-totalitarian Saudi Islamofascists concocted a plot. They decided that Americans in 2008 are enough brainwashed by the 100 years of the relentless "progressive" propaganda of moral relativity, "social justice" in the Left's meaning, enforced multiculturalism

and blinding political correctness to vote for their enemy, for the Manchurian candidate, for the Affirmative Action President. The MSM promised to provide with the color filter: it would show red and green colors as black, so they could present the leftist Red and pro-Muslim green President as a black one. The Chosen by the totalitarians One would be hidden in a Trojan horse of totalitarianism, and he would sabotage America from within. They picked 24 years old Mr. Ahmed Banana and started the thorough and meticulous training process. On a made in 80s laser printer they printed for him an irrefutable birth right that says: "This is to certify that today, the April the Fools Day of 1961, was born a future President of the Great Satan reincarnation called THEM (not US) on its micro-territory of Micro-nesian islands, herewith witnessed by the great citizens of the world and community organizers F. Castrato and Mao Ze-dang". The Marxists have trained him in Indonesia while the Saudis have subsidized their pick in this joint venture. Then the co-conspirators have smuggled Mr. Banana into the unsuspecting US in a provided by Al-Quaida an old container innocently labelled "Biological weapon Lenin for the Russian Empire. Made in Germany, 1917". Then Arabian crown-prince of West Subduedia named Al-nudee-ad-din had him go to the Anti-American Harvest Boosting University through his aide, who before conversion to Islam was known as John Smith, but now as Dr. Halid-al-Bolid-al-Asteroid (or simply Dr. Ha !), who asked his well-connected friend Mr. Soothingtone to push Mr. Banana

to the university, which he did. The paid by the prince studies culminated in Mr. Banana's PhD thesis "How to unilaterally disarm America and turn it into the UN bureaucracy protectorate territory", which unfortunately had to be hidden as it exposed the master-plan prematurely. As a very appreciative man, since then Mr. Banana kisses hand of the king of West Subduedia every time he meets him even after sneaking into the White House. As a token of gratitude to the Marxists, Mr. Banana signed a treaty with Russia named FA (Finish America) and also surrendered America's independant financial system to the European socialist consortium where America will have only 1 vote out of 20. It was decided in the basement of the former Al Capone building that Mr. Banana will be appointed as a President of the US by the Left after gaining some experience in the mobster community organizing. 1st job was given to him by a hardened terrorist turned distinguished educator One-eyed-Bill (he lost his eye along with a dozen of his girlfriends in a failed attempt to bomb WTC— a mission that later was accomplished by his friend Never-been-Laiden. Unlike damaged by 9/11 St. Nicholas Church, Bad Weather Underground bombing site is protected now as a historic site). Before sneaking into the White House, Mr. Banana has spent a while learning sophisticated leftist and islamofascist deception techniques, code word system where every word means exactly opposite. He also made a lot of friends in anti-American gangs subsidized by the Geofge Assos Thornos and the Congress such as "Vote Trading Ars-Korn", with black

racists Jeopardy Wrong and Lousy Hurricane, who indoctrinate Him with a lot of freshly brewed "Hate America" potions. He was also befriended by many other prominent America haters around the world, who helped him buy a WH real estate. For further disguise he changed his name several times (for example, Ahmed for Steve), as later did many other jihadis in Mumbai. Now he had money backing of Assos Thornos, but fruits like banana lack brains, so another hating America brain named Evil Axisrod volunteered happily and guided Banana how to trick Americans. He organized an election campaign under the slogan: "Yes, we can— we can trick all the people all the time!" Another slick trick of Axis Evilrod was to play on greed like in advertising "You get FREE one dollar...if you waste 10 dollar on our product", so he promised a bribe to 95% of Americans from their own pocket, if they will be stupid enough to elect him. He played the greed well, and the trick "Money for nothing, cheques for free" worked, and common sense didn't warn Americans that "The only free cheese is in the mousetrap". Banana thought of asking help from the America-hating MSM (main stream monopoly) but turned out they eagerly volunteered themselves because 96% of the journalists were also totalitarian freedom haters. Another lazy Wall-Street speculator named U. S. Sorrow spent millions dollars buying ahead of time important for the elections positions of Secretary of States in Oi-High-Oi and Moonshine-Soda along with positions in the election committees. This investment paid back well in electing many Senators (such as

Mr. Frankenstein Jr and Mr. Banana himself). Mr. U. S. Sorrow made short bets in the so hated by him stock market and made big bucks on the organized by him destruction of America, readily admitting "I'm having a very good crisis!". Of course, there were crowds of all kinds of America's enemies helping him to purchase the Whitish House and to rename it into the **Red Crescent House**: dictator from Biliary Javahiria named Muaze Fuaki, tyrant from Swine-Swella called Hugie People-Chewie, peacelovers from Bloody-Humus-Brotherhood and many others. After renovations with the US symbols on the carpet they kept visiting the Oval cabinet just to have pleasure of rubbing their shoes over the America's symbols on the carpet. With the help of America's enemies all around the world, Mr. Banana started his transformation program, using the US as a playdough to shape it into a "socialist paradise of Grease" first and then an "islamofascist hell" of So-malign. Transformation in to the Banana Red Crescent Republic required the destruction of all foundation of America: remapping 50 states into 57, proclaiming Founding Fathers "the right wing extremests", hiring Con-artists to call for Constitutional Convention (in short, Con-Con) to replace the "right wing extremists" America Constitution with Amerika Transformation-to-Hell Constitution, to change a flag to green with 57 red crescents and with something in Arabic (probably, Allahu Akbar). To reboot the updated version of the Constitution there was a need in the Banana Red Crescent Revolutionary Guards, and the troops were borrowed from the

Lousy Hurricane. To remind about importance of the security in society, the personal Banana's army was called "The Social Security troops", or in short the SS troopers. Unfortunately for the enemies of America, started by Mr. Banana's civil war was rudely interrupted by the military, loyal to the outdated version of the Constitution and to the old spelling of America.

Mr. Banana was sent to the rotating exile to the countries that inspired him so much – half year in North Korea as a personal friend of the dictator Chophead-So-ill and another half year in Sudden Barbaria as a secretary of the royal family. All 50 States seceded from Washington, DC, which was sawed off of the continent and pushed to the Atlantic ocean along with all career politicians, blood sucking lawyers and "progressive" liberals. Newly formed federal government was relocated to Alaska, it was about 0.1% of the Banana's government with the budget slightly below Wassila's budget and with equally harmless powers, and the new Snow House and De-Capitol were covered with ornament of the words of wisdom: "The good government is the one that governs as less as possible", "Government is never a solution, government always IS the problem", "Government is a necessary evil", "Power to the people, not to people's enemy government", "Better to be rich in unequal degree than equally poor", "Free, prosperous and viable society can consist of only free and prosperous people", "Emotions based policy is always a bad policy, the evidence based policy is a good one", "Road to hell is paved with good intentions", "The Right is always right, the

Left is always wrong" and the like. Happy end. All the millions of dollars for the scenario I shall donate to the freedom causes.

*Health care deterioration, set up by the Democ-rats.*

As you know, the **medical care** quality in America is the best in the world, as proved by the cancer results and availability of the hightec gadgets to the public, although the **health care** is not the best because too many Americans choose to drink, smoke, eat unhealthy and shoot each other, which has nothing to do with the medical care quality. However, the leftist distort the truth and try to make impression that Euro-socialism is a better option despite the facts (European governments are better only in hiding the truth about their trashy medical care system and manipulating statistics like not counting stillbirth and premature babies death in children mortality). But the Left doesn't care about truth— it just wants to push through another power grab, more and more government control that kills the nation. On 8–28–2010 I heard usual totalitarian leftist narrative of the health care "reform". It was a very professionally crafted the piece of Goebbels-like propaganda, typicall for the "progressives". As you could expect, the leftist "expert" assistant professor was interviewd by the leftist NPR host that was as biased as the "health care expert", and, of course, with no alternative vews in the absense of any real experts from the real world that would expose the falsehood of the "progressive" fairy tale of the duo. Unsurprisingly, the narrative went smoothly avoiding

mentioning of the true side of the story. As expected, there was an abundance of beautifully worded a state of the art demagoguery, endless emotional appeals to the "safety of the patients and the patients' best interests" and the rest of the brainwashing flow, certainly avoiding any serious discussions and the inconvenient facts of real life. Alternative opinion would spoil the pleasant imaginary story, citing the harsh reality, wouldn't it? The Left prefers nice facades of the Potemkin villages instead. Occasionally the tongue slips were giving away the truth though, hinting on the real goals and motivation behind the facade. As you realize, the totalitarian leftist "expert" couldn't afford the truth, just blurting out "Yes, the Big Government wants to run the 100% of the show, it wants to control everything and every body, so it must destroy the natural existing hierarchy in the medicine: patient-centered team, doctors, nurses and auxillary workers. The Big Government instead wants to install a government-bureaucrats centered system, where everyone else is just a small bolt in the machine, especially hard trying to discredit doctors as natural heads of the health patient-centered care teams. The government wants control and money, and it will do anything to get that. That's why the "expert" , as all the "progressive" elitists do, was using fancy wards like "relationship coordination" in order to hide the fact that she meant only the Big Government bureaucrats with no medical education and no license to practise medicine will be bossing around, giving orders to the health care team how to do their job and how much money

they can spend on the patient. In the end of the road, those bureaucrats in the death panels will have the license to kill. A chilling prospect of seeing those "James Bonds" on the board. Meantime all the medical staff will be **equally** reduced to the serfs status (that's the totalitarians', including governments', idea of "equality", based on the "moral relativity"— every one has an "equal" say (a doctor, a nurse, a physiotherapist or a drunken genitor), which in practical terms means *no one has a say*, except our enemy government, usurping the authority to make medical decisions, setting up the costs, the rations and the death panels at bureaucrats' will. Not surprisingly, **pro-government "progressive" health care "expert" chose the aviation industry as a model for the health care.** Why? Because ***the airports and the airplanes are Constitution-free zones***, where the Bill of Rights doesn't work, where 1st and 2nd Constitutional Amendments are nulled, where the only "laws" are the government bureaucrats' orders. The government negates American Constitution under the pretext of security, but it keeps failing us time after time both in preventing the terrorism and in punishing the Muslim terrorists. The "ball-bomber" was stopped only by the vigilant citizens, not but the government, who only made sure the citizens are disarmed and the terrorist's rights are above all. Obama's regime Mirandized the terrorist, and right away he stopped singing. Now on the expense of the American taxpayers he will be tried in a civil court system where any liberal activist judge can throw away all the charges based on the

technicalities— those "progressive" don't care about Americans, all they want is to feel good about themselves, how "noble" they are, protecting all kinds of trash on our expense. When a terrorist tried to hijack an airplane in Mogadisho and pulled out his handgun, surrounding passengers pulled out a dozen of AK-47 and pointed at the hijacker. The attempt was thwarted right away, just like 90% of the crimes in America are prevented by the simple fact that 100 millions of Americans are armed and the criminals know it. Where the government as an enemy of the people decides to disarm the citizens (Washington, DC, Chicago, NYC), then the cities become the murder capitals of the nations, thanks to the government. Now Obama's regime and the totalitarian "progressives" want to turn the Health Care industry into Constitution Free-zone in their a 100 year old drive to gradually turn the whole country into the American Constitution-free zone.

Following the government bureaucrats mandates during long surgeries eats up about half time from patients care, wasting it instead for papers care (to justify the bureaucrats existence and to satisfy their lust for control and power). An average case in OR wastes over 50% time for bureaucracy mandated paper work (rather than ideal 0%— any bureaucracy intervention only makes things worse), which gets even worse now due to the computerization because the bureaucrats want to control every one every single minute. In very short cases in OR about 10% of the time actually is spent for the patient's care while 90% for the

paper care to comply with snow ball of the government mandates and regulations. The bureaucracy is the enemy of the patients, wasting majority of the health care workers time for the paper work. Summing up— in the Health Care, just as in any other area, any bureaucracy intervention worsens the outcome, negatively impacts the safety and quality of care, productivity, efficiency and costs for the sake of the further Big Government power and money grab.

Again about the health care in more details, since this was a topic of the hot discussion, after which Obama's regime just shoved to the throats of Americans socialism in medicine majority of Americans didn't want to: yes, it does require a reform, but as always the totalitarian "progressives", the Democrats do exactly opposite from what needed to be done. Even after total defeat of the "progressives" I would keep a couple of them instead of the compass. Conservatives usually are correct, but they occasionally can be wrong too. By the same token, the sensitivity and specificity of the "progressive" Democrat test is full 100% : they always with 100% guarantee give an absolutely wrong, exactly opposite from the correct answer. So, if you are not sure, ask the "progressive" Democrat what to do, listen carefully to the answer, and do exactly opposite from what they say. After a couple of times I'd use the lie detector though— they might try to tick you, giving a correct answer. Thus, *Obamacare is not salvagable, it's a poison, it must be repealed* as a whole and the reform must be started all over again

*with the exactly opposite* measures: removing the government out of the picture, repealing the links of health insurance to the particular employers and particular states, repealing ALL government mandates and regulations, giving the patient a right to decide. Obamacare and any **other government run health care**, on one hand, **creates the rationing** to contain the costs, which **worsens the quality of the medical care**, but on the other hand, it **creates a "chinese buffet" system**, where an employer and the employee are interested in using the allocated money, **which inevitably drives the costs up**. What you are most likely to do when you get "all you can eat for 10 dollars" buffet? Or on the cruise boat? Or in the "all inclusive resort"? You eat as much as you can "because it is free already, it is already paid for". Can you save the products this way? No! On other hands, if the health insurance means just that—a catastrophic insurance while deductibles are big, then you like ordering food in a expensive restaurant, and you will order much less. The open market between insurers in different states (now typically there are only 1–2 big insurers with very little competition due to the *lack of the interstate portability* In short, without going into too much details, *the true and meaningful health care reform must include more patient's choice, full country-wide portability, more competition and true insurance* (not covering "all you can eat", but only catastrophic events) with big deductible. And the *most important* aspect— it must include *no government mandates or regulations*, we must get the government out of

the picture, if we want to improve the quality and reduce the cost— this task can only be done by a Free Market, by the free competition. Young healthy persons will not use mandated by the Obamacare health care "just because it is already paid for", others will order only what they really need. All this Obamacare scheme is just another power grab to control 1/5 more of American economy with worsening but more expensive medical care. (For example, you can read a "Socialized failure" article by John Goodman, "Defeating Obamacare" by Ramesh Ponnuru, and thousands others, instead of lying by definition "progressives" whose eternal goal is to get more power to impose more socialism). Only 5% of Americans wait more than 4 months for surgery, compared to 23% of Australians, 26% of New Zealanders, 27% of Canadians and 36% of Brittons. UK has only 25% of CT scanners per capita as the US, only 1/3 of MRI scanners, they receive treatment for the diabetic retinopathy **ONLY WHEN LOSE THE EYEVISION ON ONE SIDE** to prove that they really need it, the result of cancer care in the US are best in the world. Out of 46 million nominally uninsured in the US about 12 million are eligible for Medicaid and the S-CHIP, but they don't need and don't want to. 17 million have annual incomes over \$50,000 but choose not to get insurance, more than have of them earn over \$75,000, which means they just don't want the insurance, nad it's their choice, right or wrong. Besides, it's a transitory state— 75% of uninsured get insurance back within a year and 91% within 2 years. In Sweden you cannot

buy a better medicine to save your life with your own money because the government bureaucrats don't allow you– "it affects the equality of others". Twice more women in Canada never had a mammogram than in the US, and trice more never had a Pap smear than in the US. Regarding the infant mortality– the US registers ALL birth death, including severely premature, while socialist country hide the mortality, registering only full-term and born alive. Besides, if a woman chooses to drink, to smoke and to have multiple sexual partners with STDs that increase infant mortality– it's not a medical care problem. Latinos have much less prenatal care but much less mortality than Afro-Americans, so the disparity has nothing to do with a "discrimination" BS. The delusional "progressive" see any disparity as a "proof" of discrimination, which is not. If you are smart and someone is an idiot-yes, there is difference, but it is not a discrimination. After all that crap about "equality" in the UK 20 years after socialization of the medicine, the NHS study found that the access had become "MORE UNEQUAL!" because the rich just get the medical treatment on other countries to avoid all this socialist crap in medicine. In Canada officially it's one tier system, but in fact there are 4 of them: one for the "sheep", one for the government, one for the sport stars and another one for the rich– they used to go to the US. Where they will go when the socialism will ruin American medicine too?

So, with the Obamacare as with any other government run program you get less for more. That's the government, that's

the lack of self-regulation with the feedback loop, lack of free competition, and it's the inevitable.

European way of the Nanny State is similar to a Zoo where the attending government collects the proceeds from the *EuroZoo*, cleans the cages of the Euro-zombies, feeds the obedient domesticated Euro-animals, provides the veterinarian care, so the animals in captivity live longer but reproduced poorly. May be, freedom loving and proud Americans are not as educated as Europeans (which means less brainwashed in the totalitarian leftist campuses), but Americans have a human dignity, common sense and self-preservation instincts, unlike dying out Euro-zombies. Many of us realize that socialist "paradise" is just a transit station to the Muslim hell, but unholy alliance of totalitarians (socialist governments, socialist labor unions, fascists and "antifascists" along with their islamofascist gravediggers) managed to turn Euro-Zoo dwellers into brainless sheep that follow the path to the jihadist butcherhouses.

European way of "pursuit of happiness" reminds the narcotic induced euphoria to avoid the harsh reality of the dying out (because of too-much-government) civilization. They are being drugged by their 100% distorted leftist totalitarian MSM, deprived of self-preservation instinct, horded like lemmings on the suicidal trip to islamization. Leftist MSM indoctrination and brain washing seemingly cause as much damage to the brain as heavy drugs: now majority in Europe behave like suicidal "acid heads".

European governments grab highest taxes in the world for what exactly "high quality services"? For open siding with the worst enemies of the people? For assisting in islamization of Europe and subduing freedom lovers, using their own tax money? For killing demographics and mutually assured self-destruction of the greatest in the world European civilization? For singling out EDL patriots who don't want to be transported like sheep to the slaughtering houses of Sudanization of Europe?

The peoples of Europe must realize that their deeply rooted belief that their government will protect them in exchange for high taxes is wrong. In fact, *their growing more and more totalitarian governments have more and more openly allied themselves with other totalitarian enemies of the people.* So, *the people can trust only themselves* and their own powers to save themselves despite the combined efforts of their governments, islamofascists and media. There are no lifeguards on the beach of Europe that would save the sinking ones (figuratively speaking): if your self-preservation instincts happened to be not eradicated by the media indoctrination yet then save yourself. Expect from your governments and from media only bad things because they are your totalitarian enemies. Your government, your Nanny State made you an infantile state ward with virtually no decision making powers, it destroyed demographics, it killed your self-preservation instincts, it weakened your economy by reducing free market forces so you can support only 1 child and it disarmed you (literally too). As since there is no hope that insiduously

hostile to the people entity such as their government would help then it seems logical that every European must learn martial arts, including learning how to use legal double-use weapon from the sticks to the axes; in order of self-defense they must organize themselves into community watch groups, prepare for civil disobedience measures if their governments will continue siding with people's enemies. Try to vote the representatives of the people into the existing governments, remove from power the ruling totalitarian elites, so you can regain your lost liberties, including unlimited free speech and the right to bear arms. Save yourselves because there is no one to save you. Your government, MSM and intellectuals are on the enemy's side. Get ready for the impending clash of civilizations now, form **"THE WORLDWIDE INFIDEL UNITED"** movement that unites all the good freedom-loving people and all the religions against the totalitarian forces of evil starting with the worst one: *islamofascism*. Remember, *you are alone in this fight* because your government, your media and your intellectuals are on the enemy's side. Folk heroes like *Geert Wilders* are rare gifts of the fate, so support them *by all means*. All those freedom-hating forces pave road to each other, they ally with each other against you. Reinvent self-governing, create parallel power structures in the grass roots fashion and gradually replace your authorian self-serving governing system bottom up with the one that serves the people: very limited government with miniscule and specifically defined scope. Power corrupts, so give you government minimal

powers to minimize corruption. The goal is the same for all the peoples— of all the countries in the world: **freedom**, which first of all means *freedom from your government, then free market and individual liberties*, and all of these freedoms are unseparable parts of the whole. When the government fears people— it's liberty, when people fear government— it's tyranny. Make you government fear you, keep it on the short leash of the law.

***Since the totalitarians of all strips hold virtual monopoly in the information, education and entertainment fields, then the freedom-lovers must develop alternative venue for all this: conservative equivalents of AP/Reuters, of the TV net, of media in general, entertainment industry (MIP is a good start) and the like. The patriots, the freedom-lovers must create a parallel universe in the real world (unlike the alternative "reality" of the delusional "progressives"). The easiest and least expensive way to start the process (actually, to expand the already existing buds) is through the internet. Judging by valuability of the un-tainted by the political correctness objective information content, the blog of Pamela Geller atlasshrugs.com alone is worth much more than all the leftist MSM combined (CNN, MSNBC, NBC, NYT and other media from the freedom-haters camp). Pamela Geller is the best American journalist ever, followed by Glen Beck (who is good in domestic issues but has gaps in his knowledge about the world), and by Rush Limbough. Bill O'Railly is somewhere about # 10 (big headed statist, caving in to islamofascism).***

Life insurance does not cover someone while he/she commits crime. By the same token, those who are in the state of committing continuous crime of illegal immigration, must not have their "rights" put above rights of the law abiding Americans. They have only a right for a fair trial.

When Big Governments shackles will be removed from the freedom-will Free Market restore prosperity quickly and painlessly? Unfortunately, the answer is "no", because for a hundred years the Big Government interference has created many distortions, disproportions, inner tensions and artificial imbalances in ethics, society life and economy. Besides, the self-regulating mechanisms of Free Market work slowly but surely. However, Free Market is THE ONLY WAY to optimize both the processes and outcomes in the society and economy, and Free Market IS THE ONLY WAY TO SURVIVE for a nation. We do see from the rich experience, from the empiric evidence, and from the scientific basis of self-regulation with feedback loop, that any redistributive, totalitarian system is a dead end of civilization. Only Free Market based society will survive and prosper.

*"Progressives" doomed to lie* because if they reveal their real goals they will get zero votes and zero so much desired by them the halo of "noble intentions". *"Progressives" doomed to use double standards* because they divide their own voters to the "enlighten elite" and "stupid sheep", to the masters and slaves whom they bestow upon benefits using stolen from the taxpayers

money. "Progressives" turn people into beggars and losers just to feel good about themselves like "Oh, I have such sympathy for this trash so I must be a noble person!". No matter that the handouts they give are taken away from the hardworkers pockets using Big Government coercive power, no matter that all these entitlements programs actually create and perpetuate poverty, no matter that their "noble intentions" ruin country and kill the people they claim to represent and protect— to them the only important thing to feel good about themselves and to get more power and more money.

Obama thinks that he has a right to decide when "you made enough money", and per Husein Obama it is around \$250k per family. Everything above that he wants to take away and redistribute around the world. In 2009 Obama made 6 million dollars. Did he "redistribute" \$5,750,000 amongst the greedy needy ones? Nope! *Double standards*, as always. *One set for the sheep, another for the "progressive" elite*. When "progressive" Whoopie Goldberg and her artistic ilk defend a child rapist Polanski they mean that the elite is above the law. Conservatives are for the equal justice, for equal punishment for the same crimes. *Totalitarians, including leftist "progressives", always use double standards*. Say, when a crime takes place, the "progressives" look who committed crime. They demand no punishment for themselves, for the "enlightened elite", and an easy punishment for the trash that they create and "protect", while demanding harsh punishment for the decent people even

if they are not guilty. *Guilt by association for decent folks and impunity by association for trash is the "progressive" liberals idea of criminal and "social justice"*. If some murderer "didn't have enough toys in the childhood then it's OK to kill and to rape", the "progressives" think, and then Obama using our money without our consent builds state of the art jail in Chicago that looks better than Chicago schools. Actually, that Cook County jail can be mixed with a 5 star resort. Once again, the sympathy of the "progressives" are with the enemies of the people.

*"Progressive" science wrap for leftist crap:*

All the "studies" that "progressives" in government and media love to quote are flawed, distorted, biased or simply made up fraud. "Progressives" use the science mantra for their political and ideological purposes, distorting the science to their needs. In other words, "progressives" use the science wrap to sell their leftist ideological crap as a "science", to present their lies as the "facts", to give an air of legitimacy to their thousand times failed delusional redistributionist social theories. Before shoveling to the throat of American people Obamacare bill leftist MSM fed Americans with lies about how bad American medical care is and how wonderful the Eurosocialism is. They can lie and distort all the interpretations of the nation's health but the Democrats can't hide dead bodies in the socialist UK and Canada : the result of cancer treatment in the US and access to high tech medicine are the best in the world. If Obamacare is implemented and USA breast cancer mortality will be as bad as in Europe and

Canada, then there will be more dead bodies: because of the breast cancer alone America will have unnecessary deaths of 1 million women every three years. Unfortunately, all academic institutions are full of totalitarian enemies of America. Obama's first friend, employer and patron in Chicago Bill Ayers also hates America, freedom and free market, and he decided that he can cause much more damage to America as a "distinguished professor" rather than a "Weather underground" terrorist. He was right, he has caused more damage to America by brainwashing many students (and later educators themselves) and eventually by giving a boost to the America's Enemy-in-Chief Husein Obama.

In August 2010 VP Joe Biden said "*We are moving in a right direction*". Well, it depends on what Obama's regime's goal is: *if their goal is to destroy America, then yes, they are moving in a right direction*. "Stimulus", as predicted, stimulated nothing but the socialists' voting base; July's sales of existing homes are 27% down; economy is stagnant— the growth is 4 times worse than under *Reagan's real stimulus (which is "get the government out of picture" !)*; Obama treats America's friends as enemies and America's enemies as friends (well, if Obama **is** an enemy of America, then it explains a lot!); demographics started dropping following the Euro-socialism trends— well, you get the picture.

When "progressives" want to discredit and to destroy the competing ideologies such as religion, then they use the science, particularly the Darwin's evolution theory, as an instrument

in the war. However, when science and the facts prove that all the socialist, communist, redistributionist, "progressivist", national socialist (fascists) or any other totalitarian ideology are doomed to fail because they are against the laws of nature, then they just ignore the science and the facts. In fact, only freedom, only free market can bring prosperity because free market means self-regulation with the feed-back loop, which is the only possible way to optimize such complex system in the state of dynamic stability as our society and economy. Double standards again. From the science they cherry-pick only what fits their ideology, and if the facts don't fit— they just ignore them. "Progressives" in their struggle for political power have massively infiltrated "science" of sociology, psychology, law, majority of the economy schools and turned them into "blah-blah-bology". All their "studies" always give only "results" compatible with the leftist ideology. Ideologization of the science destroyed close to 100% of non-applied science. Except in areas such as engineering, math and the like, the "progressives" turned colleges into liberal indoctrination assembly line. They take your children and your money, then from young Americans they make anti-Americans. Of course, with this failing quality of education a lot of the graduates end up working as waiters with a "liberal art degree", but why should the totalitarian "educators" care? They accomplished their mission— to make a lot of young Americans hate America, freedom and free market, to be ashamed of America rather to be proud of this land of free, and so on.

Over half of the higher education system in the US under the "guidance" of the federal Education Department is a consumer fraud, a "progressive" propaganda and recruitment centers, a part of the "progressive" brainwashing system that forms future politicians, lawyers, writers, intellectuals, journalists, and educators based on the hostile anti-American leftist ideology. Some of the kids later on start working, get married, learn responsibilities and they mature into healthy conservatives. Some never learn and stay immature infantile "progressives" forever, especially if they are single and never worked hard. That's how totalitarian leftists managed to poison the country despite their small numbers. Now they pave road to even worse totalitarian ideology— to the based on Shariah law islamofascism. The only way to fix it is to expose the education system to the free market: privatize the education system. Scared? Well, nothing is worse than the government run entities whether it's public toilets or public schools. It get only get better while the public schools fare worse and worse: after nationalization of education into public system American students perform worse and worse because there's very little feedback from parents and students. Bureaucrats make decisions, not the families. The feds removed teachers from end-users influence and bureaucrats thousand miles away make decisions instead of families. Theoretically the solution is extremely easy: kick the government out of education. It's called privatization. As always the case with free market in any field— it will drive quality up and costs down due to free

competition. Close down 100% of federal education offices with 100% budget cut; reduce 90% of state level bureaucrats with a right to issue only unenforcable recommendations and advisories; close 80% of municipal boards and give basically all powers to the school boards where the parents must have 75% of the seats. Then there will be free competition between the schools, which will start recruiting better teachers and actually teaching kids rather than spending their time and getting salary. Oh, there will be differences in curriculum? Great! Consumers can choose the best schools and move kids over there! Free competition is the only way to progress, to improvement, and the parents and the students will vote with their feet and with their purse, whose curriculum is better. Besides, it will close the door to the hostile anti-American ideologies such as socialism, fascism and other totalitarian redistributive ideologies that currently creep in under the Feds cover up. The Feds take our money, redistribute our money to educators and their socialist unions, and based on that fact the Feds usurp the right to make all decisions. After privatization parents will no longer have to pay their money to brainwash their own kids, to discredit family authority and family values, to make anti-Americans out of American kids, as is the case now.

*Islamofascism, islamization of the world: discussions of the options.*

As long as islam is based on the anti-humane Shariah laws islam is not a religion and the correct term should be

islamofascism. What are the options, the ways to counteract islamofascization of the world? Let's see...

1) How about full-blown clash of civilization-"Eye for eye, tooth for tooth"- treat Muslims exactly the same way they treat non-Muslim minorities in their country with simmetrical response to the atrocities of islamofascism? If American diplomats cannot have a Christian prayer even within their Embassy in Saudia-then prohibit any Islam practice in the West until Churches, Hindu Shrines and Synagogues are built in Mecca. If Muslims commit genocide against the Christians by millions in Sudan now, in Turkey in 1915...No..can't do..it's a crime, it's not practical and, more importantly, it means going as low as islam is. More civilizied societies cannot commit the same crimes that islam does daily without moral degradation. We cannot behave as bad as our enemy because otherwise we loose our moral superiority over islam.

2) Expecting Mother nature to take care of this disease. There is a slight chance that a new epidemics will wipe out the worst jihadists because they go to mosques 5 times a day and in the crowd have more chances to contract a new emerging deadly disease. One disease wipes our another disease, a bird flu wipes out jihadists, while more peaceful and less fanatic muslims will stay home and survive. Well, it sound plausible but what the chances are? Can we rely on mother nature to contain the enemy of humanity? No.

3) Switch to alternative sources of energy and let these

savages rot in their sands. Not practical, because the West is on the narcotic of the oil with no real alternatives in sight. Besides, they are not savages anymore in a sense of technological advancement— they bought for their petroleum dollars enough stuff to survive. In addition, there too many of them in the West already, and purely demographically islamofascism takes over in Europe already.

4) To reform islam, to remove the anti-humane genocidal Shariah from Quran and from teachings, to make Islam true religion that IS compatible with other religions and civilizations. This sounds great, but who will do that? Since jihad in the absense of formidable counter-war efforts so far wins the war, then majority of the Muslims have no incentives, no intention of changing anything. The "progressive" liberal monopoly in 96% of American and in 100% of European MSM are to blame for this politically correct blindness and, thus, the lack of decisive fighting back. You cannot fight your enemy if you are prohibited even naming You-Know-Who Shariah laws. Main stream media cover up and protect main stream islamofascism. *Tiny groups of moderate Muslims like Dr. Tariq that collect signitures to remove Shariah from Islam are marginalized and threatened by the radical mainstream Islam: 80% of mosques in America are taken over by the hateful wahabis, using Saudis money. So, the Muslims must be forced to reform Islam to get rid of anti-humane Shariah laws. How?*

a) ***Worldwide ban on Shariah laws***, requiring lie detectors

when give immigration visas or/and citizenship to the Muslims in order to answer just one question with 2 choices: Do you recognize the law of the country as the Supreme Law of the land? Or Shariah law? And if it Shariah— good bye, go back to islamofascist countries. Don't even start about discrimination of religion— *islam is not a religion yet*, Islam is the hostile to the freedom, to the West and it is the worst totalitarian ideology and the law system hell-bent on one thing: *destroying other civilizations and taking over the world*.

b) ***Formation of "Infidel United" instead of dismantled ASAP UN*** (because the latter is not reformable, it has become the tool of totalitarians and must be dissolved immediately);

c) Forming coalition of the willing with joint military to contain and to defeat decisively any attempt of genocide by the Muslims whether it happens in Sudan, Macedonia, Nigeria, Albania or Bosnia. Sobering decisive military defeat would be the strongest motivation for Muslims to reform Islam. If Mr. Emerson's calculation is true, the militant jihadis comprise 15% of the Muslims, which is about 200 million of crazy homo-suicidal maniacs, but we don't have to kill all of them— just resicive defeat will demoralize them enough to retreat. After decisive defeat Hitler's Germany went through de-nazification. Japan after decisive defeat underwent de-militarization. ***All islamofascist countries must undergo de-Shariahization***, de-islamofascization. Then and only then the 1,400 year old jihad will be stopped. So, if and when the Muslims will reform islam

throwing to the garbage antihumane Shariah laws— then Islam might become true religion and only then it can start enjoying the same treatment as normal religions. I am not a military expert, but "social engineering" and the "nation building" does not seem like a plausible idea. The best altruistic way is to be egoistic, just like at the society level. If you and I do our personal best to prosper in the life and to pursue happiness, then our country will consist of a lot of prosperous and happy people with individual success stories. Otherwise, as the experience of ALL futile attempts to build an egalitarian society has proven multiple times with NO EXCEPTIONS, the true equality has never been reached: the country was divided into equally poor beggars and somewhat richer ruling elite, be it in Russia, China, North Korea or somewhere else. The same way, America should pursue its own interests and let the natural course of events flow, unless our interests are affected. The main mode of action should be serving as an example how much the freedom, the Free Market can accomplish. The main diplomacy should be a popular diplomacy— people to people, not our enemy government to their enemy government. If some bastards threaten our interests— then don't punish the whole country but rather take them out, individually, using surgical strikes, high technology, specops, cruise missiles and the like without wasting American lives and money to change the ways of lives of the hostile countries. Anyway, it's costly, it's improbable to be accomplished and we bear no responsibility for our enemies. Saddam Hussein was

threatening America's interests? Hit his 12 palaces with 12 cruise missiles, and the conflict is over. The next ruler will learn the message: "Don't mess up with America". Iran is threatening vital interests of America? Take out the crazy mullocrahcy and don't interfere with the Iranian people's reforms afterward. Let their societies mature according to their own pace. If it takes 40 years—so be it, Don't even try to facilitate this process for several years at the very high human and financial cost. If the people ask for help—then help them to learn how to catch the fish. If they don't— the wisdom says "Let it be". If a Chinese state capitalism tries to take away the mineral resources around the world to take advantage of their military power—then act accordingly, and for that reason "If you wish for peace— be ready for a war". Meanwhile Obama closed the production of F-22, the only interceptor that can match Chinese fighters. If crazy North Korea or Burma's dictators don't comply with our nuclear disarmament demands— take out their crooked dictators and let the people to rectify the situation. Don't fight on a massive scale, don't punish the people for the faults of the dictators— those dictators don't care about deaths of their own people.

Australian PM Rudd bravely said: " Immigrants, not Australians, must adapt. Take it or leave it. I am tired of this nation worrying about whether we are offending some individuals or their culture. Since the terrorist attack on Bali, we have experienced a surge in patriotism by the majority of Australians. We speak English, not Spanish, Lebanese, Arabic,

CHinese, Japanese, Russian or any other language. Therefore, if you wish to become part of our society-learn language! Most Australians believe in God, This is not some Christian right wing political push, but a fact, because Christian men and women, on CHristian principles, founded this nations, and this is clearly documented. It is certainly appropriate to display it on the walls of our schools. If God offends you, then I suggest you consider another part of the world as new home, because God is part of our culture. We accept your beliefs, and will not question why. All we ask it that you accept ours, and live in harmony and peaceful enjoyment with us. This is OUR COUNTRY, OUR LAND, and OUR LIFESTYLE, and will allow you every opportunity to enjoy all this. But once you are done complaining, whining, and griping about Our Flag, Our Pledge, Our Christian beliefs, or Our Way of Life, I highly encourage you take advantage of one other great Australian freedom: THE RIGHT TO LEAVE! If you aren't happy here then LEAVE. We didn't force you to come here. You asked to be here. So accept the country you accepted."

Apparently, *mental illness of "progressivism"* includes also cognitive dissociation, narcissim, delusional ideation, denial of reality, behaving in the real world according to what is supposed to be in the imaginatory "ideal" world and so forth. I think, all the Democrats and other totalitarians must be under constant psychiatric re-evaluation every 3 months to make sure they won't harm public when elected. "Progressives" just can't see

the objective reality, they stick to their delusions that they are the noble knights on the noble cosmic quest for justice (while in fact they are enemy of freedom, thus, enemy of the people) while trying to vilify all their ideological and political opponents. When 70% of Americans oppose Obamunism, then delusional leftist MSM try to portray mainstream America as bigots and racists; when in an interview a disillusioned Afroamerican Democrat says she will not vote for Obama anymore-the leftist MSM as always avoid the issue discussion but they start personal attacks accusing of bad motives or being "hired by GOP". "Progressives" always fail to discuss the matter, the whole picture and to prove their point resorting instead to character assassination, personal attacks, smearing campaign and picking a subjective emotionally charged examples like "Mary from Nebraska has a sick child and she supports.." whatever is the "progressive" soap opera of the day. Who's hired 70% of AMERICANS then? It's predictable and inevitable failures of redistributionist models (socialism, Eurosocialism, communism and the like) that mobilize Americans against their enemies in Washington, DC, not some crazy conspiracies. Blame yourselves, Democrats, when you lose in 2010.

No task you hope the government to perform will ever be done. ***Government's "cure" is always worse than the original ill***, and when government's intervention inevitably creates more problems than it "solves", then it creates excuses for the further power and money grab. You hope the government will prevent

monopolization, evil big corporations ending free competition? But involving the government is the worst possible "solution". For one, you give the government powers to decide, which corporations are good and which are evil. The power corrupts. To err is human. You gave more power to government and you created for human beings positions of power. The government that you hoped will control monopolization instead inevitably will pick sides in exchange for favors, so you created the crony capitalism, you created "too big to fail" system that promotes any reckless decisions by the corporations to be bailed out, you weakened the free market forces and, thus, you've worsened the outcome of all processes in economy and society. So, who will then prevent monopolization? An open free market, a global village, where on the global scale even immobile big corporations are small, and small, mobile and resourceful companies can get an edge of advantage. Open the market and close the government (keep it only for foreign affairs). After so many years of always harmful government interventions there are accumulated many imbalances, disproportions and inner pressures within the country. Some catharsis, some purge, some cleaning is inevitable. *"Too big too fail" in reality means "Too big to exist"*. Even in the US with its traditions of entrepreneurship many "Too big to fail" behemoths will fall apart to the bricks when exposed to the global Free Market competition. Then the invisible hand of Free Market will build from these bricks, from the ashes a Phoenix of the renewed America, again the country of

freedom, prosperity and greatness. Virtually any area of human activity is best managed by self-regulation with feedback loop, by freedom, by free market. Government as a tool of coercion has no or minimal feed back loop, thus, any government interference inevitably hurts the self-regulation and worsens the outcome. Government is doomed to do wrong anything it tries just by the government's coercive nature.

*We just must learn the fact, the axiom that there is nothing a government can fix, nothing good a government can do, that government is our enemy, a necessary evil, that should be given ONLY tasks that Free Market cannot do (yet), which is border protection and foreign affairs.* Even here government is doomed to do a lousy job, but until the good magician of Free Market is up to the task we have to hire a mercenary, our enemy government, to fill the gap. Obviously, hiring private companies like "Blackwater" based on the free competition bids would protect our borders much better than Obama's regime, which not only doesn't fulfill its duty to protect our borders from criminals, islamic terrorists and illegals but even hinders Arizona's and Texas' efforts to protect the border. The only duty a government performs quite well is a duty of the people's enemy. Popular sovereignty, direct popular diplomacy, popular self-governance is the best possible way to optimize the dynamic stability processes and their outcomes in economy and society. *Unintended "consequences" of Free Market are freedom, self-respect, self-reliance, prosperity and true progress.*

*Unintended consequences of redistributionist "social justice" are poverty, moral crisis with the losers on welfare and parasites in the government and totalitarianism. **Freedom is the only thing that made America great** and freedom, particularly free market, will make America great again, if we, the people, will defeat our enemy Big Government in November, 2010.*

## **XII. Current situation and developing trends in the US & in the world**

Current situation doesn't look too promising. A lot of countries fail because of the lack of freedom and too much government. Of course, their governments look for scape goats to shift responsibilities for their own faults, and blame the rich countries. Poor countries are poor because they don't have free enough market, the freedom to make individual decisions, the lack of self-regulation with the feedback loop. Rich countries are rich because they have freer market, more individual freedoms and less oppressive governments. Freedom is the most potent economic power, the engine of progress. Many in the poor countries like to think that "the rich countries got rich on the back of the poor countries", but there is nothing to get rich from—less free countries have so low GDP they cannot even sustain their own population, not mentioning to make someone else rich. You want to become rich? Wrestle with your government, don't let it control anything in your life, get back your freedoms— and you definitely will become rich. Hongkong became the story of success because the people made their own decisions and enjoyed the fruit of their hard labor themselves. Free and prosperous country consists of free and prosperous people. Thanks to the Hongkong, the mainland China followed its lead in some degree,

and with more freedom, with freer market the China's standing improved proportionally to the degree of freedom. You want more prosperity? Get more freedom from your government. So far the best chance to prosper has India— it has shifted from the failed socialist redistributionism to the freer market model; it is self-relying system, building itself very proportionally with the minimal distortions; it has more or less reliable, predictable and independent judicial system and the rule of law. Unlike China, India growth is stable while China is a colossus on the clay legs: it is disproportional, it's crony capitalism, it's like a snake eating its own tail for sustenance, which cannot last too long. In 20 years China will have the same demographic and economic problems Europe has right now. India meanwhile will keep its smooth and steady growth, unless hindered by the conflict with neighbouring islamofascist countries or their fifth column in India proper.

Unfortunately, the degree of freedom, individual liberties and free market is decreasing in majority of the countries, in essential degree due to the totalitarization of the world in the worst possible form (islamization). As you know, the whole Islam in its current form is based on the anti-humane Shariah law, which is the engine of the perpetual jihad against all other civilization during last 1,400 years, since the conception of this violent and intolerant ideology and practice by the first Muslim terrorist Muhammed. If and when the Muslims will reform Islam, removing the genocidal Shariah laws, then Islam might become a normal religion that can coexist with other

civilizations.

One can break down the countries into a) *developed countries* with measurable individual freedoms, first of all free market, and high per capita GDP; b) *developing countries* that improve their position on the position above; c) *stagnant countries* with no significant changes; d) *retarding countries* that have their individual liberties, free market and, thus, economic growth worsening; e) *retarded countries* (such as Zimbabwe and Sudan) where the dictatorships basically took away all the freedoms, including free market, from their own people and, thus, took away all the prospects of prosperity. Freedom, particularly free market, and a right to make decisions for ourselves, is the only thing that can bring prosperity, but your own government doesn't let it happen because the free people need almost no government, which means the ruling elite, the oligarchy will have much less money sticking to their hands with the decreasing degree of redistribution of your money. Yes, the people will definitely benefit from the more freedom, more productive economy, but the ruling elite will lose some of their money and power, and that's why they will block your attempts to gain more freedom from the government. It's the islamofascist Arabs' regimes that keep their population poor, not Israel. Just opposite— the Arabs should come to Israel to learn how more freedom translates into more prosperity and happiness for the people. But the Arabs regimes want to disdtract their people from the real culprits, from the Arab regimes and totalitarian ideology based on Shariah

laws, and they blame America and Israel for their own faults. So, your main enemy, your main obstacle on the road to prosperity is your own government, your own totalitarian ideology. Control your government, reform Islam to get read of the Shariah laws—and you will be free and prosperous people.

Sadly, even in the developed world the totalitarianism creeps in faster and faster, the Nanny States and the socialists take over bigger and bigger share of the economy, which hurts economy and demographics worse and worse. Worsening totalitarianization will in a short time destroy the European Union. The crisis in Greece is just a forbinger of the worse, of the domino effect, of collapsing EU. It's painfully simple—less freedom will inevitably translate into less prosperity. So far America is also hard hit by the redistributionists, by the Democratic socialists. Our only hope is that the fall 2010 elections will politically castrate Obama and stop the destruction of America. Then with the next president we shall try to reverse the damage done by the totalitarian enemies of America in Washington, DC, restoring original American Constitution, individual liberties and Free Market.

In South America the political swing so far also was for the worse. Venezuela's dictator Chavez bought a lot of governments in the region using petroleum dollars. Brazilian and many other governments are heavily infiltrated by the communists, socialists and other totalitarians, Iranian and other islamofascists also infiltrate the region. However, the socialism in several years

will exert the sobering effect on the population: people in Venezuela started starving now while the government with its usual "expediency" let the food rot in containers. It means that *within the same time frame as EU collapses (which is 5 to 10 years), the Socialist International, the unholy alliance of all totalitarian enemies of the people under the Chavez will collapse as well. Totalitarians base called the UN also could fall apart within the same time interval*, which would be a great development. All this positive developments would represent a great opportunity to promote the ideas of freedom, of free market and prosperity into the masses. As you know, when the ideas conquer the masses then the ideas become a physical force of reforms. So, there is a hope that the discredited distributivism, the totalitarianism will be replaced in essential degree with the Free Market and individual liberties.

The worst problems on hands, of course, is the islamofascism. This aggressive entity, like an alien from the space, threatens the very existence of all human civilizations. So far it can be stopped only with a decisive military victory, and the ensuing crisis of islamofascism should push Muslim reformers on the front line. If and when today's tiny and threatened minority of moderate Muslims will become a mainstream and will manage to remove anti-humane Shariah laws from Islam, then and only then Islam might become a normal religion that will be able to co-exist with others. As of today, based on Shariah laws Islam is a form of fascism, it is the worst possible totalitarian system, and it is the

threat to all mankind.

## **XIII. Possible case scenarios**

World-wide scenario is quite simple: it's whether islamofascism or us, the rest of the humanity, whether bloodshed and beheading all over the world with submission to the usurpers of the "Allah's will on Earth"— or restoring world order with the Shariah laws ban, letting all the people develop their own way. The toughest question all civilizations under the existential threat of jihad face now is a Hamlet's question: to be or not to be. So far the jihad, the islamofascism is winning because other civilizations have technical, military and economic might to stop islamofascism but don't have the power of will, don't have the guts to use their might. Meanwhile the jihadis, the islamofascists use all the means at their disposal— from stealth jihad in the US to more and more open takeover in Europe, starting from Bosnia and Kosovo, spreading to Macedonia, Frankistan, Anglostan and to the rest of the Eurostan. Meanwhile the traitors in the getting more and more totalitarian governments and media in Europe side with the islamofascists in a faint hope that the jihadis will appreciate their efforts and will kill them last. I wouldn't bet on it. Remember, as France and Russia before the Iraq war were kissing the islamofascist asses in the UN, trying to protect them from the US wrath? Remember how the islamists thanked them? By blowing up French oil tanker and bloody hostage takeover in Moscow's Nord Ost theatre. When asked "why", one of the

jihadi leaders said "Yeah, we would with a greater pleasure blow up an American ship, but what the heck— they all are Infidels, after all". Did it teach the lesson, did it prevent Euro-Zoo ruling elite from uniting with the islamofascists against their own people? Not at all.

In the US the forecast is a much more complicated issue right now.

1) Most likely case scenario. Get ready to guard the voting polls— there will be a lot of violence by the leftist totalitarians like ACORN, pardoned by DOJ Eric Holder New Black Panthers that have a bad habit of spinning sticks over your head in front of the voting polls and throwing racist remarks and threats (Attorney General asked them in 2008 to refrain from attacking booths for two years, in other words: "Brothers, wait until the next elections") and bunches of paid by Soros leftist lunatics. The criminals will join the party (341 felons in Minnesota voted for the Democrat Franken..pardon, Al Franken). Despite the turmoil, in 2010 Republicans take over the House with possible stalemate or slight majority in the Senate. The question remains whether the old Statist Elite of the GOP will succeed to push through the RINOs, the Republicans but not true conservatives, or the Tea Party and Sarah Palin will manage to boost enough true Constitutionalists. If there are enough true conservatives, then all Obama's socialist anti-American reforms are stuck in the Congress, which will block the appropriation bills for the nationalization of the country by Obama's regime. Further

ramifications: if the conservative have enough guts and numbers to impeach Obama and remove half-brainer Biden (due to the medical reasons with 2 brain bleeds and 2 brain surgeries), then in 2011 new conservative president will reverse the damage done by the "progressive" socialists and pro-islamofascists. How far reversed: to the 1913 reference point is ideal, to 1983 is acceptable, to 2008 is bad because the totalitarians will regroup and will keep transforming America first into Greece and then into Somali. Political pendulum will resume its swings, each time swaying bit by bit more and more to the left, as was the case last 100 years. Slow death of the nation, Euro-style. In short—I don't know, and nobody knows for sure. It depends on how well informed the American voters are in November and how decisive the people's representatives will be. The chances of the House takeover by the patriots are about 100%, the Senate 55%, Obama's impeachment about 10%, Obama's jail term for illegal elections, high treason and the like 3–5%.

2) The best for America and for the rest of the world vase scenario: freedom-lovers camp has won, UN and EU fall apart, Obama is impeached, the Constution is restored, proposed by me Amendments passed, the federal government reduced to about 1% of today along with significant reductions in other levels of the executive, judiciary, legislative branches and media. Free Market has restored sanity, the GDP growth is steady 8%, unemployment steady 3% instead of todays 20% uncluding hidden and partial unemployment, hostile ideology of socialism,

unionism and islamofascism are pushed to the fringes, America restores its world standing as the beacon of freedom. Inspired by the America's example, majority of the humanity move towards more freedom, more free market, more prosperity. Islamofascism is defeated, moderates reform Islam removing the Shariah laws, jihad has stopped and the world has settled. Unfortunately, the likelihood of this ideal case scenario is, probably, about 1%. Alas. If I am wrong and the ideal case scenario happens, then I shall buy you a pizza, OK?

3) The worst case scenario— Obama's totalitarian friends in Iran or North Korea start nuclear crisis, which gives him an excuse to declare a Marshall law, to suspend elections or to suspend transition to the new Congress if the crisis provoked between Nov 2, 10 and Jan 20, 2011 until the next millenium. 70% of Americans are against the destruction of America by the socialist transformation, but the question remains— will the totalitarian "progressive" enemies of the people acknowledge and respect the votes of Americans or they will just ignore them and commit a coup-d'etat? Americans used to think that they can always vote out of Washington, DC the bastards they don't like. Well, it may be not the case any more, because after a point of no return the government grabbed enough power to just ignore the voters. As Stalin said-" it doesn't matter who votes, matters who counts the votes". We'll see.

Ramification from this point: **a)** If military caves in— the totalitarian Chavez-type regime is established for good, America

is reduced to banana republic, un-opposed islamofascism takes over the world, and the world goes to hell. **b)** If military arrests Obama and Supreme Court impeaches him, then America can be saved. **c)** If military doesn't arrest the usurper, then the full-blown civil war might erupt with a lot of bloodshed, hunger, civil unrest— well, you saw a lot of the post-collapse movies, and it will be some combination of those scenarios. In short, the producers of MRE (meals ready to eat) will be on the top of the food chain along with the survivalist equipment producers. Relative order is maintained by the militias. The US falls apart— Texas, the South and the Mid West stay in the Free Market based union, East and West Coasts along with Illinois form socialist confederation, Michigan is split in halves. Hungry socialist confederate mobs from time to time try incursion into the richer Free Market zone, repelled by the Union. Getting even hungrier, they flood the Suburbania, looking for the "progressive" liberals' tender meat. The only "progressive" liberals in New England that have survived are those who know how to shoot. Surprisingly, they had guns. **Both of them.** Unopposed, islamofascists take over the world within 40–50 years. Doomed days scenario. Nothing good about it, I hope it will not materialize. However, fortifying your house, buying old silver coins (paper money will be good only as a wallpaper), 5 year worth MREs and ammo won't hurt, just as joining nearby survivalist groups and militias. I will consider converting to an Amish— they have good survival skills in the post-civilization era. Ha-ha.

## **XIV. Is there still chance to save the world? If yes— what can be done?**

*Yes*, there is a chance based on American exceptionalism and original American Constitution. Majority of Americans love freedom. America was founded on the popular sovereignty base where we, the people are the only sovereign. All we have to do is to revive original American Constitution, the Republic based on the rule of law and the original law itself. Only 20% of American population are delusional totalitarian "progressive" liberals.

So, *America can*

1) *save itself from totalitarianism first* and then

2) *America will have a chance to save the world once more.*

Revived America will serve again as a beacon of freedom for the whole world.

A wave of retired military commanders like Col. West in the Congress that will come in January, 2011 will do a better job preparing for the campaign: evaluating current situation, outlining disposition, planning strategy and tactics, but we should start now with acceptance of the simple facts. ***Washington, DC as of today is the occupied by the hostile forces territory.*** We need a plan how to defeat the enemy and how to save America. Those hostile forces include all kinds of totalitarianists: statist in the administration and in the Congress, mainly

amongst the Democrats, but also RINOs in GOP, "progressive" leftists, socialist labor unions, 96% "progressive" MSM, leftist academia & intelligentsia, antihumane Shariah law promoters, parasitic special interest groups and the like.

I propose 3 *programs*— the minimal program, the medium program and the maximal program. The **minimum includes absolutely necessary measures that we must take no matter what, if we want the land of free to survive.** The “medium” outlines what we can do in real terms to improve what we have now. The “maximum” sets the bar high— it's what we should do to get to the ideal world as close as it gets and as fast as it gets. In short, it's "**must**", "**can**" and "**should do**" **programs.** Totalitarian "progressives" keep their encroachment into individual liberties for the last 100 years, building more and more totalitarian federal government with increasing speed. *We must analyze why they succeed in destroying the Constitutional Republic, how to stop the people's enemies, reverse and repair the damage they've done and how to assure that no totalitarian force in the future can threaten American Constitution and citizens' rights and freedoms again.*

The question how much of the medium and maximum programs will be implemented depends on several factors:

a) How many conservative, objectivists and libertarian patriots will be in the Congress in 2011? How big and how united the freedom-camp will be? Can they impeach Obama or at least overcome his veto (which Obama for sure will use against

anything that in the best interests of America)? Can they pass the absolutely vital Constitutional Amendments that are necessary for the survival of America? Can they unite all the freedom-lovers in the Congress against the common enemy rather than splitting hairs in attempt to present themselves different from other freedom-lovers?

b) How much these patriots will know about the miserable state of the Union and what is the *main problem of America*—(and same is true for the rest of the world, which is the *lack of freedom, particularly free market, because of too much government*) and about the ways to fix the problems (eliminate 99% of the government's size, scope and budget— and Free Market will solve 99% of the problem in due course);

c) How much American public will be educated and willing to revive the Constitution? Many have intuitive feeling that something is wrong but they are not sure what exactly and many even less sure how to fix the mess. People should see the whole picture, the main problem (which is "too much government, not enough freedom, especially free market"). When they see clearly, what the problem is, then they know how to fix it: to get the government of the picture as much as possible, and on a permanent basis (cutting down 99% of the government's size, scope and budget would be the perfect solution). When the ideas possess masses then the ideas become a physical power. *American people is the most perceptive in the world to the ideas of freedom, individual accomplishments and personal responsibilities, and*

many of us remember the Founding Fathers warnings that *freedom means freedom from your government*. So, Americans do have a chance *to restore freedoms*, which *automatically means to restore greatness and prosperity of America*. Unfortunately for Euro-Zoo, their castrated by the "progressive" MSM political correctness zombies are not likely even to comprehend what's going on, how their own governments using their own money destroy their own civilization. Tiny islands of common sense such as EDL in UK or Geert Wilders' movement gain some momentum but I am not sure there will be enough time to save Europe from *complete and irreversible totalitarization (first socialist "paradise" and then islamic hell)*. *EU will likely fall apart within 5 years*. I'm afraid, Europe's train with no brakes is too far on the railroad to serfdom, but I will be so happy if time proves me wrong. I'd love to see the freedom rule the world, freedom from the governments and from totalitarian ideologies, especially from Shariah based islamofacism. *India* is a great example of wanders that Free Market can create. Many years under USSR's influence India was limping along the troublesome path of the re-distributionist society. As soon as the free market model started its victorious march, we see India's growth due to the Free Market forces as steady, balanced and proportional from the ground to the top with no forseible troubles while China's totalitarian state capitalism is heading towards inevitable crush in 20 years or so. All China's "successes" remind me a snake feeding on its own tail. China in 20 years will have same

demographic and fiscal problems that Europe and Japan have now. The formula how to solve the world's problems can be simplified as "Power to the people!" People must make decision for themselves, not the governments ruling elites or ideological elites.

*Immediate most urgent tactics* should include:

Removing freedom-hating totalitarians of all flocks from all branches of the government: voting out of the Congress, state and municipal levels all totalitarians of all kinds, replacing them with the freedom-loving camp representatives (conservatives, libertarians, objectivists and the like), removing "progressive" judicial activists that destroy the rule of law from the bench and replacing them with the conservative constitutionalists. Peaceful methods are by far the most preferred way to do so, unless the totalitarians will wage a full-blown civil war against majority of freedom-loving Americans. If they do-well, in love and war all means are legitimate. So far, it's almost exclusively the leftist Democ-rats thugs that threat violence and actually commit it. Recently the peaceful T-party center of Dick Armev had to move to a more secure location because of the daily threats of violence from the Democrats gang. I am sure that under no circumstances American patriots will not fight against the military and police because 80% of the military and police are patriots themselves and they hate totalitarians as much as other patriots do. They might deem that there are more than enough soft targets amongst enemies of freedom, enemies of America ("progressives" that

have infiltrated all 3 official branches of the government plus MSM, all Soros subsidized anti-American organizations, anti-America entertainment industry trash and the like). I have no connections with these patriot militias so I have no idea how much they are prepared for the scenario where the Left will wage a civil war. Who knows— may be, patriotic militias already checking out their ranks to make sure they are not infiltrated by the Obama government agents? May be they already go through the lie detectors, the polygraphs, from the grass roots to the top leaders of militias (actually, especially the top leaders because the FBI's, the Obama's government efforts are for sure focused on these high value high ranking spies amongst militia)? May be, militias already do compile the lists of the worst enemies of America? The enemies of freedom do it for sure— recently a Muslim couple that had a hit list of the freedom lovers was arrested in the NW (although Obama and Holder may pardon their jihadis friends later on. Attorney General was one of the half-thousand attorneys that formed a spy ring in Guantamo, spying on CIA for the jihadis. CIA director asked Holder to investigate and prosecute those enemies of America, but 9 of them work for Obama, including Holder himself. I doubt that he will prosecute himself for treason). Of course, the totalitarians and their MSM accomplices try to smear ALL MILLIONS of the patriotic militia members, using pretext of several crazy "holy warriors", but it is not going to fly: all sane Americans can see with their own eyes that not loving America patriots but Obama's

regime is the worst ever threat to America and to freedom around the world.

Anyway, *I earnestly hope that it will not come to the civil war because war is unimaginable suffering of the people.* I do hope that in November, 2010 awoken Americans will purge the Congress from totalitarian enemies of America and, thus, will politically castrate Obama. If we are lucky and there are enough patriots in the Congress— may be, they will initiate investigation with possible impeachment procedure. However, the enemies of America around election time or immediately after might realize that their bestial desires to destroy America have failed, and then they can resort to the desperate measures such as creation of a crisis and declaration of the Marshall law. Then the civil war is unavoidable, unless the senior military and Supreme Court will have guts to save American Constitutional Republic. All the patriots in the military and in Supreme Court must make up their mind now, before a nuclear crisis is provoked and erupted (using crazy Iranian mullahs or psychotic North Koreans), which might serve as a pretext to impose a Marshall and postpone all elections until the next millenium.

Next very desirable step would be *impeachemnt of Obama* to stop and reverse the damage to America and freedom in the world that the totalitarians have done so far. If further evidence will support the charges of high treason, then there is no presidential immunity and Obama should be jailed, if found guilty by the Supreme Court.

Since 100% of Obamunism laws is poison to America then all of them can be repealed by single blanket vote in the Congress, something like *"Repeal all the laws signed by Husein Obama"*. If it turns out after the impeachment that Obama came to power fraudulently, against the American election laws, using the funding from foreign hostile entities such as terrorist organizations, rogue terrorist states and the like, then it could be done in a blink of eye.

*Strategy* would include prevention of another totalitarian takeover in a foreseeable future. Power corrupts, absolute power corrupts absolutely, so we, the people must reduce the powers of the government, especially at the federal level, to about 1% of what it is now and we, the people, must chain down our enemy government again with the chains of Constitutional Amendmends. We, the people, must stop the enemies recruitment activities both in the brainwashing centers (fraudulently called "educational centers" -colleges, schools, universities) and by creating armies of parasites, beggars and losers, dependant on the BG handouts and, thus, serving as the Big Government electorate base. How? Expose them to the Free Market forces, remove paid by the taxpayers umbrella that protects the enemies of the people, and they will have no choice but to reform, to become useful members of society. In practical terms— reduce creating and protecting them Big Government: slash 99% of the government's size, scope and budget, close down for good all federal offices except Pentagon, intelligence

and some FBI and make sure BG cannot regrow its tentacles.

How? I hope that majority of the new Congress in 2011 will be patriotic constitutionalists, so we, the people have a chance to restore the original Constitution not tainted by millions of un-Constitutional laws. The practical way to do so is to introduce

**"THE TWO TERM AMENDMENT"** that would limit:

1) *The length of service for any elected or **un-elected** government official at any level (federal, state or municipal) by 2 terms. Period. Why not one? Because even the partirots in the new Congress are human beings with their weaknesses. Many of them will be reluctant to limit themselves to one term only. However, since vast majority of the incumbents will be wiped out from the Congress, then nearly all of the new Congress members will have 2 terms available for them for the total of 8 years, which is "somewhere in the future", making it more abstract and more agreeable. This would include the employees too, except military and intelligent services. It is known that these entrenched in the government for decades employees frequently sabotage their elected bosses instructions, they are easier to be bribed and recruited by our enemies (per media reports, the State Department employees CELEBRATED the 9/11 tragedy on their work place, and many of them have connection to the arab petroleum dollars). Their salary must be linked to the average American income, for example **"No government official in executive, judicial or legislative branch, elected or appointed, can have salary and benefits combined more than***

***triple average American income"***. This way *the government officials will finally have an incentive to improve the wealth of an average American* rather than wasting our hard-earned bucks. Besides, *with this symbolic salary only true patriots will come to serve their country 1 or two terms and then leave*, as it was the case during Founding Fathers. Unfortunately, nowadays elected and unelected officials become bricks from which the people's enemy Big Government is built. Typical career politicians care about people's opinion only during election campaign and don't care about people's interests at all. And why should they? Their seniority becomes a trading capital to entice the electorate to elect them again and again to get pork barrel (which actually hurts the local business, as a study showed). Demagoguery replaced accomplishments, propaganda replaced achievements, massive MSM politically correct lies replaced the truth, destruction of the country by Obama's regime presented as "the service for Americans that they should pay for" by their birthrights. For example, in a typical Potemkin village display Obama has disorganized the road traffic all over the country in a massive show of how borrowed from China with 8% interest "stimulus" money "works" while in fact all Obama's "stimulus" does hurting American economy (*as was proven by recent analysis by **Richard Rahn** in the article "**Obama's Advisers Can't Admit Failure**", which I suggest you to read*). Obama methodically ruins and destructs everything good about America, and after that he has audacity to talk about "insufficient trust in the government"?

We are not insane to trust our mortal enemy in the White House that embodies all three major totalitarian ideologies: statism, leftist redistributive "progressivism" and islamofascism. In August 2010 Obama celebrated his "achievement" in Kenya, where Obama twisted arms of the government until they changed Constitution to impose Shariah laws (even though there only 12% of Muslims). That's what Obama wants for America in the long run too, he is just being cautious not to say it too early, while it's not too late to save America from islamofascism. Obama's railroad to serfdom pushes America first to Greece-style socialist "paradise", and then to Muslims hell.

and paying back to his anti-American die-hard "progressives".

2) *The Amendment MUST also limit maximum length of any law to the same 2 terms.* Only the Constitution is forever, while ***ALL THE LAWS : (passed from the conception of the US, currently legislated and all future laws) must automatically expire in 2 terms = in 8 years.*** The Amendment must specify that no Congress, legislature or any other government body has a right to extend any law by a bundle, as a package. Each law that in legislators' opinion should be extended must undergo the regular procedure individually, separately, on case by case base. Do you know how many new laws, regularions and mandates were inacted on Jan. 01, 2010? Over 40,000 !!! On one day alone! As stronger our enemy government gets-as faster it grabs more of our powers and money. It's like a snow ball, or more correctly an avalanch. Is it feasible to revisit all millions of the

laws, mandates and controls that that our enemy government has already imposed on us, the people? Not in a million years! The only possible way is to automatically to repeal 100% of the existing laws and to start from scratch. Our enemies will try to scare us that without laws the country will fall apart. No, it's our enemy Almighty Government will fall apart, but the laws (the only very few ones that we, the people, really need) will have enough time during 8 years to be judged according to the evidence and extended by the legislatures of all levels. 8 years is more than enough for a few laws that **are** necessary. *So far our enemy government was encroaching into our territory and occupying it **irreversibly**— whatever freedom or money was stolen from us, the people, by the government— it was gone forever.* Let's change the rules. So, let our enemy government lose every bad law every 8 years, and let them prove that for this particular law, unlike 99.9% of other laws, the benefits outweigh the unintended consequences. At least, it will keep our enemies bureaucrats busy trying to conquer the same territory all over again.

Until the 2 TERM AMENDMENT is passed and all the un-American socialist laws since 1913 are repealed, we might need to specifically repeal all the 1960-s reverse discrimination acts. The "progressives" stopped integration of the minorities into the mainstream society by these laws, based on the "short term gain for the long term pain" Democrats' principle, they destroyed black families, widened the gap between the races in all aspects— economical, social, psychological, societal and so

forth. Even though the anti-minorities effects of these acts that claimed to cure the social ills through the government coercion are cristal clear to all independant thinkers (such as THomas Sowell), but the accusations in bigotry and racism from the Democratic bigots and racists are inevitable. That's why the best way to get rid of all that statist and socialist crap that destroys America, and hurts first of all blacks and other minorities, would be through a blanket coverage of the 2 term Amendment, that would effectively repeal ALL unti-American laws since 1913 (that includes establishment of the Federal Reserve).

### ***BALANCED BUDGET AMENDMENT (or THE DEVIL'S DOZEN AMENDMENT)***

Why "devil's dozen"? Because government apparently is a devilish creature and because in many cultures 13 is called "a devil's dozen", (in the US it's called "baker's dozen"). 13% is more than enough to cover all our *real* needs in the government services, and if the government doesn't rob us anymore then we shall have enough money left to cover the rest of the needs of the "unfortunate ones" by charities. Private charities are more likely to help those who really ARE unfortunate, while the government entitlement programs actually CREATE AND PERPETUATE POVERTY in order to have eternal electorate voting for the Big Government.

This Amendment must assure that the monster of the government will not re-grow its tentacles. We know from

experience that the more power the government bureaucrats get the more corrupt they are, and the stronger they are— the faster they grab more power and money from us, the people. So we must put strict limitations on the absolute and proportional size, scope and budget of our enemy government.

1) This Amendment must include clause "All levels of all branches of the government must have a balanced budget based on the previous year receipts" with no exceptions and no hidden sources of income or indirect debts, including but not limited to such form of debts or loans as government issued bonds. No deficits in a budget whatsoever!

2) Another mandatory clause is: "*Total budget of all levels of the government from federal to state and municipal levels and all three branches of the government combined must not exceed 13% of the previous year GDP with no hidden sources of income such as government issued bonds or debts of any nature*". It also must include a paragraph "*No collective bargaining regarding the taxpayers money paid jobs (public, government and similar services) is legal* (as you know, the biggest push towards the America's bankruptcy that amounts to over 100 trillion dollars comes from the unfunded liabilities to the socialist labor unions in public service sector at the states and municipal levels). Government by its nature does lousy job because it has virtually no feedback loop and no knowledge of the end users affairs, so the government should be assigned ONLY tasks that Free Market cannot perform (which is foreign affairs, border protection and

crime fighting, but even in those tasks government always fails, so don't let your enemy government do anything else). Whatever money government gets it embezzles it right away, and the far from the people, from the feedback loop that particular level of government is – the worse the embezzlement is. That's why the feds should get only 15% of the total taxes, the states 35% and the municipal offices remaining 50%. Right now on top of the known 14 trillion dollar government debts there are 130 trillion dollar "worth" of unfunded liabilities, especially at the state and municipal levels, and the worst situation, of course, is in the socialist states (California, Illinois and New York), because majority of these debts are to the socialist labor unions through unfunded benefits and pensions. Let the governments pay the debts, and if they can't -let them declare bankruptcy and pay a cent for each dollar. Don't let them pay from our pocket, stealing more money from our pockets in the form of the taxes!

The bureaucrats might say " The budget is not enough to pay for all the departments!", and we, the people should reply:"That's right, because we, the people, don't need 99% of you offices". We should *close immediately all the federal government offices* except some Pentagon, some intelligence services and some 5% of the State Department. *Federal reserve does deserve to go to hell too*, it's just that the public is not ready for this yet psychologically (it's called psychological dependance– it's like dependance on drugs). But if Bernanke before elections will starting printing money like crazy, then the public mood might

change overnight enough to recognize that we, the people, would be much better off without ALL federal offices (as mentioned above— some remnants of Pentagon, intelligence and a touch of FBI are OK). Socialist “economists” basically say that if you are in trouble because of the too much debts (just like America is now), like in a crap upto you neck— the “solution” is: “Spend more, get deeper into the debts crap above your head”. Insane! The real economists (such as Hayek and von Mises and recently Prof. White, George Selgin, Steven Horwitz and Thomas Sowell) know that the *Feds causes the boom-bust cycle. Without the Feds economy would develop much smoother.* The ideal solution would be to return to the precious metals as money, but the problem is there is not enough gold anymore in today's huge global economy. Of course, we might think of expanding the precious metals choices— there are already palladium and platinum-made coins, and the palladium deposits in Norilsk are tremendous (the South African mines are plagued by the electricity shortages since the leftist totalitarian ANC took over the country). Less chartered waters are the return to the private banking, the privatization of the money supply, where the "decent money" would be issued by the trustworthy private enterprise with the interest rate as the main regulator of the price of the money. 100 years of the feds dictatorship have ingrained into the public opinion a fear of such reforms, but the research of the Austrian school of economy is well worth further exploration. As soon as the public will get over the instilled by the government

itself and by the 96% socialist media fears of privatization, then they will learn that there is nothing a government can do better than a private enterprise. My personal amateurish preference would be the polymetal coins though, because then the money would have the value on its own merit, not requiring any external support in the form of trust (the paper money only as good as you trust the issuer. If in September, 2010 the feds will break the public trust by crazing printing as Bernanke hinted already, then the paper money will start becoming just a piece of paper).

All these enemies of the people in federal education, environmental departments and the like do is hurting our society, economy and individual freedoms. Majority of their current functions can be assumed at the school board, community and municipality levels and at its worst at the States level. *As close to the people the decision making process takes place— as better (now we know why— because the best way to regulate is a self-regulation with the tight feed-back loop)*. Eradicate federal offices completely, don't leave even 1% staff of these hostile entities because they will regenerate as warms in no time. In the time of continental war on the US territory annual exception can be made by 75% of the Congress vote to impose "war tax" and lifting bar to *not more than 30% of the GDP* (otherwise too much taxes will affect negatively the whole economy, and the slowdown will decrease chances of winning the war).

3) ***The Amendment must specifically PROHIBIT ANY INCOME TAX on any government's level*** because income tax

in un-Constitutional since it makes impossible preserve your privacy: in order to collect the income tax, government must intrude into the private life and infringe individual liberties. There is simply no other way to collect even 1% of income tax without the massive government intrusion into your privacy, your work and banking records, your family and your personal life. There is absolutely no private life in America as long as the government imposes even 1% income tax. "Just say no to drugs, no to the income tax";-) The government physically can use the information obtained during collection and enforcement of the income tax laws for any purpose it wants, even if it claims otherwise: the private information about your work, income, family, bank, investment and so forth is stored in the government files forever. Government with today's income tax laws always knows where your money is, and it can decide to go after all your life savings any time it decides to change the rules. As you know, there are talks in the government now about "merger" of the inevitably failing Social Security Ponzi scheme and your private retirement accounts, which is simply a robbery under very thin disguise. Remember 1933 when "progressive" Democratic FDR's government was confiscating physical gold from Americans? Now they can decide to go for all your life savings. The government has embezzled already all the Social Security money, and when time to pay comes— our enemy government will steal your money by "merger" of the inevitably failing government SS plan with 401k and other private plans.

4) The Amendment must **specifically prohibit double taxation such as death tax and the capital gain tax.**

5) The Amendment must specifically tell the government **WHAT TAXES AND HOW MUCH IT CAN COLLECT.** I am not an economist, but sales tax (instead of income tax, not on top of that) sounds pretty anonymous, so the government will have no right for intrusion into privacy in order to collect the tax. The feds can lay tariffs not higher than 5% on the import too.

6) The Amendment **must specifically repeal the "right" of the federal government to regulate and control the inter-state commerce** because so far **it is the worst abused clause by the federal government in its power grab and in the destruction of the Constitution, the federalism and America as a land of free.** "Inter-state commerce" pretext basically can be used (and **it is** being used as we speak by the "progressive" people's enemies in Washington,DC) to destroy people's and the States' sovereignty in order to create *federal dictatorship on the ruins of the Republic.* Let the Free Market regulate all commerce, including inter-state. Might some states abuse the freedom? Yes, but then their citizens will vote with their feet and they will relocate themselves to the freedom friendlier states such as Texas. Let it be. Self-regulation slowly but surely will sort this out.

7) The Amendment **must specifically prohibit collective bargaining to the public employees of all levels (feds, states, municipal)** because they use taxpayers money to pay the salary

and benefits. If private companies are ready to share profits they create by selling goods or services— it's their own private business. But our money from our pockets must not be stolen by arm-twisting socialist labor unions such as ISEU. Any attempt to conduct collective bargaining on behalf of the public employes should be considered a crime punishable by a jail time consistent with the punishment for the similar offenses such as blackmailing, racketeering and stealing money. These practices resulted in the 140 trillion dollars of unfunded government liabilities and they must be stopped immediately to cure unfolding national fiscal catastrophe. Besides, socialism is a hostile ideology and giving money to the socialist labor union thugs means funneling our money to elect our totalitarian enemies to the government offices—legislative, executive and courts. This way we don't have to dismantle public sector labor unions— they will die out themselves if they are of no further use in the blackmailing the taxpayers.

8) The Amendment *must specifically prohibit bailing out the indebted governments by the taxpayers' money*. It should specifically give a pathway to solve "the insolvency" problems using usual bankruptcy pathway: indebted government or the court must declare bankruptcy; the bankruptcy council should be created by the court and it must include the public of the electorate and representatives of the higher level of the government on 1:1 basis with equal voting privileges; the council should sell ALL the government assets and pay the debts ONLY

from the government assets on calculated pro-rate basis. If government assets constitute only 10% of the debts/unfunded liabilities, then the bankruptcy council pays 10 cents for each dollar. If a government screws up then it must pay the debts, not us, the people footing their embezzlement bills all over again. It includes the federal government. When we, the people slush 99% of the government offices, size, scope and budget, then the federal government should sell out its assets, basically privatizing the whole country. The practical details of this process are described in the book "*Why government doesn't work*" by former US presidential candidate Harry Browne.

9) And the last but not least: The Amendment *must specifically prohibit* any level of government, including the federal, *imposing the involuntary entitlement programs, including but not limited to Social Security and Medicare*. These programs must be offered on a strictly voluntary basis with annual letter reminding about the option to withdraw from the program with a full refund. ***Retroactively the Amendment should force the government to offer to all current participants an option to withdraw from the program immediately WITH FULL REFUND of the life-time accumulated premiums within one year.*** The *prospective of getting back our money stolen by the feds* from our pockets without our consent *should win public support for this whole Amendment and assure its passing in the Congress by a landslide majority (especially considering that there will be a zero income tax on that money with the passage*

*of this Amendment*). The Amendment also *must specifically prohibit the government to use a taxpayer money to pay back to the same taxpayers their own accumulated premiums* should anyone to decide to withdraw from the entitlement program. *The government must pay its own bills selling out its assets if needed.* If needed, the Supreme Court can set up a Federal Government Bankruptcy Commission that will act on behalf of the creditors (us, the people) and will sell out the feds' property to pay its debts to us, the people, to the feds creditors. This will help to reduce the evil force of the Almighty Government for good. The feds kept purchasing from us America's lands using our own money, and currently the feds own over 1/3 of all land in the US. *It's time to privatize the country because **there is nothing a government can do better than Free Market.***

### ***THE 100 PAGES AMENDMENT:***

This one doesn't have to be a separate Amendment— could be a part of any Amendment. It should read : The legislature of any level, including federal, under no circumstances shall receive and process any bill or project longer than a 100 pages". Period.

***The ban of Shariah law around the world (not of Islam, but of antihumane Shariah laws), might make the Muslims reform Islam, removing the anti-humane Shariah laws from Quran and making Islam an ordinary religion just like any other that possibly WILL BE compatible with the humanity. So far Islam that includes the hateful Shariah laws IS NOT a true religion and Islam IS NOT compatible with any civilization, with any other law system.***

*Today's Islam is an engine of jihad (which is the war of Islam against humanity, against all human civilizations that started 1,400 years ago by **first islamic terrorist named Muhammed** (read “**The legacy of Jihad**” and “**The sword of the prophet**”) and never ended). It's time for the humanity to unite against genocidal jihad and end it.*

**An excerpt from the “we should do that” program (I shall try to publish more comprehensive version of the book later):**

***THE POPULAR IMPEACHMENT AMENDMENT:***

This Amendment would give a right to the people once every 4 years to impeach **directly** any government official of any branch. Basically, it can be initiated only once during typical term. If at least 10% of the respective electorate signs petition to impeach a Justice, a judge, a Governor, a President, a legislator or any other official, then the popular impeachment referendum is triggered. The public representatives and observers must be allowed to participate in the referendum commissions to assure the fairness of the process. If 2/3 of the electorate vote for the impeachment, then the official is removed. Conducting referendums more frequently would disrupt the normal functioning of the government, and using simple majority rather super-majority could result in un-stable Democracy rather than more stable Republic, ruled by the law. This way we, the people, can remove from the office directly an official who

proved to be an enemy of the people that completely disregards the will of the sovereign, of the people. If the law would be in place now, then the Californians could fire the arrogant judge Walker that spits at the Californian's referendum and Americans could fire Obama. This Amendment could serve as a safe-valve to let steam go if the pressure in society builds up to the point of explosion. It's much better to fire the politicians turned enemies of the people rather than fire *at* them— I oppose violence whenever it is possible, because violence begets violence. The worst thing that can happen is the assassination of Obama because then he will be remembered as a martir rather than a scumbag he is. Besides, it would discredit the conservative movement that in fact is much less prone to violence than the Left by definition because the Left wants to impose their coercive redistribution robbery by force while the conservatives rely on the laws of Free market rather than on a brute force and they want very little governance that allows you to keep what you earned. The officials would behave much differently if they knew they ARE accountable all the time, not just during the election campaign.

***The “that would be nice” program:***

That would incorporate all desirable goals that should be accomplished but so far are not vital.

1) No new mosques on the West until there are Churches, synagogues, Hindu Temples and the like in Mecca and Medina. Only tolerant ones deserve the tolerance back. The mainstream

Islam, based on the Shariah laws, preaches jihad against all other civilization. If Islam considers all of the Infidels enemies in the state of perpetual "holy" war, jihad, then we should pay them back eye for eye, tooth for tooth.

2) Besides building other religions chrines in Mecca and Medina, what the other signs of Islam becoming a true religion that is compatible with all other religions and civilizations? All Shariah laws are repealed from the next editions of Quran, all jihad preaching in 80% of the mosques in the US is stopped for good and condemned by the Muslims. Apostates killings are stopped and condemned, the bridges become true bridges, not a one ways roads where to convert criminals to Islam is OK, but the Muslims converted to CHristianity must be killed. The references that women are inferior to men and that they must be beaten up to comply with husbands orders are removed from Quran. Shariah courts are banned in all countries, the civilized laws are introduced instead, stoning of raped women becomes illegal. Homosexualism becomes a private matter, neither religion nor government interfere with this. No punishment, no encouragement (such as marriage benefits for the homosexuals).

3) Polygraph (a lie detector test) for immigrants from islamofacist countries before issuance of the immigration visa and before granting the citizenship to answer the question which they recognize as the supreme– the law of the land or the Shariah law.

4) Government officials, elected or not, also must undergo the same lie detector test with the same questions. If the government official's duty is to defend and protect the laws of America, then he/she cannot do so if the islamofascism laws or anti-American totalitarian socialist ideologies are supreme to them. However, if the government will have only 1% of the powers it has now, then this will be an immaterial issue because with no power the enemies in the government cannot cause much damage to the country.

5) If the Departments of Educations are not abolished (which would be the ideal solution as it would expose the educators to the Free Market pressure, to the consumers choice— students and their parents, which would minimize the instances where the educators neglect their duties and instead of educating are busy indoctrinating the kids with the hostile ideologies, which will be considered a consumer fraud) then we should re-introduce the requirement for all educators to swear under the oath their allegiance to the American Constitution. If they refuse— we don't need the enemies of America crippling our children, turning them against their own country. Polygraph would be even more effective way to root out the hostile ideologies although I'd prefer just to privatize 100% of school as the painless and permanent solution to all problems in education system. Because of the nationalization of education the quality of education continues to deteriorate. It's not just the hostile ideology, it's the whole bureaucrats and socialist unions run industry than is inherently

inefficient and counterproductive, that needs to be fixed, and the only way to fix is to privatize it. *Denationalization of schools* was stressed as a priority by the legendary economist Dr. Milton Friedman in the "Nation at risk" and by Paul Copperman, who wrote "Each generation of Americans has outstripped its parents in education, in literacy, and in economic attainment. For the first time in the history of our country, the education skills of one generation will not surpass, will not equal, will not even approach those of their parents".

6) Establishment of the viable alternatives to the freedom-hating enemies of the people on the information market such as Associated Press and Reuter.

7) Establishment of the viable alternatives to the freedom-haters in the entertainment industry such as MPI (moving picture institute) and many other need to be started because the "progressive" public opinion makers are liars and hypocrites. As MPI states: M. Moore has amassed a \$170 mln fortune making movies and playing stock markets, and now he declares that "capitalism is evil". (I'd say— less evil than Stalin, Lenin, Hitler, Mao and Euro-socialists that destroy their own countries). Oliver Stone glorifies Hugo Chavez, the Venezuelan dictator socialist. Al Gore tells us to cut back on energy, but his several mansions have a carbon print of a small African nation size. Obama told us to check the pressure gauge in the car to save the gas.... so he can fly alone on a Boeing (before elections!) to Hawaii...and he sends his daughters to a private school with >\$30,000 tuition but

he doesn't want you to be able to choose a school for your kids.

8) Establishing conservative parental advisories and alternative movie rating systems that would warn us to avoid taking our children to the anti-American movies (and, thus, financially supporting our enemies) or going ourselves (how many times after the movie started you'd wish you didn't go there?). The same thing can be done about computer games to avoid violence, sex, perversions and hostile ideology propaganda in the games. Do you know what kind of games your kids play? Similar advisories might be worked out for the parental internet control, to educate willing parents how to use parental controls, what sites to avoid and the like. The materials can be distributed by mail, on internet or presented as the educational courses.

9) Establishing the parents' committees in the colleges and the conservative students unions, to assure their rights to visit lecture, to make sure there is no typical consumer fraud when the name of the course has nothing to do with the historical anti-American propaganda within the lectures. ***Colleges and universities so far are occupied by the enemies of America territory, just as Washington, DC is, so keep your vigilance to make sure the enemies will not kidnap your kids.***

10) No government issues caps on the H1 working visas—the government must issue as many visas as the Free Market needs because it costs millions to educate millions, but when America cherry-picks only the best qualified ones it saves tons on the costs of education. Brain drain one of the best investments

ever. Unfortunately, under the socialist labor union pressure the government does just opposite— drive the highly qualified professionals, potential immigrants, to other countries with the easier immigration process.

11) Take over all the "noble" causes that "progressive" Democrats have hijacked because these used to be a really good causes, which the Dems have spoiled. For example, the "progressive" Femi-Nazis, as the totalitarians supposed to do, support the islamofascists. But Shariah law treats women like a property, allowing beating them up to keep in line, allowing raping by a husband with a no right to say "no" to husband, unless she's having menses, allowing stoning to death for the raped girls (it's their own fault, per mullas) and so forth. In short, if and when the islamofascism takes over the West, the women will suffer the second (after the homosexuals), but still the Femi-nazis "leaders" help Shariah law to come and rule the land, which is a betrayal of their "constituency", of women they are supposed to protect and defend. The problem is— the "leaders" are not women but the "progressive" Democrats, just like 78% of voting for Husein Obama Jews are not Jews but "progressive" Democrats. It's the usual paradox— the self-appointed "leaders" of the special interest group are always in the long run enemies of the people they promise to protect. They don't protect them, they force them to go to the dangerous profession "just like the men do", to drink and to smoke "just like the men do" (even though it kills women twice more effectively than men); they encourage them even to

pee standing "just like the men do", to be promiscuous, to be single, to postpone or to give up the childbearing (even though late pregnancy after 35 years increases risk of breast cancer for 7.5% while each pregnancy BEFORE 35 y. DECREASES the risk for the same 7.5%. So, these women need to be saved from their "saviors", from the Femi-Nazi "leaders", and the job of the conservative true feminists such as Sarah Palin. The same way with the 96% leftist journalists: first of all they are leftist, the "progressive" (although the "progressive" movement is no more progressive than progressive syphilis. 100% of the progress is due to the market forces). So, the journalists subconsciously prefer to write poor but "progressive" article rather than brilliant but pro-Free Market one. Again, all the leftist "human rights activists" and all other crappy "activists" first of all are the "progressive" enemies of the people, thus, they are unable to protect long term interests even of those special interests groups they claim to represent (put aside the rest of the Americans). They act as the "progressive" enemies of the people, hence, hurting even their constituency. At its best, they can achieve usual for the **totalitarians "Short term gain for the long term pain"** while the mode of action of **free market and conservatives is always "Short term pain for long term gain"**. That's how animal rights activists never manage to protect animals, poor people advocates and their "progressive" laws only hurt poor people in the long run and so forth. All they do is giving handouts to beggars, therefore creating more and more beggars

and more power grabs for the government. Remember how full of noble intentions Ontario government passed the law mandates "stupid sheep" to wear the helmet while riding a bike. Such a noble idea to use coercion by the ruling enlightened elite FOR THE GREATER GOOD of these stupid people that are unable to grasp such wonderful ideas themselves. Well, not so fast. Turned out that the biggest saving lives is not the helmets but the amount of the bikers on the road. It works through the multiple mechanisms: more bikers=less motorists; more bikers—the drivers are more aware of them and are prepared to co-inhabit the roads; more bikers—the roads are built and marked for the "bikers only" lanes. The list goes on and on, but the important thing is that after forcing unwilling people to wear helmets 50% of them decided to quit and amount of bikers on the road dropped in half. If you expect that the bikers' mortality dropped in half too, then you are wrong: the MVA, trauma and fatalities have actually INCREASED!! despite the smaller numbers of the bikers. In short—the government thing has never worked, it is not working now and it will never ever work in the future because it is against the laws of nature. There are many reasons why the government never works, and even one of them should be enough to never put a trust in the government "solving" the problems.

# The Summary

To me the most frustrating part is that solving majority of the world's problems does not require a rocket science. Moreover, it is extremely easy with no need for high tec gadgets or for a bunch of tipping over the electrical cords absentminded PhDs in the government. All it takes is to recognize that the freedom is the most potent economical power in the world, that the free will, the decision making power to the people, the free market is all you need to fix the problems. Give power to the people– and they will take care of themselves, no need for a government to interfere. Another thing the people need to know that the *definition of freedom* is "*freedom from the government*", that *the government is our enemy number one. Too much government kills the nation*– just look at Europe, Japan or Canada 's demographics. If a government is small, limited in size, powers and budget, then it is a necessary evil. If the government gets too powerful-then it is an unnecessary evil. Keep your government on a short leash and in fear of you. Here are some *rules of thumb*: If a government collects 5% of your money then you are 95% free and the economy is 95% efficient. If the goverment robs 70% of what you make, then it is 70% slavery and the economy is only 30% efficient, the national pie gets 2/3 smaller and everyone gets poorer. The only problem in the world that you need some government for is the totalitarianism, especially islamofascism,

but even here don't let your totalitarian enemy government get too strong, because then it will join your other totalitarian enemies. All the totalitarians are united in their greed for power, for your freedoms and your money. Just look at the Euro-Zoo where the government as a totalitarian entity basically helps other totalitarians (statists, socialists, communist with their military "antifascist" wings, labor unions with their military anarchist wing and islamofascist) to suppress and to push to the barns the freedom loving people.

That's all, the recipe to survival and prosperity is that simple: freedom,(especially free market) and power from your enemy government back to the people.

As the songs go, **“LET IT BE”**, which means “let it self-regulate”, and **“POWER TO THE PEOPLE”**.

Good luck, folks. We must save freedom in America and, thus, in the whole world.

***Remember, it's November or never!***